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ABSTRACT
Glassy-winged sharpshooters (GWSS) were collected in California and several states in the southeastern United States in 2002 and 2003 to search for pathogenic or beneficial endosymbiotic bacteria of these insects. Various tissues were examined for the presence of bacteria by PCR: hemolymph, eggs, and bacteriomes. A subset of hemolymph and egg samples were cloned and sequenced based on unique digest patterns of their extracted 16s rDNA, or analyzed by restriction digest patterns of sample compared to known bacterial DNA. Most cloned sequences were identified as *Baumannia* (one of the primary symbionts of GWSS), and *Wolbachia* (a common secondary symbiont in a majority of insect taxa investigated). In addition, we isolated bacteria that were most closely related (by 16S rDNA sequence) to the following genera: *Acinetobacter*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Burkholderia*. All are common bacteria that are found in soil, water, or plant surfaces, and also in insect guts or surfaces.

INTRODUCTION
We have surveyed populations of the glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), *Homalodisca coagulata*, for bacterial symbionts that might be exploited to manipulate the biology of this insect vector of *Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*) (Purcell and Feil 2001). Pathogens or other microbial associates of GWSS have not been employed to date as biological control agents or contributors to the control of these pests largely because none are known, although some efforts to discover viruses of GWSS have been made. Although endosymbiotic bacterial associates of leafhoppers are little-understood and unexploited to date, their potential importance is well worth exploring. The first step has been to look for and identify any naturally occurring bacteria in GWSS populations from a wide geographical range.

Of particular interest to us in this study were bacterial associates that are facultative (also referred to as “secondary”), i.e., that occur in some individuals or populations but are not required by their hosts; and that could be introduced into, or augmented in pest populations. We use the term symbiont here in the biological sense of “living together” and do not imply mutual benefit (Douglas 1994). Facultative bacterial associates have been described in a variety of homopterans including leafhoppers (Swezy and Severin 1930, Schwemmler 1974, McCoy et al. 1978, Purcell et al. 1986). The only leafhopper facultative symbiont studied in some depth is BEV, a bacterium that occurs in *Euscelidius variegatus* in France, but apparently not in California (Purcell et al. 1986). Uninfected females of *E. variegatus* inoculated with cultures of BEV transmitted the bacteria transovarially (“vertically”) to their offspring, with resulting deleterious effects (Purcell et al. 1986, Purcell and Suslow 1987). This bacterium could also be transmitted horizontally between leafhoppers feeding on the same plant; hence it could persist in the population in spite of its negative fitness effects.

It is clear from our studies of facultative bacteria in aphids (Chen et al. 2000, Montllor et al. 2002) as well as from the study of BEV, that endosymbiotic associations are complex and have critically important effects, both positive and negative, on the physiology, population biology and vector potential of their hosts. Some of the most extensive studies on the effects of facultative symbionts on insect hosts involve *Wolbachia*, a transovarially transmitted bacterium that occurs in 20-76% of investigated insect species (Weeks et al. 2002) with a range of interesting effects (e.g., Werren 1994, Stouthammer et al. 1999). *Wolbachia* has recently been described from GWSS (Moran et al. 2003), though its effects remain unknown. Although *Wolbachia* has “helped raise the awareness of the potential contribution of endosymbionts...it is important not to discard other alternatives” (Weeks et al. 2002). Our approach was to investigate whether other alternatives existed for GWSS.
hosted egg masses but not the other life stages. The suitability of the host plants for these GWSS life stages may be linked to the chemical composition of the xylem fluids (Andersen et al. 1989, 1992, Brodbeck et al. 1990, 1993, 1995, 1996, 1999), data for which will be provided by the xylem analyses. Sowthistle, common groundsel, lambsquarter, cheese weed, primrose and beavertail were not hosting large GWSS numbers, if any, and may be discarded or replaced for next season.

This season, the location seems to influence the size of GWSS egg masses (larger egg masses in the south), survival (lower in the south) and parasitism (lower in the south). The underlying factors may be related to temperature and humidity which have been recorded but have not been correlated to the findings yet. The major difference between the coastal and inland locations at similar latitude is the number of second generation adults, and all life stages from the second generation are responsible for most of the location differences. Aside from the egg masses, there are no obvious differences in the other life stages recorded in the coastal and southern location.

Further conclusions cannot be drawn without the data that is still being taken in the fecundity and feeding studies and the chemical xylem composition of the host plants. For full understanding of the climatic influences behind these observations, multiple year data are needed and need to be analyzed for temporal and spatial differences, for which two additional years of funding will hopefully be forthcoming from the CDFA.
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No egg masses were recorded on oleander, sowthistle, cheeseweed, lambsquarter, common groundsel and beavertail cactus. Over all sites the mean number of egg masses recorded was largest on sycamore, cherry and grape, followed by crape myrtle and photinia (Table 1). The number of egg masses per host plant species differed significantly for crape myrtle, eucalyptus, grape, primrose and cottonwood on which fewer egg masses were found in Piru and Redlands than in Pauma (results not shown). In Piru, most egg masses were recorded on sycamore and cherry, followed by grape. In Redlands, most egg masses were recorded on grape, followed by crape myrtle and photinia, which had more egg masses than sycamore and cherry. In Pauma most egg masses were recorded on crape myrtle, grape, sycamore and cherry followed by photinia. Because of unequal variances Kruskal Wallis was used for these analyses with $P<0.0001$ in all cases (results not shown).

When considering GWSS adults at the different locations, more were found on the host plants in Redlands between June 16 and October 1 compared to both Piru and Pauma in the same period (unequal variance: Kruskal Wallis: $t=8.4481$, $P=0.0146$) (Fig. 1b). Adults were not recorded on sowthistle, cheeseweed, common groundsel or beavertail cactus. Over all sites the mean number of adults recorded was largest on cotton wood (Table 1). In Redlands, more adults were found on hibiscus, oleander, Valencia orange, photinia, euonymus, ligustrum, cottonwood and cherry than in Piru or Pauma (results not shown). In Piru and in Redlands, more adults were recorded on cotton wood than on any other host plant species ($t=59.75$, $P<0.00001$ and $t=72.05$, $P<0.00001$ respectively). In Pauma, most adults were recorded on cotton wood, but these did not differ significantly from sycamore and grape ($t=63.61$, $P<0.00001$). Because of unequal variances Kruskal Wallis was used for these analyses (results not shown).

The data on the immature GWSS were collected as small, medium and large GWSS nymphs. For the purpose of these preliminary analyses the stages were added to present one number per host plant per observation at each location. The number of GWSS nymphs at the different locations changed through the season. From April though June, significantly fewer nymphs were recorded in Redlands when compared to Pauma and Piru in the same period (unequal variance: Kruskal Wallis: $t=10.04$, $P=0.0066$) (Fig. 1c). From Late July through October, significantly fewer nymphs were recorded in Piru, when compared to Redlands and Pauma in the same period (unequal variance: Kruskal Wallis: $t=7.78$, $P=0.0204$) (Fig. 1b). No nymphs were recorded on common groundsel. Over all sites the mean number of nymphs recorded was largest on cottonwood, followed by significantly lower numbers on grape, crape myrtle, and Valencia orange (Table 1). No differences were found when comparing numbers of nymphs per host plant species between the locations (results not shown). In Piru, most nymphs were recorded on cottonwood, followed by grape and citrus ($t=70.3$, $P<0.00001$). In Redlands, most nymphs were also recorded from cottonwood, followed by grape and crape myrtle ($t=72.49$, $P<0.00001$). In Pauma Valley, most nymphs were found on cottonwood and grape, followed by crape myrtle and Valencia orange ($t=68.92$, $P<0.00001$). Because of unequal variances Kruskal Wallis was used for these analyses (results not shown).

The recorded numbers of generalist predators present per location include lady beetles, spiders and lacewings. Less frequently praying mantis, assassin bugs, robber flies, scorpion flies and syrphid flies were recorded. The numbers of foraging parasitoids (Gonatocerus sp) were also recorded per plant. These data have not yet been analyzed. On June 30, July 1-2, August 10-12, September 28-30 xylem fluids samples were taken from all host plants except oleander, amaranthus, ivy, sowthistle, common groundsel, cheeseweed, lambsquarter, honeysuckle, primrose and beavertail. These species were omitted because experience has shown that they do not comply with the technique used for xylem extraction, rendering the sampling impossible (Brodbeck, personal communication). With the use of a nitrogen gas pressure chamber, 150-600µl was collected per plant and frozen for storage. The xylem samples await analyses on their chemical composition in Florida. The GWSS fecundity and feeding rate on a selection of the host plants listed in table 1 is being studied in University of Florida, NFREC-Quincy.

CONCLUSIONS
The data thus far indicates that the most eggs, nymphs and adults are not necessarily recorded on the same plant species as has been reported before (Brodbeck et al. 1999). In this study the only host plant used frequently in all life stages is cotton wood. On grape and crape myrtle nymphs and eggs are frequently recorded, while photinia, cherry and sycamore frequently
Table 1 Mean number of egg masses, adults and nymphs recorded per GWSS host plant species in Piru, Redlands and Pauma Valley, California.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Plant name</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Egg masses$^1$</th>
<th>Adults$^2$</th>
<th>Nymphs$^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hibiscus sp. 'Mrs. J. E. Hendrey' hibiscus</td>
<td>3.42 ± 1.064 abc</td>
<td>10.50 ± 4.265 a</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.908 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lagerstroemia indica</td>
<td>Crape Myrtle</td>
<td>9.58 ± 1.607 de</td>
<td>34.25 ± 20.350 a</td>
<td>17.92 ± 5.113 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nerium oleander</td>
<td>Olean (white)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>19.75 ± 8.294 a</td>
<td>10.17 ± 2.925 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Gardenia jasminoides</td>
<td>'Mystery' Gardenia</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.832 ab</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.193 a</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.842 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Citrus sp.</td>
<td>Valencia Orange</td>
<td>2.42 ± 1.314 abc</td>
<td>13.15 ± 3.175 a</td>
<td>11.17 ± 3.16 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Photinia sp.</td>
<td>Red Tip Photinia</td>
<td>6.67 ± 2.021 cd</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.763 a</td>
<td>4.92 ± 1.681 abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Eucalyptus cinerea</td>
<td>Silver Dollar Tree</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.167 a</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.188 a</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.289 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Vitis vinifera</td>
<td>Thompson Seedless Grape</td>
<td>11.17 ± 2.49 e</td>
<td>14.42 ± 3.019 a</td>
<td>29.75 ± 6.516 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Euonymus japonica</td>
<td>Silver Queen</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.654 ab</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.358 a</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.131 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lagerstroemia indica</td>
<td>'Texanum' Wax Leaf Privet</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.617 ab</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.494 a</td>
<td>3.25 ± 0.970 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Agapanthus africans</td>
<td>Lily of the Nile</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.834 ab</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.336 a</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.193 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Hedera helix</td>
<td>English ivy</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.243 a</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.763 a</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.297 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Sonchus oleraceus</td>
<td>Sowthistle</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.083 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Chenopodium berlandieri</td>
<td>Lambsquarter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.188 a</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.256 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Malva neglecta</td>
<td>Cheeseweed</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.288 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Senecio vulgaris</td>
<td>Common Groundsel</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Rhus integrifolia*</td>
<td>Lemonade Berry</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.263 a</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.193 a</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.767 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Heteromeles arbutifolia*</td>
<td>Toyon</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.872 ab</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.188 a</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.497 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Baccharis pilularis*</td>
<td>Coyote Brush</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.740 ab</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.609 a</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.434 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Loniceria subsipicata*</td>
<td>Honeysuckle</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.083 a</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.112 a</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.083 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Opuntia basilaris*</td>
<td>Beavertail Cactus</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.333 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Oenothera speciosa</td>
<td>Mexican Evening Primrose</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.067 a</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.131 a</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.452 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Populus candicans</td>
<td>Cottonwood</td>
<td>4.92 ± 1.493 bc</td>
<td>205.67 ± 96.643 b</td>
<td>54.25 ± 8.927 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Platanus occidentalis</td>
<td>&quot;Bloodgood&quot; Sycamore</td>
<td>13.33 ± 3.404 e</td>
<td>12.75 ± 4.961 a</td>
<td>6.58 ± 1.694 abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Prunus subhirtella</td>
<td>Akeboe Ornamental Cherry</td>
<td>13.83 ± 4.606 e</td>
<td>17.08 ± 8.164 a</td>
<td>4.67 ± 1.689 abc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* California native plant
O life stage not recorded on host plant species
$^1$ Mean number of egg masses recorded on host plant species over all three locations (different letters indicate significant differences, Kruskal Wallis t=133.69, P<0.0001).
$^2$ Mean number of adults recorded on host plant species over all three locations (different letters indicate significant differences, Kruskal Wallis t=154.54, P<0.0001).
$^3$ Mean number of nymphs recorded on host plant species over all three locations (different letters indicate significant differences, Kruskal Wallis t=194.54, P<0.0001).

When considering life stages at the different locations, more egg masses were found on the host plants in Pauma valley between June 24 and August 19 compared to both Piru and Redlands in the same period (unequal variance: Kruskal Wallis: t=7.237, P=0.027) (Fig. 1a). The numbers of eggs per egg mass was significantly higher in Pauma (ANOVA df=2, F=10.93, P<0.001), a larger portion of the eggs were parasitized in Pauma (ANOVA df = 2, F = 10.67, P<0.001), with no difference in emergence of eggs masses (ANOVA df=2, F=3.04, P=0.05). The portion survival of eggs per egg mass is lowest in Pauma (ANOVA df=2, F=10.80, P<0.001) (Table 2).

Of the parasitized egg masses recorded in Piru, all were Gonatocerus sp., but in Redlands 6% were parasitized by Trichogramma sp as were 4% of the egg masses from Redlands. The survival of Trichogramma parasitized egg masses was 0.595 ± 0.0544 significantly lower than the survival of Gonathocerus parasitized egg masses 0.764 ± 0.011 (unequal variance: Kruskal Wallis t=11.89, P=0.000563). No differences were found between the egg mass size and the fraction parasitized for Trichogramma or Gonatocerus (results not shown).

Table 2 The survival, fraction parasitized and fraction emerged parasitoids recorded in GWSS egg masses in Piru, Redlands and Pauma Valley, California.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>#eggs/egg mass</th>
<th>Survival</th>
<th>Fraction parasitized</th>
<th>Fraction emerged parasitoids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piru</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>11.56 ± 0.467 a</td>
<td>12.02 ± 0.499 a</td>
<td>0.666 ± 0.029 b</td>
<td>0.804 ± 0.0288 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redlands</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>13.81 ± 0.278 b</td>
<td>0.795 ± 0.0254 b</td>
<td>0.545 ± 0.017 a</td>
<td>0.848 ± 0.0312 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pauma Valley</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>13.81 ± 0.278 b</td>
<td>0.795 ± 0.0254 b</td>
<td>0.545 ± 0.017 a</td>
<td>0.848 ± 0.0312 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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INTRODUCTION
The focus of this research is to determine the relative phenology (the timing of biological events as influenced by the environment and intrinsic biological phenomena) of host plant use by glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), other leafhopper vectors and natural enemies, and Xf in ornamental, agricultural and CA native host plants in key CA locations in climatically different regions: Coastal (Piru, Ventura County), Inland (Redlands, San Bernadino County), and South (Pauma Valley, San Diego County). As year 1 of a 3 year study, we plan to replicate this years’ observations (only if continued CDFA funding is reinstated and received) using fresh host plants at the same locations, and full analyses of results will not be available until after all data is collected. The findings of this first season are therefore presented as preliminary results.

This research will be used to develop a GWSS performance database on the host plant species that are identified as truly critical to GWSS survival, which is needed to fully support decision making, and to supplement what is observed in the field. Currently, no quantitative data is available on the relative suitability of single or multiple hosts most relevant in Southern California’s agriculture, landscape or native vegetation, to GWSS growth and development. This project will provide this baseline information, identify host plant limitations at different life stages and will ultimately identify key nutrients responsible for this phenomenon.

OBJECTIVES
Use 25 different host plant species in 4 replicates per location at three locations: Coastal (Piru, Ventura County), Inland (Redlands, San Bernadino County), and South (Pauma Valley, San Diego County) to:
1. Determine the age structure and utilization of GWSS on the host plants throughout the season
2. Determine the GWSS egg parasitization and mortality, together with the presence of general predators on the host plants throughout the season
3. Determine GWSS fecundity and feeding rate on selected host plants
4. Determine the presence of Xf in host plants at three times during the season
5. Determine the chemical composition of the host plant xylem fluids at tree times during the season.

RESULTS
From April onwards, the GWSS age structure and resident generalist predators on 25 different host plants were observed weekly. In four replications, 25 potted (5gal) host plants were used to test the preference of resident GWSS at 3 Southern California locations within unsprayed citrus orchards. For each replication 25 plant pots were placed in a completely randomized block design within the rows. Each block was enclosed in a 5x5ft square pen made with chicken wire. Plants were hand watered 2-3 times per week. The plant species were selected for their common ornamental or agricultural use or their status as orchard weeds or their occurrence in foothill and riparian environments in Southern California (Table 1).

Batch samples from each of the host plant species were tested for the presence of Xf on three occasions between April and July. With the exception of one H. helix batch sample in May, all batch samples tested negative. In follow-up tests of single H. helix plants, no individual plant tested positive for Xf.
technology is being used to screen the more than 250 DNA samples extracted from mycosed GWSS collected from throughout the southeastern US. This work will be summarized and submitted for publication in December 2004.

**Analysis of the Dynamics of the Hirsutella in GWSS Populations**

A field plot containing 14 cultivars of crape myrtle (total 224 trees) was established at the NFREC. Four subplots, each containing 40 trees, were established within this stand. Two subplots were fitted with an overhead mist irrigation system that was operated 15 minutes every hour, 24 hours a day. Throughout the summer, trees were sampled by counting both the live GWSS and number of mycosed GWSS. Mycosed GWSS were flagged and their positions on the trees were noted. It should be noted that throughout the season the species of *Hirsutella* accounted for virtually 100% of the disease on the GWSS.

Preliminary analysis demonstrated a non-uniform distribution of live GWSS and mycosis GWSS in the plot. In part this could be related to both the cultivar and/or to the presence the misting irrigation system. The cultivars attractive to GWSS (‘Osage’, ‘Miami’, ‘Tonto’) contained higher levels of mycosed GWSS. Irrigated crape myrtle, regardless of the cultivar, contained significantly higher mycosed GWSS than did the non-irrigated trees. Currently, the field data from this season is being combined with the positional (cardinal orientation) data and will be subjected to additional statistical analysis.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have identified and have in culture several isolates of a primary pathogen and potential GWSS biological control agent, *Hirsutella sp.* Molecular methods have been established and are being used to diagnosis GWSS collected from sites throughout the southeastern US. This past field season the dynamics of *Hirsutella* has been examined in replicated crape myrtle plots.

**FUNDING AGENCIES**

Funding for this project was provided by the University of California Pierce’s Disease Grant Program.
ABSTRACT
A species of *Hirsutella*, the primary pathogen of GWSS in the southeastern US, has been the major focus of our research this past year. Due to the fastidious growth requirements of this fungus and the presence of numerous saprobic fungi associated with mycosed GWSS, a major effort has been made to design a series of gene-specific primers to be used to detect these diseases in field collected samples. Molecular-based diagnosis is being used to examine the hundreds of mycosed insects collected during the 2003 and 2004 regional surveys. A second effort has been directed at examining the seasonal incidence of this disease in an experimental crape myrtle plot. A number of parameters such as crape myrtle variety, host density, mist irrigation (humidity) have been found to influence the onset of *Hirsutella* in GWSS populations. Current laboratory research is being directed at examining transmission of the lab culture to both GWSS and to alternate insect hosts. In addition, culture filtrates of all of the fungi collected from GWSS are being assessed for the presence of active metabolites.

INTRODUCTION
We are not aware of any studies that have examined the insect pathogens associated with populations of GWSS. In general, the lack of pathogens (viral, bacterial, or protozoa) in leafhopper populations may be related to their piercing-sucking feeding behavior. In most cases, these pathogen groups are transmitted orally and would likely need to inhabit the xylem tissue to infect leafhoppers. Pathogens that are transmitted *per os* are typically affiliated with insects with chewing mouthparts. Thus, entomopathogenic fungi, which do not need to be ingested in order to infect insects, are considered to contain the primary pathogens of sucking insects. Indeed, the primary pathogens operating against insects such as whitflies, scales, aphids, spittlebugs, plant hoppers, and leafhoppers are insect fungi (for listing see USDA-ARS Collection of Entomopathogenic Fungal Cultures at http://www.ppru.cornell.edu/mycology/catalogs/catalog). We commonly observe all mobile stages of GWSS exhibiting mycoses in north Florida and we are identifying them and assessing their impact.

OBJECTIVES
1. Identify and archive all the major pathogens affiliated with GWSS populations.
2. Estimate the distribution, frequency and seasonality of the major diseases of GWSS.
3. Screen the pathogens for exotoxins with potential toxicity to GWSS and other arthropods.
4. Confirm infectivity of the isolates and the exotoxins and determine which if any pathogens may serve as microbial controls of GWSS and other leafhopper vectors.

RESULTS
Pathogen Distribution
In the past field season we continued to survey the incidence of disease in GWSS populations in the Southeast. The purpose of this survey was twofold: first, to piece together a better picture of the distribution of the Glassy-winged Sharpshooter in the area. Secondly, it gave us the opportunity to investigate the varieties and incidence of fungal pathogens associated with this host. The survey area encompassed four states, Mississippi, Louisiana, Alabama, and Texas. A series of live GWSS and a total of 95 mummified GWSS were collected from sites in these states. In most cases, the external characters mimicked those observed on the cadavers collected from sites in Georgia, South Carolina, and Florida in 2003. The presence of various opportunistic fungi on field-collected samples has limited our abilities to culture the more fastidious slow growing species of *Hirsutella, Sporothrix,* and *Pseudogibellula.* The aforementioned fungi were identified last year to be key entomopathogens isolated from GWSS populations. After multiple cycles of isolation we were able to isolate target fungi from only about 10% of these insects, the vast majority of cultures contained saprobic fungi. In order to confirm the presence of the *Hirsutella* (the primary pathogen) we have developed and optimized PCR primers within unique intron motifs of both the actin and tubulin genes that have been matched with primers from the open-reading frame. Control reactions have demonstrated that these primer combinations are able to specifically amplify the GWSS *Hirsutella* from DNA extracted from mummies. This
CONCLUSIONS
Our work in untreated citrus groves has enabled us to explore what happens to uncontrolled GWSS populations. After an additional year of data, the GWSS densities on valencias and lemons are sufficient to allow us to tentatively forecast the time at which the GWSS will attain their minimum densities on each host cultivar. The analyses show that GWSS are decreasing at a rate that, if sustained, may drive GWSS populations to very low levels. The first technique used predicts minimum densities for GWSS to be achieved during the next three to six years. The second technique, the phase diagram, indicates that an extinction of GWSS is unlikely, and that the populations on valencias and lemons are each cycling around an equilibrium point. During periods when populations are above their equilibrium density, we are likely to see GWSS densities above 1000 adults per tree. In addition, we have shown that GWSS populations manifest different dynamics in different places. As the populations become less dense, their dynamics will bring stability, allowing GWSS to recolonize areas where densities are low when GWSS adults move from areas where GWSS densities remain high (see figure 4, grapefruits as an example). This type of behavior, called metapopulation dynamics at it is known to bring stability in a wide range of biological systems were animals can readily move from one place to another. This appears to be the case for the GWSS and we expect to see these type of dynamics to emerge in the next few years.
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A more interesting analysis using the population samples from Valencia and Lemon trees is presented in Figures 5 and 6. We plotted the total adult and the newly emerged (red-veined) adult density using a logarithmic scale. We then used a forecasting technique on these data for Valencia and Lemons separately, i.e. the lines in Figures 5 and 6 which show what would happen if the current trend is extrapolated until it reaches zero. Although it is unlikely that GWSS will ever reach zero, we use these plots to estimate a minimum and a maximum date when we expect these populations to reach their minimum. These two dates are estimated by the lines crossing the X-axis in each graph and encompass the time period during which we estimate that GWSS adult populations will reach their minimum.

If the current trend continues for several years the adult GWSS will reach their minimum densities within the next three to six years. However, as new data are collected and plotted on these graphs a more refined minimum density will be obtained but it is extremely unlikely that the GWSS densities will become extinct. A second and even more powerful technique can be used to analyze the GWSS dynamics (figures 7 and 8). These figures need some explanation. What they show is a plot of GWSS adult densities at any a specific date, as a function of the density at a previous time interval. In our case, it is the density of adult GWSS at a given week, as a function of the density two weeks previously. In a sense, it explores the effect on a given date's density, of the density two weeks prior. When plotted in this manner, we get a phase diagram that shows whether the GWSS population density is cycling and, if it is cycling, it shows the density around which the population is likely to be cycling. Figure 7 shows the phase diagram for Valencias. The point, at which the two diagonal lines cross, shows the density around which adult GWSS population cycles, generation after generation. This does not mean that the population will reach an equilibrium density at exactly that density. Rather, it indicates the density around which the population will cycle. For Valencias, this equilibrium density is about 600 adults per tree, and for lemons, it is about 950 adults per tree. Thus, this analysis suggests that GWSS will never reach “zero density,” but will alternatively reach densities above and below the cycling density at different times of the year and in different years. The data sets for tangerines and grapefruit do not encompass a sufficient enough period of time to allow this kind of analysis. We will need at least another year of GWSS data before we can conduct this analysis using the forecasting technique. At the same time, a longer dataset for Valencias and lemons will likely improve the accuracy of this analysis.
timed might drive the GWSS population below its critical density, thus leading to its local extinction. To fulfill this goal, we propose the following objectives:

1- Expand our current studies to follow GWSS population dynamics at a landscape level, including urban areas, using our whole host plant sampling technique.

2- Determine the relative contribution of the principal host plants to the adult GWSS production in each generation.

3- Determine whether correlations exist between GWSS’ population dynamics on a given host tree and the host’s xylem chemistry and whether this correlation explains GWSS’ variable performance seasonally on different host plants.

4- Use this information to identify critical periods during GWSS’ annual population cycle where selective control strategies might drive its local populations nearly extinct.

RESULTS
The number of adult GWSS in untreated valencia and lemon trees at the Agricultural Operations fields, University of California, Riverside has declined during the two and a half years of our study (Figure 1 through 4). GWSS densities on Tangerines and Grapefruit trees involves one and a half GWSS generations and, thus, is too short a period for a meaningful analysis of GWSS on these citrus varieties. Figures 1 and 2 show the mean number of adult GWSS obtained from three valencias and three lemons per sampling date, during the two and a half year sampling period. It is clear that a significant downward trend has occurred in the number of GWSS adults during the two and a half years. Peak densities have decreased by 67% for Valencias and 75% for lemons between 2002 and 2003. At the time of this report, we had not reached the peak adult densities for 2004, which typically occur in late August to early September. The GWSS samples from Tangerines and Grapefruit also show a decreasing trend. The average number of new adults produced in the three Valencia and the three lemon trees per sampling date also declined during the two and a half year study (Figure 1 & 2).

Figure 1. Actual adult GWSS densities (solid line) and newly produced adults per date (dotted line) in an untreated Valencia grove.

Figure 2. Actual adult GWSS densities (solid) and newly produced adults per date (dotted) in an untreated Lemon grove.

Figure 3. Actual adult GWSS density since Fall 2003 in an untreated Tangerine grove.

Figure 4. Actual adult GWSS density since Fall 2003 in an untreated Grapefruit grove.
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ABSTRACT
Our results indicate that 1) GWSS populations in untreated areas have been declining steadily during the last three years. Current populations are only 10 to 20% as dense as those during 2001-2002. 2) Forecast analysis indicates that, if the current trend is extrapolated, GWSS populations in untreated areas should decrease to negligible numbers some time after winter, 2008, and before summer 2013, depending on Citrus species. However, 3) analyses of the data sets currently available, show that adult GWSS densities are cycling around a possible equilibrium level of 600 adults in Valencias and 950 adults in lemons, when left untreated. The period encompassed by the data sets for Tangerines and Grapefruit is still too short for this type of analysis. 4) Overall, less than 30% of the first instar nymphs survive to the fifth instar nymphs, and less than 15% of these nymphs survive to become adults. 5) During this last winter (2003-2004), overwintering adult densities declined in grapefruit, tangerines, and oranges but they increased in lemons, in the absence of any significant production of nymphs. The latter suggests that adult GWSS were moving among trees and cultivars due to changes in the nutritional and/or moisture status of these trees. We will use the xylem fluid samples currently being analysed, to test this hypothesis.

INTRODUCTION
It is widely recognized that disrupting Xylella transmission and preventing Pierce’s disease (PD) epidemics requires Glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) population levels to be exceedingly scarce. Recognizing critical points in GWSS’ annual population cycles will allow us to identify the spatial and temporal scales during which GWSS populations are vulnerable to control measures timed to coincide with critical densities in its populations that can drive its local populations nearly extinct. In addition, determining whether GWSS populations will continue to decrease and eventually stabilize in the absence of pesticides but in the presence of parasitoids is of the utmost importance. Currently, almost all citrus groves infested with GWSS in California are treated. The groves at Agricultural Operations, University of California Riverside, are an exception. Our work in these untreated groves provides a means of exploring the dynamics of GWSS populations in untreated citrus groves exposed to egg parasitism. The results from these studies might also suggest the expected dynamics of GWSS populations inhabiting urban environments where GWSS is under little or no control except by egg parasitoids.

Our results to date suggest that GWSS has a major reproductive period during the spring and a second reproductive period during autumn. This autumn generation involves a dense egg population laid by the GWSS arising from the spring generation but very few of these eggs mature to become adult GWSS. Furthermore, nymphal mortalities are quite high, only about 30% of the first instar nymphs reach the last nymphal stage, and less than 15% of these first instar nymphs survive to become adults, but this varies between Citrus varieties. Although the source of this egg and nymphal loss still needs to be explored, we have measured egg parasitism ranging from 78% to 92% during the second half of the year. It is at this point that the GWSS may be vulnerable to a selective control measures. Our studies also showed an 80 to 90% decline during the last three years in valencias and lemons. The period of one year during which we have been sampling tangerines and Grapefruit is still too short to conduct a worthwhile analysis for these varieties (See figures 1 to 4). Next year’s samples from the four citrus varieties will be crucial in testing whether the pattern in GWSS’ dynamics continues or is transient.

OBJECTIVES
This project seeks to characterize GWSS’ spatial and temporal dynamics involved in its annual population cycles on its dominant host, i.e. Citrus sp. We seek to identify periods in this cycle during which selective control measures, appropriately
Table 4. Development time of *G. ashmeadi* within *H. coagulata* eggs of different ages when parasitized at varying densities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density</th>
<th>1d (Mean ± SE)</th>
<th>3d (Mean ± SE)</th>
<th>5d (Mean ± SE)</th>
<th>7d (Mean ± SE)</th>
<th>9d (Mean ± SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.9(0.6) d</td>
<td>21.0(2.1) a</td>
<td>17.9(1.6) c</td>
<td>15.7(1.4) e</td>
<td>17.6(1.4) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.5(0.8) a</td>
<td>18.5(1.6) c</td>
<td>18.0(1.1) c</td>
<td>18.3(0.9) a</td>
<td>18.3(0.8) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>16.5(0.7) b</td>
<td>18.6(2.2) c</td>
<td>18.8(1.3) b</td>
<td>18.1(0.9) ab</td>
<td>19.1(1.2) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>16.1(0.8) c</td>
<td>18.3(2.2) c</td>
<td>17.8(1.5) c</td>
<td>18.0(1.0) bc</td>
<td>16.9(1.6) d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>16.0(1.4) cd</td>
<td>18.6(1.5) c</td>
<td>19.5(1.2) a</td>
<td>17.8(0.6) c</td>
<td>17.4(1.4) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>15.5(0.7) e</td>
<td>19.4(1.0) b</td>
<td>17.4(1.0) d</td>
<td>17.2(1.2) d</td>
<td>18.1(1.2) b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[F = 45.39\]
\[F = 37.00\]
\[F = 88.13\]
\[F = 84.08\]
\[F = 73.93\]
\[df = 5,1434\]
\[df = 5,995\]
\[df = 5,1180\]
\[df = 5,960\]
\[df = 5,1253\]
\[P < 0.0001\]
\[P < 0.0001\]
\[P < 0.0001\]
\[P < 0.0001\]
\[P < 0.0001\]

Means in a column followed by different letters are significantly different \((P < 0.05, \text{GLM})\) in ANOVA (Duncan).

Table 5. Number (mean ±SE) of *G. ashmeadi* eggs per host egg, percentage of emergence and development time at different parasitoid-to-host egg ratios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasitoid-host ratio</th>
<th>No. parasitoid / host</th>
<th>% Emergence</th>
<th>Development time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(N_1)</td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>(N_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10.40 ± 4.86 a</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.02 ± 1.69 b</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.24 ± 1.16 c</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.89 d</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.59 d</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.58 d</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means in a column followed by different letters are significantly different \((P < 0.05, \text{GLM})\) in ANOVA (Duncan). \(N_1\) represents the number of dissected host eggs, \(N_2\) represents the number of egg masses observed, and \(N_3\) is the number of parasitoid emerging from host eggs.

**FUNDING AGENCIES**

Funding for this project was provided by the USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service and the USDA Agricultural Research Service.
Table 1. Parasitism by *G. ashmeadi* on *H. coagulata* eggs of different ages at varying densities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density</th>
<th>Mean No. Parasitized (SE)</th>
<th>1d</th>
<th>3d</th>
<th>5d</th>
<th>7d</th>
<th>9d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.5(1.3) a</td>
<td>8.7(2.2) a</td>
<td>8.9(1.6) a</td>
<td>9.0(2.2) a</td>
<td>9.1(1.1) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.1(1.6) b</td>
<td>15.5(3.2) b</td>
<td>14.8(3.4) b</td>
<td>14.6(3.9) ab</td>
<td>14.7(3.3) ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.9(3.0) c</td>
<td>17.9(8.4) b</td>
<td>22.0(5.8) c</td>
<td>19.8(7.7) b c</td>
<td>18.7(4.1) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.5(4.7) cd</td>
<td>22.2(9.8) bc</td>
<td>25.1(7.3) cd</td>
<td>22.7(5.6) c</td>
<td>25.8(6.2) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.3(7.5) d</td>
<td>25.6(10.0) cd</td>
<td>29.4(5.1) de</td>
<td>23.9(11.9) cd</td>
<td>29.5(13.1) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.8(4.7) e</td>
<td>30.7(6.9) d</td>
<td>32.2(4.5) e</td>
<td>29.9(7.3) d</td>
<td>30.1(3.4) c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ F = 43.12 \]
\[ F = 11.02 \]
\[ F = 31.69 \]
\[ F = 10.59 \]
\[ F = 16.96 \]
\[ df = 5,59 \]
\[ df = 5,59 \]
\[ df = 5,59 \]
\[ df = 5,59 \]
\[ df = 5,59 \]
\[ P < 0.0001 \]
\[ P < 0.0001 \]
\[ P < 0.0001 \]
\[ P < 0.0001 \]
\[ P < 0.001 \]

Means in a column followed by different letters are significantly different (*P* < 0.05, GLM) in ANOVA (Duncan).

Table 2. Coefficients of determination for functional response regression models of *G. ashmeadi* to *H. coagulata* eggs of different ages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of Eggs (d)</th>
<th>Type I ((r^2))</th>
<th>Type II ((r^2))</th>
<th>Type III ((r^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7776</td>
<td>0.9729</td>
<td>0.9727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4979</td>
<td>0.8993</td>
<td>0.8992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.7260</td>
<td>0.9607</td>
<td>0.9608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4783</td>
<td>0.9038</td>
<td>0.9036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5872</td>
<td>0.9280</td>
<td>0.9280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*G. ashmeadi* targeted host densities ranged from 10 to 60 sharpshooter eggs per experimental container. Type I functional response model was evaluated using SAS PROC GLM whereas Type II and III models were evaluated using SAS PROC NLM to generate \(r^2\) values indicating best fit.

Table 3. Type II and III functional response parameters of *G. ashmeadi* when parasitizing *H. coagulata* eggs of different ages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional response model</th>
<th>Host age (d)</th>
<th>Instantaneous attack rate ((a ± SE)^a)</th>
<th>Handling time ((T_h ± SE)^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5782 ± 0.0626 a</td>
<td>0.0300 ± 0.0004 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4544 ± 0.0959 a</td>
<td>0.0315 ± 0.0105 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5013 ± 0.0640 a</td>
<td>0.0286 ± 0.0058 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5064 ± 0.1088 a</td>
<td>0.0377 ± 0.0099 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4831 ± 0.0849 a</td>
<td>0.0296 ± 0.0082 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8131 ± 2.2011 a</td>
<td>0.0342 ± 0.0056 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0137 ± 0.5410 b</td>
<td>0.0333 ± 0.0117 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.4394 ± 0.6301 b</td>
<td>0.0316 ± 0.0067 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.3858 ± 0.9508 b</td>
<td>0.0403 ± 0.0113 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.2495 ± 0.6620 b</td>
<td>0.0322 ± 0.0094 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Instantaneous attack rate \((a)\) and handling time \((T_h)\) estimated by SAS PROC NLM and pairwise compared among host ages using indicator variable (0 or 1) for age.
from type II functional response models varied slightly but were not significantly different among host ages (Table 3). The $a$ value for 1-d-old eggs was slightly higher than that for other ages when data were fit to a type II functional response model. The estimate for handling time (time spent on eggs) by the wasps for all host egg ages did not vary significantly. When the data were fitted to a type III functional response model, the $a$ value estimated for 1-d-old eggs was significantly higher than that for host eggs of 3-, 5-, 7- and 9-d-old. However, the handling time of $G. \text{ashmeadi}$ for all egg ages was similar, ranging from the value of 0.032 to that of 0.040.

**Effect of Host age on Parasitoid Development Time**

The development time of $G. \text{ashmeadi}$ within host eggs varied significantly with host density and host age (Table 4). Within the 1-, 3-, 5-, 7- and 9-d-old host eggs, the mean development time ($\pm$ SE) of the parasitoid was 16.0 $\pm$ 1.0 d ($n = 1435$), 18.9 $\pm$ 1.8 d ($n = 996$), 18.3 $\pm$ 1.5 d ($n = 1181$), 17.6 $\pm$ 1.2 d ($n = 961$) and 17.8 $\pm$ 1.5 d ($n = 1254$), respectively. The parasitoid within 1-d-old sharpshooter eggs developed significantly faster than that within other ages ($F = 766.41$, $df = 5$, 5826, $P < 0.0001$). A two way ANOVA further showed that host age ($F = 999.47$, $df = 4$, 5826, $P < 0.0001$) and density ($F = 58.26$, $df = 5$, 5826, $P < 0.0001$) contributed significantly to the development time of $G. \text{ashmeadi}$. The significant interactive effect on development time occurred between host age and density ($F = 62.82$, $df = 20$, 5826, $P < 0.0001$).

**Super-parasitism.**

Maximum number of parasitoid eggs in one host egg was 18. The level of super-parasitism of $G. \text{ashmeadi}$ (Table 5) varied significantly with increasing host density ($F = 225.17$, $df = 5$, 5494, $P < 0.0001$). The mean number of parasitoid eggs per sharpshooter egg at 1:1 parasitoid-to-host ratio is significantly greater than that at other ratios. When the parasitoid-to-host ratio increased to $> 1.15$, host eggs pooled from each host density were almost all parasitized. There was a significant positive correlation between the number of parasitoid eggs per host egg and parasitoid-to-host ratio ($F = 1231.69$, $df = 548$, $r = 0.8319$, $r^2 = 0.692$, $P < 0.0001$). $G. \text{ashmeadi}$ is a solitary parasitoid and normally only one wasp emerges from each egg of its host. In treatments with high host densities such as at 1:1 and 1:5 parasitoid ratios, the percentage of parasitoid eclosion was significantly higher than in low-density treatments ($F = 3.996$, $df = 4243$, $P = 0.004$)(Table 5). However, there is no correlation between parasitoid-to-host ratio and percentage of parasitoid eclosion ($F = 3.29$, $df = 242$, $r = 0.1140$, $r^2 = 0.013$, $P = 0.071$). Although there was a significant statistical difference in development time of the parasitoid within the host egg among different parasitoid-to-host ratios ($F = 46.851$, $df = 4$, 1862, $P < 0.0001$), the maximum difference was only about 0.7d.

For $G. \text{ashmeadi}$, $x^2$ goodness-of-fit analyses of parasitoid egg numbers per host egg revealed that frequencies of super-parasitism were significantly different from the expected Poison distribution over all host densities ($x^2 = 231.291$, $df = 4$, $P < 0.0001$). The relationship between the variances ($S^2$) and means ($m$) was described by Taylor’s power law (Taylor 1961) as: $\log S^2 = -0.4384 + 1.0288 \log m$ ($r = 0.604$, $df = 28$, $F = 42.78$, $P < 0.0001$, where $b = 1.0288 > 1$, indicating an aggregated distribution of super-parasitism for $G. \text{ashmeandi}$ over all experimental parasitoid-to-host ratios.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The studies on the functional responses of $G. \text{ashmeadi}$ to GWSS eggs of different ages and densities in the laboratory have improved our understanding of the interactions between the parasitoid and host egg. Because this parasitoid fits the II and III functional response models in relation to different host ages, it further confirms that the wasp has the capacity of effectively parasitizing eggs throughout most of the embryonic development of the GWSS. Further, studies on super-parasitism of $G. \text{ashmeadi}$ provide valuable information for the mass-rearing and field release of this parasitoid. Our results indicate that super-parasitism occurs when the parasitoid-to-host ratio is greater than 1:15. Super-parasitism results in a waste of the reproductive potential of this species because $G. \text{ashmeadi}$ is a solitary-developing wasp and usually only one parasitoid emerges from one GWSS egg.
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ABSTRACT
The functional responses and super-parasitism by the egg parasitoid, Gonatocerus ashmeadi, on Homalodisca coagulata eggs were related to host age and density when studied under laboratory conditions. Parasitism of Glassy-winged Sharpshooter (GWSS) eggs, 1-, 3-, 5-, 7- and 9-d-old, was measured at 22 ± 1°C and under 10L:14D regime. For each host age, 10-60 eggs were exposed to an individual parasitoid for 24 h. The functional responses for the parasitoids to host eggs of all age groups most closely fit the type II and III models of Hollings (1959) and Hassell (1978) which relate to the elapsed time for accomplishing the behavioral events associated with parasitism of the host as modified by host density. The instantaneous attack rate by parasitoids on 1-d-old host eggs, as specified in the type III model, was significantly greater from that of the other ages. This rate was also greater in the type II model but was not statistically significant. The total number of host eggs parasitized varied significantly with host density and age of the eggs, but not when analyzed by a host x density interaction. Host age and density, as well as the host x density interaction, contributed significantly to the differences found in length of development time of G. ashmeadi within host eggs. The wasps exhibited a tendency towards super-parasitism at relatively high parasitoid-to-host ratios. The maximum number of parasitoid eggs found in a single host egg was 18. The development time and eclosion of the parasitoids had no correlation with parasitoid-to-host ratios. Frequencies of super-parasitism for G. ashmeadi displayed an aggregated distribution over all observed host densities.

INTRODUCTION
The effectiveness of parasitoids in regulation of a pest population is highly dependent on their ability to search for and handle hosts in a varying ecosystem. This effectiveness has been traditionally related to the functional response of a parasite or predator (Hassell 1978, Fujii et al. 1986). The functional response is defined as the relationship between the numbers of prey taken by the predator as a function of prey density (Holling 1959). The functional response is an essential component of the dynamics of host-parasitoid relationship, and is an important determinant of the stability of the system (Oaten and Murdoch 1975). Functional response analyses are commonly used to help predict the potential for parasitoids to regulate host population (Solomon 1949, Oaten and Murdoch 1975). Successful parasitoids have the ability to discriminate among parasitized eggs, avoid super-parasitism and minimize the waste of time and energy associated with their searching and parasitizing behaviors (Godfray 1994). However, under certain circumstances, superparasitism might be adaptive (van Alphen & Visser 1990). Further, when mass-rearing solitary parasitoids for use in an augmentative release program, super-parasitism represents a waste of the production colony’s potential output. This report presents the progress on investigations determining certain aspects of the functional responses and super-parasitism by the parasitoid, G. ashmeadi.

OBJECTIVES
1. Investigate the response of G. ashmeadi to GWSS eggs of different ages and determine the effects of host egg age on functional response parameters and parasitism.
2. Determine effect of host densities and ages with respect to developmental time of wasps.
3. Investigate relationship between super-parasitism by the wasp at different host densities and effect of super-parasitism on wasp emergence and development time.

RESULTS
Functional Responses
There was a significant increase in the numbers of H. coagulata eggs of different ages parasitized by egg parasitoid, G. ashmeadi, with an increase in host density (Table 1). At the host densities of 40, 50, and 60, the numbers of eggs parasitized were significantly higher than that of relatively low densities of 10 and 20 over all host ages. The number of 1-d-old eggs parasitized was slightly greater than that of 5-, 7- and 9-d-old-eggs. A two-way ANOVA, with age and density as factors, revealed that the number of eggs parasitized varied significantly with host age (F = 3.64, df = 4,299, P < 0.0001). There was no significant effect of age x density interaction on the number of host eggs parasitized (F = 0.44, df = 20, 299, P = 0.899).

The functional responses of G. ashmeadi parasitizing host eggs at the various ages showed that the shape of the functional response curves were affected by differences in the parasitization rates of G. ashmeadi. At all host ages, the G. ashmeadi functional response data most closely fit the type II and III models. Coefficients of determination (r² values) for type II and III curves were very similar (Table 2). The instantaneous attack rates (a) and handling time (Th) estimated
Table 2. Parasitism and emergence by *G. ashmeadi* on the GWSS eggs exposed to the daily stepwise temperature regime (10, 11, 12, 13°C - changing at 6h intervals) for 15 to 140 d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage time</th>
<th>No. egg masses</th>
<th>No. eggs</th>
<th>Parasitism (mean % ±SE)</th>
<th>Emergence (mean % ± SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 d</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>74.99 ± 3.20 a</td>
<td>68.25 ± 3.11 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 d</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>76.98 ± 9.26 a</td>
<td>67.18 ± 9.23 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>77.76 ± 6.58 a</td>
<td>57.15 ±13.49 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 d</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>47.75 ± 8.15 b</td>
<td>41.89 ± 8.05 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 d</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>37.27 ± 7.49 b</td>
<td>28.69 ± 6.61 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 d</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>44.36 ± 8.69 b</td>
<td>22.58 ± 7.11 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 d</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>11.79 ± 4.68 c</td>
<td>7.31 ± 3.84 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 d</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>4.25 ± 2.40 c</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.89 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140 d</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2.02 ± 1.38 c</td>
<td>2.02 ± 1.38 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = 14.934

F = 13.661

df = 8, 137

df = 8, 137

P < 0.001

P < 0.001

Means within a column followed by different letters were significantly different at the significant level of 0.05 (SAS Proc GLM with LSD). Data were square-root transformed before analysis.

Figure 3. Percentage emergence of *G. ashmeadi* from GWSS eggs stored at constant temperatures for 10-25 d. Bar marked by an asterisk represents a significant difference (P < 0.05).

Figure 4. Percentage emergence of *G. ashmeadi* from the GWSS eggs stored at stepwise temperatures for 10-25 d. Bar marked by an asterisk represents a significant difference (P < 0.05).
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**Figure 1.** Relationship of the % parasitism (y) of G. ashmeadi to storage time (x) of the GWSS eggs at stepwise temperatures (10–13ºC)(y = 5.10 + 1393.18/x, r = 0.58) (F=68.24, df=136, P < 0.001)
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**Figure 2.** Relationship of the % emergence (y) of G. ashmeadi to storage time (x) of the GWSS eggs at stepwise temperatures (10–13ºC) (y = -0.35 + 1286.50/x, r = 0.59) (F = 79.01, df = 136, P < 0.001).

**Table 1.** Egg hatch, development time of nymphs and reproduction of adults for GWSS eggs stored under different temperature conditions (mean ± SE).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage conditions</th>
<th>Egg hatch (%)</th>
<th>Development time of nymphal stage</th>
<th>Adult reproduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% survival</td>
<td>Male (d)</td>
<td>Female (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (25ºC)</td>
<td>82.9 ± 7.4</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>35.9 ± 0.5 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12ºC for 20 d</td>
<td>52.7 ±10.2</td>
<td>50.0*</td>
<td>43.9 ± 0.9 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-13ºC for 15 d</td>
<td>73.9 ± 5.4</td>
<td>50.0*</td>
<td>43.0 ± 0.7 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-13ºC for 20 d</td>
<td>62.6 ±10.3</td>
<td>40.0*</td>
<td>43.0 ± 3.5 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only 1 replicate. Means within a column followed by different letters were significantly different at the significant level of 0.05 (SAS Proc GLM with LSD). Data for egg hatch were square-root transformed before analysis.
Effects of Cold Storage of GWSS Eggs on Parasitism and Emergence by G. ashmeadi

Following storage in incubators set at a constant 12 or 12.5°C and also at the stepwise cycled regime as described above, GWSS egg masses were exposed to caged G. ashmeadi colonies for 2 days at room temperature (ca. 22 °C) and under an 10L:14D photoperiod. Before statistical analysis, the data recorded for parasitism and emergence were square-root transformed to correct non-normality because the number of eggs/mass was not constant.

After storage at 12°C for 30d, 69.6 ± 11.7% of the 3-d-old GWSS eggs (n = 90) and 47.7 ± 11.7% (n = 106) of the 1-d-old eggs were successfully parasitized by G. ashmeadi. The percentage wasp emergence was 68.5 ± 11.3 for 3 day-old eggs and 35.3 ± 10.0% for the 1 day-old eggs. There were no significant differences in the incidence of parasitism, as determined by egg dissection, \( F = 4.034, df = 1,14, P = 0.066 \) and emergence \( F = 1.728, df = 1,14, P = 0.211 \). Further, G. ashmeadi successfully parasitized about 77% of the 4-d-old , 52% of the 5-d-old, and 45% of the 3-d-old GWSS eggs stored at 12.5°C for 30d, and 46% of 3-d-old eggs stored for 50d. As above, there were no significant differences between parasitism and emergence in any of the comparable groups (data not shown).

When stored under the cycled stepwise temperature regime (10-13 °C), the parasitism \( F = 14.934, df = 8,137, P < 0.001 \) and emergence \( F = 13.661, df = 8,137, P < 0.001 \) of 1-d-old GWSS eggs by G. ashmeadi varied significantly with storage time (Table 2). More than 75% of GWSS eggs stored up to 25d were successfully parasitized and there was no significant difference in the incidence of parasitism between the control \( (92.1 ± 9.9%, n = 172) \) and the eggs stored for 15, 20 or 25d \( F = 1.764, df = 3,35, P = 0.172 \). However, percentage emergence for the eggs stored for 25d was significantly lower than that for the control \( (91.7 ± 2.7%, n = 172) \) \( F = 3.250, df = 3,35, P < 0.033 \). Further, there were no significant differences in percentage emergence between the control eggs and the eggs stored for 15 or 20d \( P = 0.099 \). After storage for 65d, < 44% eggs were parasitized by G. ashmeadi, and about 23% of wasps emerged, which was significantly lower than for eggs for stored for 25d or less. When stored for over 80d, the percentage parasitism and emergence were less than 12% and 7%, respectively. When these data were analyzed via a regression analysis, the percentage parasitism and emergence vs. storage time was found to be inversely correlated (Figures 1 and 2).

Cold Storage of GWSS Eggs Parasitized by G. ashmeadi

The experimental conditions for this study consisted of a constant 4 or 4.5°C storage temperature and 2 daily cycled stepwise-increasing regimes (4, 6, and 8°C or 4.5, 6, and 7.5°C - each temp. changing at 8h intervals) under an 8L:16D photoperiod. After the parasitized eggs were stored at 4 °C for 10d, only 7.2 ± 5.0% (n = 85) of the wasps emerged, which was significantly lower than those parasitoids similarly stored at 4.5°C (33.5 ± 7.2%, n = 280), 20 days (33.9 ± 6.9%, n = 114) or 25 days (21.7 ± 5.2%, n = 125) \( F = 11.962, df = 4,66, P < 0.001 \). No parasitoids (n = 164) emerged from host eggs stored at 4°C for 20d (Figure 3). When parasitoids were stored within hosts under the cycled stepwise temperature regime starting at 4 °C, percentage emergence was 42% (n =126) at 10 d, 8 % (n = 420) at 20d and 0% (n = 184) at 25d (Figure 4). However, for parasitized eggs stored at the other cycled regime starting at 4.5°C, the wasp emergence was at or above 60% throughout the 25d of storage. Thus, the percentage emergence for the parasitoids stored under the stepwise regime starting at 4.5°C for 10-25d was significantly higher than that for the eggs stored for 15d under the regime starting at 4°C \( F = 48.237, df = 5, 114, P < 0.0001 \). Parasitoids within GWSS eggs did not emerge after storage for 80 days, but further research is needed to ascertain if maintenance of the Euonymus cuttings that bear the egg masses during the storage period is causing a problem.
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ABSTRACT
Glassy-winged Sharpshooter (GWSS) egg masses, deposited on Euonymus japonica cuttings, were stored 1d after oviposition at either a constant temperature of 12ºC or under a regime that cycled daily, stepwise, (10, 11, 12, 13ºC @ 6h intervals) under an 8L:16D photoperiod. After storage under the cycled temperature regime for 15 and 20d, the hatch was 74 and 63%, respectively. Control hatch at 20d was about 80% and 50% after storage at a constant 12ºC. The survival to adulthood, length of the nymphal stage, and the fecundity of the adult females were all affected by cold storage during the egg stage, regardless whether the temperature was held constant or cycled. Survival to adulthood was reduced 30 to 40% and the time required to complete the nymphal stages was significantly longer than the control. The number of eggs oviposited by females and length of the ovipositional period after being held at 12ºC during the egg stage was about one-half that of the control group, while the values for the 20d cycled group are yet to be determined. The rates of parasitism and emergence by Gonatocerus ashmeadi decreased with the length of time that 1-d-old unparasitized GWSS eggs were stored under the cycled regime. When held up to 25d in storage, parasitism by wasps and emergence of their progeny remained statistically similar. After 50d of storage, parasitism and progeny emergence dropped 30% and 20%, respectively. After a storage period of 25d, parasitoid emergence from parasitized eggs stored at a constant 4.5ºC was significantly higher than those stored similarly at 4ºC. The cycled stepwise-increasing temperature regime of 4.5, 6.0, and 7.5ºC changing at 8h intervals yielded a significantly higher parasitoid emergence than a cycled regime of 4, 6, and 8ºC. When stored under the regime starting at 4.5ºC, for 10, 20 and 25d, the emergence of wasps was 66%, 59% and 59%, respectively. Parasitized eggs stored under this regime for 80d produced no wasps.

INTRODUCTION
Studies on cold storage of insects and their eggs have shown that developmental age, storage temperature, time in storage, and inherent species tolerance are the factors which influence survival after a cold storage period (Leopold 1998). The most effective temperature for storage of GWSS eggs was determined to be 12ºC (Leopold et al. 2003). Storage of 1-d-old GWSS eggs at 10ºC resulted in no survival after only 8d period. Storage at 13 and 14ºC resulted in high survival and parasitism by Gonatocerus ashmeadi and G. triguttatus at 20d, but in-storage hatching of the GWSS eggs occurs after 30d and successful parasitism by the wasps decreases under these constant temperature regimes. The within-host cold tolerance of the Gonatocerus spp. is significantly greater than that of the unparasitized GWSS eggs. Emergence of the wasps occurs at temperatures ≥ 5ºC when the parasitized eggs are stored < 20d. Since certain conditions, such as temperature variation and fluctuation and high or low humidities have been reported to enhance survival of insects and their parasites during cold storage (Iacob and Iacob 1972, Gautum 1986, Liu and Tian 1987, Leopold et al. 1998), the present study was initiated to determine whether we could lengthen the survival time of GWSS eggs and the egg parasitoid by varying the temperature while in storage. We were especially interested in determining whether any latent damaging effects of chilling would be expressed, beyond diminished emergence, that might affect the quality of previously cold-stored insects.

OBJECTIVES
1. Compare the cold tolerance of GWSS eggs stored at a constant temperature with eggs stored under a cycled stepwise temperature regime and evaluate the post storage developmental time of nymphs and reproduction of adults.
2. Compare the effects of cold storage of unparasitized GWSS eggs under constant and cycled stepwise low temperatures regimes on the subsequent parasitism and emergence of G. ashmeadi.
3. Determine whether a cycled stepwise cold temperature regime enhances the shelf-life of parasitoids while in host eggs.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS
Cold storage of Unparasitized GWSS Eggs
GWSS egg masses deposited on Euonymus cuttings were stored in incubators set at constant (12ºC) and cycling stepwise-increasing temperatures (10, 11, 12, and 13 ºC @ 6h intervals) under an 8L:16D photoperiod for varying lengths of time. After removal from storage, the cuttings bearing GWSS egg masses were incubated at room temperature (ca. 22 ºC) to record egg hatch. After storage at 12ºC for 30d, 52.7 ± 10.2% of 1-d-old eggs (n = 102), 50.7 ± 7.1% of 3-d-old eggs (n = 87) and 44.7 ± 5.1% of 5-d-old eggs (n =61) hatched. However, no hatching was observed after 30d storage. When stored at the stepwise cycling temperature (10-13 ºC) for 15, 20, and 25d, the hatch of 1-d-old eggs was 73.9 ± 11.1% (n = 142),
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Table 1. Mean\textsuperscript{a} developmental duration and size of three biometric parameters of immature stages of GWSS reared on excised cowpea leaves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instar</th>
<th>Immature duration ± SE (days)</th>
<th>Biometric parameter ± SE (mm)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.9 a BC</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.9 a BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.5 a C</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.8 a C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.9 a BC</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.2 a BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.7 a B</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.9 a AB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23.7 ± 2.5 a A</td>
<td>14.6 ± 1.8 b A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>60.9 ± 2.9 a</td>
<td>53.0 ± 1.5b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Means followed by the same small case letter within each row and by the same capital letter within each column are not significantly different (P > 0.05), Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch multiple range test (REGWQ). N, represents the sample size.

Table 2. Fecundity and life table parameters of GWSS reared on excised cowpea leaves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Fecundity*, $r_m$</th>
<th>$R_o$</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>193.7</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% LCI</td>
<td>154.2</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>22.38</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>1.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% UCI</td>
<td>233.2</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>44.75</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>1.050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Mean fecundity of gravid females only, i.e., 13 females; n, number of pairs included in analysis; $r_m$, jackknife estimate of the intrinsic rate of increase; $R_o$, net reproductive rate; $G$, mean generation time (in days); DT, population doubling time (in days); and $\lambda$, finite rate of increase; LCI = lower confidence limits and UCI = upper confidence limit

Figure 2. Distribution of head capsule widths of GWSS nymphs and adults.
Adult longevity was comparable for males (47 d) and females (52 d). For both males and females, no mortality occurred until 20 d after adult emergence. There was a 5 d pre-oviposition period (3 - 9 d) and a 3 d post-oviposition period (0 - 7 d).

A high proportion of females (88%) deposited eggs, with a mean total of 194 eggs per female. The eggs were deposited in clusters under the epidermis layer of cowpea leaves and were mostly in even numbers (93%). Most of the eggs incubated (92.6%) were fertile, and took from 5 to 8 d, with a mean value of 7.1 d, to emerge at 27 ºC.

Life table statistics of GWSS on cowpea are presented on Table 2. Populations of GWSS could multiply at a rate of 33.6 times per generation on cowpea, thus doubling in 15.6 d. Analysis of natality pattern of GWSS revealed that the number of offspring per female was independent of female age, suggesting that food availability might determine the fecundity potential of females.

The successful completion of GWSS life cycle on cowpea suggests that the xylem fluid of this plant has a nutrient profile suitable for both immature and adult stages. The rearing approach used here is quite sample and allowed us to follow each individual GWSS during its development.

Biometric analysis
Values of the three biometric parameters, BDL, HTL, and HCW, varied significantly with the developmental stage (Table 1). Only the grouping for the HCW did not overlap between nymphal stages as indicated by the mean comparison and the distribution of frequency analysis (Table 1, Figure 2). Thus, the HCW could be used as a reliable parameter for distinguishing the five nymphal stages of GWSS.

![Biometric analysis graph](image)

**Figure 1.** Survival of *H. coagulata* nymphal stages on excised cowpea leaves maintained at 27 ºC.
ABSTRACT
Stage specific survival, growth, developmental biology, and morphometric analysis of individual glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), *Homalodisca coagulata* (Say), were studied in the laboratory at 27 ± 1 °C, 65 ± 5 RH and 14:10 L:D photoperiod regime, on excised cowpea leaves and stems. Embryonic development of eggs was completed in 7.1 days with 92.6% of the eggs incubated being fertile. The total nymphal period for females (61 ± 3.0 days) was significantly longer than that of males (53 ± 1.5 days). Significant differences were observed between the duration of the 5 nymphal stages, with the 2nd being the shortest and the last (5th) the longest for both sexes. Stage specific mortality was similar between instars, ≈ 36.4% of the nymphs reached adult stage, and adult sex ratio was not different from a 1:1 ratio. Based on a cohort of 15 pairs, analysis of life table parameters indicated that populations of *H. coagulata* increased at a rate of 1.045 per day and doubled within 15.6 days. Biometric data comprising body length, head capsule width and hind tibia length were recorded on a total of 276 individuals. The different growth stages were well described by the three biometric parameters. However, analysis of frequency distribution showed that head capsule width was the most suitable parameter for distinguishing the immature developmental stages of GWSS.

INTRODUCTION
The glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), *Homalodisca coagulata* (Say), is a highly polyphagous xylem-feeder that is indigenous to the southern United States, from Florida to Texas, and northeasterneastern Mexico (Turner and Pollard 1959). Other than being a minor nuisance in urban environments, the glassy-winged sharpshooter itself causes relatively little direct economic damage or plant loss except for the cosmetic damage to citrus fruits from egg masses deposited into fruits when populations of *H. coagulata* are high (Hix et al. 2003). The most destructive characteristic of GWSS lies in its ability to transmit a plant bacterial pathogen, *Xylella fastidiosa*, one of the causal agents of Pierce's disease (PD) (Redak et al. 2004). However, the recent invasion and establishment of *H. coagulata* in California has dramatically changed the ecology of *X. fastidiosa* and the epidemiology of Pierce’s disease (Almeida and Purcell 2003).

Despite the importance and vector status of GWSS, few studies have evaluated its reproductive biology. Little is known about its life table statistics, as published biological studies have not covered the entire life cycle of GWSS. The reasons of the paucity of knowledge on the reproductive biology of GWSS might be the lack of artificial diet-based rearing method for GWSS, as well as the different nutrient requirements of nymphs and adult (Brodbeck et al. 1996).

The present study is focused on developing a simple rearing method for following the development of individual GWSS from egg to adult emergence. We also recorded the longevity and fecundity of adults, and determined the life table statistics of GWSS. Life tables and fertility tables are powerful tools for analyzing and understanding the impact that an external factor has on growth, survival, reproduction, and rate of increase of an insect population (Bellows et al. 1992). As the GWSS undergoes five ecdyses during its development (Turner and Pollard 1959, Brodbeck et al. 1999), it is of significant importance to develop reliable morphological criteria for distinguishing the various nymphal stages.

OBJECTIVES
1. Develop a simple method for rearing individual GWSS from egg to adult on cowpea.
2. Determine the survivorship, egg to adult development time, and reproduction potential of GWSS on cowpea.
3. Examine the growth pattern of this sharpshooter based on three selected biometric parameters that could be used to distinguish the different developmental stages.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS
Biology and Life Table Statistics
The ultimate survivorship of *H. coagulata* on cowpea was 36.4% (Figure 1). The duration of the five instars ranged from 6 to 24 d and was significantly affected by nymphal stage, sex and the sex by developmental stage interaction (Table 1). Within each sex group, the first three instars had the shortest development time, while the last instar (5th) took the longest time to complete for females only (Table 1). The mean total nymphal period of *H. coagulata* on cowpea was 8 d longer for females (61 d) than males (53 d) (Table 2). Out of the 32 *H. coagulata* adults that emerged, 18 were females but the sex ratio was not different from a 1:1 ratio.
will be introduced onto potted plants placed in cages and populations monitored monthly throughout the winter period and in the subsequent spring. At each location, four caged replicates of host plant species including the plant species navel orange, grape, and peach will be evaluated individually and in combination. A detailed record of adult GWSS feeding and resting preference will be observed twice monthly throughout the 20 week duration of the experiment beginning November and lasting through March.

CONCLUSIONS
We believe that this recently funded project has a high probability of success both in terms of generating significant new information regarding the overwintering population dynamics of GWSS in California and in providing practical guidance towards management of this pathosystem. This information will further be useful in accurately identifying specific regions of the Central Valley where GWSS overwintering survivorship is greatest and a significant threat of reinfestation is posed. Our research will expand on previous work that has characterized the role of climatic factors in the distribution of Xf diseases by defining the specific environmental constraints that influence GWSS population dynamics. Moreover, results from these experiments will be coupled with climatological data in an effort to spatially define those locations where GWSS populations may be unable to successfully overwinter or conversely where populations may find overwintering refuges from extended periods of temperatures that limit adult feeding (Figure 3). Combined with our findings in laboratory bioassays, high resolution (i.e., 1 km scale) raster-based data can be queried to generate predictive maps revealing areas within the Central Valley that may function as “thermal islands”, which could favorably support GWSS overwintering populations compared to adjacent agricultural landscapes. As an example, Figure 3 illustrates results of a raster file generated from data collected in January 1993 portraying the number of occurrences where daily maximum temperatures never exceeded 10°C (50°F) for periods of 48 and 96 hours, respectively. With an improved understanding of the climatological limits of GWSS overwintering survivorship, these data can help to spatially define where GWSS can be expected to persist in the agricultural landscape and identify where continued management efforts should be directed to limit introductions into currently non-infested areas. The proposed research will generate critical new information about GWSS spatial population dynamics, thereby contributing towards the development of long-term, economically, and environmentally sustainable management solutions that will directly benefit agricultural producers, crop consultants, and other stakeholders.

REFERENCES

FUNDING AGENCIES
Funding for this project was provided by the CDFA Pierce’s Disease and Glassy-winged Sharpshooter Board.
increase our present understanding of the overwintering requirements of GWSS with a focus on critical environmental and host species factors that may limit population distribution in the Central Valley of California.

OBJECTIVES
1. Identify the critical environmental constraints that influence the spatial population dynamics and overwintering success of GWSS in California’s Central Valley.
2. Characterize the impact of host plant species succession on the overwintering survivorship of GWSS populations that constrain the insect’s ability to become established and persist throughout the San Joaquin Valley.

RESULTS
Objective 1
Experiments designed to define the temperature-dependent feeding biology of GWSS are underway at the GWSS Experimental Laboratory on the campus of California State University Fresno (CSUF). Colonies of adult GWSS are maintained at this newly established USDA-ARS research facility in cooperation with research personnel from CSUF, the University of California (Riverside, Berkeley), and the California Department of Food and Agriculture. Plans are to characterize adult GWSS feeding and survival in climate-controlled growth chambers to determine the temperature threshold for adult feeding activity under different combinations of host type and temperature regimes. Adult insects from the rearing colonies, as well as field collected insects in reproductive diapause, will be caged on selected plant species at varying temperatures for different exposure periods in environmental chambers. At the completion of the exposure period(s), the three infested treatments of each plant species will be removed from the chamber and adult GWSS performance and survivorship monitored through the remainder of the adult insect life on the respective test plants in individual screen cages.

In preliminary trials designed to indirectly measure feeding rates, water sensitive paper placed under caged adult GWSS on cowpea collected varying levels of excreta at temperatures of 15.6, 10.0, and 4.6°C (Figure 1). Water sensitive paper strips (2” X 3”), which collect excreted honeydew, are placed adjacent to the plant stem and immediately below a 2” diameter cylindrical Lexan® cage in which adult GWSS are confined on a test plant. In future experiments, the paper will be notched and fit to the plant stem and will be manually replaced on a 4 hour interval over 24 hour intervals. Over the 24 h observations, 12 honeydew clocks will be used for each variety at each of 3 start times corresponding to 0600, 1400, and 2200 h to determine any influence of time of day (Padgham and Woodhead 1988). The amount of excreta collected upon the exposed surface(s) of water-sensitive paper will be compared among different, replicated temperature and exposure regimes to better refine the environmental conditions in which GWSS feeding is restricted or discontinued.

A third set of laboratory experiments are underway using an electro-penetration feeding (EPG) monitoring apparatus to perform waveform analysis at different temperatures. Ten day old adult female GWSS are used in these EPG experiments and are initially placed in separate acclimation cages for 2 hours at the appropriate temperature upon which they will be tested. Preliminary results illustrate differences in the frequency and duration of probing events (green-shaded boxes) of adult GWSS held at temperatures of 15.6, 10.0, and 4.6°C for 12 hour testing intervals on cowpea test plants (Figure 2). Waveform excerpts were taken approximately 225 seconds after the recording began and compressed 2000 times to represent 6.5 hours of recording. These preliminary results indicate that temperature grossly affects GWSS probing behavior between 4.4-15.5 °C. In planned experiments, a total of 5 tethered insects will be simultaneously monitored as experimental replicates at temperatures of 12.2, 10.0, 8.9, and 6.7 °C for exposure intervals of 6, 12, and 24 hour periods. Time course examination of waveforms will reveal the frequency and duration of insect feeding behavior and will help to accurately define the temperature threshold at which ingestion and other waveforms are halted (Serrano et al. 2000).

Objective 2
Seasonal population dynamics of GWSS will be monitored on selected host plants placed in different micro-climatic areas of the San Joaquin Valley: 1) the citrus-growing, foothill region of Tulare County; and 2) a GWSS-infested region of the valley floor just west of Porterville in Tulare County. In these experiments, we will examine GWSS survivorship in caged experiments on a selected host plant species. In each cage, fifty second generation GWSS adults, nearing reproductive diapause in the fall season, will be collected from natural infestations and released onto caged plants in late summer. Insects
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Reporting Period: The results reported here are from work conducted from June 2004 through September 2004.

ABSTRACT
The purpose of this project is to define specific environmental constraints that influence glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) population dynamics and overwintering success. We are beginning experiments to determine the temperature-dependent feeding biology of GWSS in temperature-controlled chambers. Experiments are underway in the recently established GWSS Experimental Laboratory on the campus of California State University, Fresno. Adult GWSS feeding and survival under different combinations of host plant type and temperature regimes will be monitored to determine the temperature thresholds for adult feeding activity. Complementary experiments measuring honeydew excretion rates have begun to determine the amounts of excreta collected upon exposed surface(s) of water-sensitive paper and will be compared among different temperature and exposure regimes. Electro-penetration feeding monitoring assays are underway at different temperatures on individually tethered and feeding GWSS adults. Time course examinations of waveforms reveal the frequency and duration of insect feeding behavior under varying environmental conditions. The seasonal population dynamics of GWSS will be monitored on selected host plants placed in different micro-climatic areas of the San Joaquin Valley. Results from these experiments will be coupled with climatological data to help to spatially define where GWSS can be expected to persist in the agricultural landscape and identify where continued management efforts should be directed to limit introductions into currently non-infested areas.

INTRODUCTION
The bacteria Xylella fastidiosa (Xf) causes economically important diseases of several agronomic, horticultural, and landscape ornamental crops (Pearson and Goheen 1988). The bacterium is transmitted by xylem feeding sharpshooters (Cicadellidae) and spittlebugs (Cercopidae) (Adlerz and Hopkins 1979, Purcell and Frazier 1988). In California, Pierce’s disease incidence has been exacerbated following the introduction, establishment and continued spread of the glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), Homalodisca coagulata, which is an effective vector of Xf. GWSS was first detected in southern California in the early 1990’s and populations have since become established in many locations throughout southern portions of the state. First detected in Kern County in 1998, GWSS is now present in the San Joaquin Valley. However, the rapid population expansion first observed in southern California appears to be constrained to discrete regions within agricultural areas of the San Joaquin Valley and incipient, localized populations in urban areas of Fresno, Sacramento, Chico, and San Jose. The continued spread of GWSS into other California localities will almost certainly threaten the economic viability of grapes and other crop species susceptible to infection by various Xf strains.

Climate appears to play a significant role in the geographic distribution of diseases caused by Xf strains in California and throughout the southeastern U.S. (Purcell 1977, 1980, 1997). Similarly, populations of GWSS in the southeastern US appear to be constrained by climatic factors that limit the pest’s establishment and persistence (Pollard and Kaloostian 1961, Hoddle 2004). Presently, limited information exists on the overwintering biology and ecology of GWSS in the San Joaquin Valley of California. An emerging hypothesis is that GWSS may be limited by certain temperature thresholds at, or below, which feeding may be discontinued. In turn, we are designing experiments to carefully determine the thresholds below which feeding discontinues. Additionally, we will determine the critical duration of time spent in this non-feeding state, which may result in increased mortality. The results of the outlined experiments will advance our ability to define the specific environmental constraints that influence GWSS population dynamics and overwintering success. This information will by
currently employed morphological characters. To do this we intend to combine three separate approaches to determine the species identity of different *G. morrilli* populations: First, we’ll reassess key morphological features used to characterize *G. morrilli* with scanning electron microscopy to determine if subtle morphological differences exist between *G. morrilli* populations which could possibly indicate species differences. Such differences - should they exist - may not be easily observed with light microscopy. Second, we’ll conduct mating compatibility studies to determine if different populations of *G. morrilli* are reproductively isolated, or if mating occurs, whether offspring are viable thereby defining species groups on the basis of successful interbreeding. Third, we’ll determine if molecular differences exist between different *G. morrilli* populations by comparing mitochondrial and ribosomal DNA sequences. Molecular dissimilarities of key regions could potentially indicate the existence of different species, and at the same time allow their identification. Results from these three areas (morphology, behavior, and molecular avenues) of investigation will be evaluated together to determine whether *G. morrilli* as it is currently viewed is a valid species or whether it is an aggregate of morphologically indistinguishable cryptic species.

**RESULTS**
This project has not commenced. The reason for this is that the recruitment of the post-doc has taken some time. We expect the post-doc to be on-line in early December 2004. We will be formally requesting a no-cost extension for this project.

**FUNDING AGENCIES**
Funding for this project was provided by the University of California Pierce’s Disease Grant Program.
INTRODUCTION

This is a new proposal that was officially funded in July 2004. This project objective is to determine the status of different Gonatocerus morrilli populations. We intend to use three approaches to determine the species identity of different G. morrilli populations: (1) reassessment of key morphological features using scanning electron microscopy to determine if subtle morphological differences exist between G. morrilli populations which could possibly indicate species differences (Triapitsyn to conduct this work). (2) Conduct mating compatibility studies to determine if different populations of G. morrilli are reproductively isolated, or if mating occurs, whether offspring are viable thereby defining species groups on the basis of successful interbreeding (Hoddle). (3) To determine if molecular differences exist between G. morrilli populations collected from different regions by comparing mitochondrial and ribosomal DNA sequences. Molecular dissimilarities of key regions could potentially indicate the existence of different species (Stouthamer). Results from these three areas (morphology, behavior, and molecular) of investigation will be evaluated together to determine whether G. morrilli as it is currently viewed is a valid species or whether it is an aggregate of morphologically similar cryptic species.

A classical biological control program is currently underway for glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), which is an exotic pest in California. The native range of GWSS is the southeastern United States and northeastern Mexico (Triapitsyn & Phillips, 2000). GWSS is thought to have invaded California around 1990 as egg masses that were accidentally imported on ornamental plants from Florida. Species of GWSS egg parasitoids not present in California are currently being prospected for in the native range of GWSS. Promising candidate natural enemy species that attack eggs are being imported and released in California for GWSS control (Triapitsyn et al., 1998; Triapitsyn & Hoddle, 2001). Interestingly, one species of egg parasitoid associated naturally with GWSS in California, Gonatocerus morrilli (Howard) (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), is also widely distributed in the home range of GWSS, but at the time of its initial discovery in California, G. morrilli had not been intentionally released here and was thought to be native to California. A potential host for G. morrilli in California prior to the arrival of GWSS could have been the native Homalodisca liturata (Ball) which has had unidentified Gonatocerus spp. reared from its egg masses collected in the San Diego area (Powers, 1973). The presence of G. morrilli in Riverside in 1980-1984 has been documented (Huber 1988). Gonatocerus morrilli is now the second most important natural enemy of GWSS egg masses in California (Al-Wahaibi, 2004).

The success and failure of a number of biological control projects against insect pests and weeds has hinged on the correct taxonomic identification of the target and its natural enemies (Gordh and Beardsley, 1999). Incorrect understanding of the taxonomy and subsequent interrelationships between the target and its natural enemy guild are serious impediments to an efficacious biological control program. For example, Trichogramma minutum and T. platneri are important commercially available biological control agents that are morphologically indistinguishable but reproductively incompatible (Nagarkatti, 1975). Experimental work and subsequent modeling with these two species of Trichogramma has indicated that because pre-mating isolation mechanisms are absent (e.g., pre-mating courtship behaviors that prevent coupling of males and females from different species) severe negative effects on biological control can occur. Negative effects manifest themselves because females that mate with males from different species fail to produce female offspring. This occurs because Trichogramma like Gonatocerus are haploid-diploid parasitic Hymenoptera. In this haplo-diploid system, fertilized eggs produce female offspring and unfertilized eggs produce male offspring. In situations where incompatible interspecies matings are occurring both species fail to produce females and the potential population growth of both parasitoid species is reduced to levels below the growth rate expected for either species in the absence of the other (Stouthamer et al., 2000).

If different populations of morphologically similar G. morrilli from Florida, Louisiana, Texas, and Mexico are indeed valid species that lack pre-mating isolation mechanisms, then the current biological control program against GWSS in California that is attempting to establish these new agents may reduce the current level of control achieved by the precintive populations of G. morrilli in California. This could occur because of male-biased offspring production resulting from incompatible matings across species. The rationale for introducing new strains or races of G. morrilli into California is based on the idea that different biotypes of this parasitoid may exist and fill niches not currently occupied by the strain of G. morrilli already present in California.

In this grant we propose to determine if geographically distinct populations of G. morrilli are part of one continuous interbreeding population or if populations of G. morrilli are separate species that can’t be easily separated on the basis of
Host specificity testing: No-choice tests were conducted with *G. ashmeadi* and STSS eggs. Single, one day old, mated, fed *G. ashmeadi* were exposed to STSS (n = 40 egg masses) and control (GWSS, n = 7 egg masses) eggs on chrysanthemum leaves in individual 100 x 15 mm Petri dishes. Each wasp was supplied one egg mass less than 48 hours of age and allowed 24 hr to parasitize the eggs before removal from the dish. The number of eggs per egg mass ranged from 2-14 (Χ = 5.65) for STSS and 2-19 (Χ = 5.89) for GWSS. Percent parasitism of egg masses ranged from 0-100% for both STSS (Χ = 84.58%) and GWSS (Χ = 71.43%) and was not found to be significantly different (Figure 5, Student’s t-test, alpha = 0.05, *P* = 0.37702).

![Figure 5: Percent parasitism of STSS and GWSS eggs by *G. ashmeadi* in Petri dish no-choice studies.](image)

CONCLUSIONS
Clearly we now know BGSS oviposition preference on wild grape is for new growth, consisting primarily of the terminal 25 cm of succulent stems and tendrils that occur along the entire length of the grape cane. Additionally we have confirmed two new natural enemy host associations for the BGSS, *G. latipennis* and *Polynema* sp. While these studies were conducted on wild grape, the information acquired may have implications in developing a more complete IPM program involving this native pest species and its associated natural enemies. Overall, the new knowledge of BGSS oviposition preference provides essential information for conducting future non-target effect studies involving the exotic GWSS egg-parasitoids which we have started to investigate. Peak BGSS adult activity measured through trap catches occurred from mid-June to early August while peak emergence of nymphs and parasitoids was spread over a four week period from 24 July to 20 August 2004. Another peak of adult activity may be expected in October once the nymphs have matured into adults. No-choice tests with *G. ashmeadi* and the STSS yielded no significant differences in percent parasitism as compared with GWSS control. It is likely there will be non-target impacts by *G. ashmeadi* in STSS habitats where this parasitoid is able to successfully infiltrate and compete with other resident natural enemies such as *Ufens* and *Zagella* sp. (both Trichogrammatidae)

REFERENCES

FUNDING AGENCIES
Funding for this project was provided by the University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources.
Ten entire grape canes were sampled on 14 August 2003 to account for any possible oviposition substrate not sampled in the previous survey. These canes were cut into thirds (apical, middle and basal), then placed into 10 cm of water in a Mason jar which left approximately 25 cm of cane exposed for emergence of nymphs and parasitoids. Canes and mason jars were then placed into three separate cages, according to their stem position. Cane sections were examined daily for emergence. In total, two BGSS nymphs and 16 Polynema sp. emerged from the canes. As there were so few insects emerged from these cane sections, the stems, leaves, petioles and tendrils were examined under the microscope for recent emergence holes from both BGSS nymph and parasitoids. A total of 65 emergence holes were counted. The majority of emergence holes were on the apical stems (n = 37) and on tendrils (n = 6, 13, 7, for apical, middle and basal portions, respectively) occurring along the length of the entire canes. Only two emergence holes were counted from leaf petioles and none were counted from middle and basal stems and leaves.

**Sentinel Plant Study**

to confirm the host association of the emerged parasitoids with the BGSS, three sweet-basil, a chrysanthemum and two wild grape plants were exposed to BGSS lab colonies for 3 days to allow for oviposition. Plants were removed from the colonies and transported to the oviposition survey site to allow for parasitization of BGSS eggs. After three days, the plants were brought back from field, cleaned of any insects and placed into separate cages. Plants were observed daily for any emerging insects. A combined total of 197 BGSS and Polynema sp. emerged from the five sentinel plants. Of these, 55 were BGSS nymphs and 142 were Polynema sp. (54 males, 88 females). Parasitism rates of BGSS eggs by Polynema sp. ranged from 33% on the mum to 78% and 86% on wild grape and basil, respectively.

**BGSS and Parasitoid Activity**

A total of 12 yellow sticky card traps (11 x 15 cm), were placed at the 2003 oviposition survey site to monitor BGSS adult and parasitoid flight activity. Traps were set up on 9 January 2004 and collected at bi-weekly intervals. Peak trap catch of BGSS adults occurred over the two week period of 11 June to 25 June 2004. Additionally, as soon as wild grape had sprouted and was available for collection, starting on 16 April 2004, twelve 30 cm cane sections were collected at the same bi-weekly sampling intervals. Tendrils were severed from the cane and placed into individual Petri dishes while stems were placed into dual 50 dram vials (25 cm of cane above water to allow for emergence). Plant material was checked daily for emergences of nymphs and parasitoids. Peak emergence of BGSS nymphs and parasitoids was spread over a four week period from 24 July to 20 August 2004. Data compilation is still in progress, however some of the results are shown below in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. BGSS adult, nymph and parasitoid activity.](image-url)
RESULTS:

Oviposition Survey

Wild grape plant material collected on 5 August 2003 consisted of: 50 canes (terminal 25 cm of cane), 50 tendrils, 100 large, 100 medium, and 100 small leaves with petioles. The tendrils and small leaves with petioles were selected from the terminal 25 cm sections of the canes. Each of the 50 canes was cut into thirds: upper, middle and lower. No insects emerged from large or medium leaves and their petioles and are thus excluded from further discussion. A total of 49 insects (26 $G.\ atropunctata$, 18 Polynema sp. and five $G.\ latipennis$ parasitoids, Figures. 1 and 2) emerged from plant material collected. The highest percentage of BGSS nymph emergence (18%) occurred in the apical-most portion of the stem, with less emerging from tendrils (14%), and middle (10%) and lower (2%) stems, respectively. A very small percentage of $G.\ atropunctata$ nymphs emerged from small leaves and their petioles. For the parasitoids the highest percent emergence occurred from the tendrils (38%). Collectively, the tendrils and stems yielded the greatest emergence (Figure 3).

![G. latipennis](image1.png)  ![Polynema sp.](image2.png)

**Figures. 1 and 2.** Parasitoids of the BGSS.

![BGSS Nymph and Parasitoid](chart.png)

**Figure 3.** Total emergence expressed as percentage of BGSS nymphs and parasitoids per substrate type ($n = 50$) from plant material collected on 5 August 2003. “Parasitoids” refers to the combined emergence of $G.\ latipennis$ and Polynema sp.
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ABSTRACT
To determine the oviposition preference of female blue-green sharpshooters (BGSS), Graphocephala atropunctata (Signoret) (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae), a survey was conducted on southern California wild grape, Vitis californica Benth (Vitaceae) growing near Temecula, California in August 2003 where populations of BGSS were known to occur. Female BGSS oviposited into new growth, primarily the succulent tendrils and stems. The under sides of small leaves and petioles were also used for oviposition, but to a lesser extent. Mature stems, large and medium sized leaves and petioles were not utilized for oviposition. Two parasitoids, Gonatocerus latipennis Girault and a Polynema sp. (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) were reared from BGSS eggs. Literature reviews revealed a deficiency of known natural enemies for G. atropunctata. A sentinel plant study was conducted to further confirm the parasitization of BGSS eggs by these parasitoids. Collectively the Polynema sp. and Gonatocerus latipennis constitute the first documented parasitic natural enemies of BGSS eggs. A further examination, commencing in January 2004, of the activity of BGSS and its parasitoids in southern California is currently underway. Blue-green sharpshooter adult activity reached its peak in July while bi-weekly samples of wild grape canes and tendrils revealed peak emergence of blue-green nymphs and parasitoids occurred from mid-July to mid-August. No-choice tests with Gonatocerus ashmeadi Girault, a parasitoid of the glassy-winged sharpshooter, Homalodisca coagulata, and BGSS eggs as part of a non-target impact assessment have yielded few results thus far. However, no-choice tests with G. ashmeadi and the native smoke-tree sharpshooter (STSS), Homalodisca liturata Ball, yielded no significant differences in percent parasitism of eggs when compared to the GWSS control.

INTRODUCTION
The native BGSS has been a threat to California grape growers for nearly a century due to its excellent transmission efficiency (Hill and Purcell 1995) of the bacterium that causes Pierce’s Disease, a severe malady of commercially grown grapes. While much research has been devoted to epidemiologically related issues concerning this insect, little has been done to examine some of the most fundamental life history traits of this native pest, specifically oviposition preference (Severin 1949) and the native Californian parasitoids attacking the eggs of this pest. Further, we intend to investigate possible non-target effects of the exotic egg parasitoids that have been released to control another hemipteran pest, the GWSS, on BGSS and other native California sharpshooters and to identify the native parasitoid fauna associated with these native sharpshooter species. To address these issues, we need to know the oviposition preferences of native sharpshooters associated with particular host plants and their respective natural enemy fauna attacking oviposited eggs. The studies outlined below have determined the oviposition preferences of BGSS on wild grape, have documented its associated egg parasitoids, and provide data on host specificity of G. ashmeadi, a parasitoid being used as part of the classical biological control program against GWSS on the targets congener, the native STSS.

OBJECTIVES
1. Classify the native egg parasitoid fauna in California associated with sharpshooters native to California, primarily the smoke-tree sharpshooter (STSS): Homalodisca liturata Ball (Hemiptera: Clypeorrhyncha: Cicadellidae: Cicadellinae: Proconiini), blue-green sharpshooter (BGSS): Graphocephala atropunctata (Signoret), red-headed sharpshooter (RHSS): Xyphon fulgida (Nottingham), and green sharpshooter (GSS): Draeculocephala minerva Ball (the latter three, all Hemiptera: Clypeorrhyncha: Cicadellidae: Cicadellinae: Cicadellini).
2. Assess the possible non-target impacts of Gonatocerus ashmeadi, G. trigutattus, and G. fasciatus, parasitoids being used for the classical biological control of GWSS, on the above mentioned native sharpshooters.


FUNDING AGENCIES
Funding for this project was provided by the University of California’s Pierce’s Disease Grant Program and the USDA-Agricultural Research Service.
Table 3. A hypothetical example of results yielded from a multitude of IgG-specific gut content ELISAs conducted on an individual predator (e.g., *Zelus renardii*). The number of positives yielded in all the assays indicates the number of prey consumed by this single predator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predator Targeted GWSS</th>
<th>Protein marker designated in Table 1</th>
<th>Protein-Specific ELISA</th>
<th>ELISA result¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Z. renardii</em></td>
<td>1 Rabbit IgG</td>
<td>Anti-Rabbit IgG</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Guinea pig IgG</td>
<td>Anti-Guinea pig IgG</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Equine IgG</td>
<td>Anti-Equine IgG</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Mouse IgG</td>
<td>Anti-Mouse IgG</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Dog IgG</td>
<td>Anti-Dog IgG</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 Pig IgG</td>
<td>Anti-Pig IgG</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 Bovine IgG</td>
<td>Anti-Bovine IgG</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 Cat IgG</td>
<td>Anti-Cat IgG</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 Rat IgG</td>
<td>Anti-Rat IgG</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 Sheep IgG</td>
<td>Sheep IgG</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹This individual predator scored positive in the anti-pig and anti-cat ELISAs; therefore it consumed 2 marked GWSSs.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Although it is widely accepted that predators play a role in pest regulation, we still have an inadequate understanding of, and ability to predict their impact in cropping systems. Frequently parasitoids are given major credit for suppressing pest populations; however, the impact that predators have on suppressing GWSS populations goes unrealized due to the difficulties of assessing arthropod predation as discussed above. The prey marking technique described here circumvents many of the shortcomings of the current methods used to study predation. The preliminary studies described here prove that prey marking can be a powerful method for the immunological detection of predation and can be used to study various aspects of predator feeding behavior. Over the next 2 years we plan to quantify predation rates on GWSS. Ultimately, this information can be used to improve the efficacy of conservation and inundative biological control of GWSS. This research is designed to determine which predators are exerting the greatest biological control on GWSS eggs, nymphs and adults. This information can then be used to develop a comprehensive biological control program that better conserves the populations of those predators exerting the greatest control on the various GWSS life stages.

**REFERENCES**


Results indicate that the protein marking procedure works for at least 7 days after marking GWSS. The next phase of our research (in progress) will be to mark individual GWSSs using the methods described above. Specifically, 10 individual GWSSs will be marked, each with a unique protein (see Table 1). The 10 GWSSs will then be placed in a field cage containing various predator species. The predator assemblage examined will represent those predators commonly found in areas inhabited by GWSS (JRH, pers. obs.). A partial list of the predator assemblage that will be examined and their probable feeding behaviors is given in Table 2. After 6 h in the cage, every remaining predator will be collected and analyzed by 10 different protein-specific ELISAs. A hypothetical example of the data we will generate over the next year is given in Table 3.

Table 1. A listing of the proteins that will be used to mark 10 individual GWSS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual GWSS</th>
<th>Protein marker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rabbit IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Guinea pig IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Equine IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mouse IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dog IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pig IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bovine IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cat IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Rat IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sheep IgG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. A listing of the arthropod assemblage to be examined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Stage(^1)</th>
<th>Classification(^2)</th>
<th>Likely GWSS prey(^3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>H. convergens</em></td>
<td>Adult/immature</td>
<td>Carnivore</td>
<td>Egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zelus renardii</em></td>
<td>Adult/immature</td>
<td>Carnivore</td>
<td>Nymph/Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Geocoris punctipes</em></td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Omnivore</td>
<td>Egg/early instar nymph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spiders</em></td>
<td>Adult/immature</td>
<td>Carnivore</td>
<td>Nymph/Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salticidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clubionidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agelelinidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araneidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Earwig</em></td>
<td>Adult/immature</td>
<td>Omnivore</td>
<td>Egg, nymph, adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysoperla carnea</em></td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td>Carnivore</td>
<td>Egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preying mantis</td>
<td>Adult/immature</td>
<td>Carnivore</td>
<td>Nymph, adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Syrphid fly</em></td>
<td>Immature</td>
<td>Carnivore</td>
<td>Egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coccinella septempunctata</em></td>
<td>Adult/immature</td>
<td>Carnivore</td>
<td>Egg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) The predator life stage that will be examined.
\(^2\) The primary feeding habit of each species.
\(^3\) The most likely GWSS life stage that will be attacked.
the gut contents of every predator in the assemblage by a myriad of protein-specific ELISAs, and (5) all of the proteins and their complimentary antibodies are commercially available at an affordable price.

OBJECTIVES
We are in the preliminary phase of a research project dedicated to quantifying predation rates on GWSS nymphs and adults and qualifying predation on eggs. There are enough protein/antibody complexes commercially available that each GWSS in a field cage can be marked with a specific protein. We will mark individuals (e.g. adults and nymphs) and release them for 6 hours into a cage containing an assemblage of predators. The experiment will contain a day and night treatment. Observed mortality for each GWSS life stage will be determined by simply counting the number of GWSSs remaining in each cage. Each predator will then be examined by a multitude of protein-specific ELISAs to determine which predators ate GWSS nymphs and adults and how many each predator consumed. Then, each predator will be examined by a GWSS egg-specific ELISA to determine the frequency of predation on GWSS eggs (see Fournier et al. in this volume). Specifically, this study will: (1) quantify predation on GWSS nymphs and adults, (2) qualify predation on GWSS eggs, and (3) determine the circadian feeding activity of predators. Results obtained from this research will enhance our basic understanding of predator-prey interactions and aid in evaluating the efficacy of generalist predators for a conservation biological control program or an inundative biological control program.

RESULTS
We (JRH) conducted feasibility studies to determine if protein markers can be substituted for pest-specific MAbs for the immunological detection of prey in predator guts. In a series of lab studies, we fed a wide variety of predators (e.g., chewing and piercing/sucking type predators) both large and small prey marked with rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG). In turn, the gut contents of each predator was analyzed by a rabbit IgG-specific ELISA. The results showed that, regardless of the predator species and the size of prey consumed, the rabbit IgG ELISA could easily detect the mark in the predator’s stomach for at least 6 hours after feeding (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1.** Mean (+SD) ELISA readings for the retention of rabbit IgG in the gut of two types of predators that consumed either a single 2nd instar pink bollworm larva or an adult parasitoid (*Eretmocerus emiratus*) marked with 5.0 mg/mL of rabbit IgG. The numbers above the error bars are the percentage of individuals positive for rabbit IgG. The negative predators consumed unmarked prey. Note: these data were chosen for display because they represent the extreme case scenarios (e.g., a large chewing predator eating a relatively large marked prey and a small piercing/sucking predator eating a very small marked prey). Similar studies are being conducted on GWSS.

The next study was designed to determine if we could mark adult GWSSs. In a pilot study, we marked (internally and externally) adult GWSS with rabbit IgG protein using the techniques described below.

**Internal Marking**
GWSSs were provided a chrysanthemum (mum) that was previously marked with a topical spray of a 5.0 mg/mL rabbit IgG solution. Individuals were allowed to feed on a protein-marked mum for 48 h. The GWSSs were removed from the protein-marked mum and placed on unmarked mums for 3, 5, or 7 days after marking and then analyzed for the presence of rabbit IgG by the anti-rabbit IgG ELISA described by Hagler (1997a). The efficacy of the marking procedure is given in Figure 2.

**External Marking**
We applied an external mark to individual GWSSs by spraying them with 1.0 ml of a 0.5 mg/mL rabbit IgG solution using a medical nebulizer (Hagler 1997b). The GWSS were air-dried for 1 h and then placed on mums for 3, 5, or 7 days after marking and then analyzed for the presence of rabbit IgG by ELISA. The efficacy of the marking procedure is given in Figure 2.
INTRODUCTION

Very little information exists on predaceous natural enemies of GWSS. While predaceous arthropods are important regulators of arthropod populations (Luff, 1983; Sabelis, 1992; Symondson et al., 2002); identifying the feeding choices and amount of prey consumed by generalist predators is very difficult. Predators and GWSS are small, elusive, cryptic (Hagler et al., 1991), and the predators may feed exclusively at night (Pfannenstiel & Yeargan, 2002). Hence, visual field observations of predation are extraordinarily difficult to obtain. Moreover, predators do not leave evidence of attack. Perhaps the most frequently used experimental approach for evaluating natural enemies in the field are through studies conducted in field cages (Luck et al., 1988). Such studies require manipulation of either the natural enemy or the targeted prey population(s) within the cage (e.g., the removal or introduction of the organism of interest). Mortality of the pest can be estimated based on the presence or absence of the pest (Smith & De Bach, 1942; Leigh & Gonzalez, 1976; Luck et al., 1988; Lang, 2003). Such studies have documented the qualitative impact of manipulated predator assemblages on many types of pests, but they do not provide quantitative information on predation rates or evidence of which predator in the assemblage is exerting the greatest biological control. Often the only direct evidence of arthropod predation can be found in the stomach contents of predators. Currently, the state-of-the-art predator stomach content assays include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) for the detection of pest-specific proteins (Hagler, 1998) and PCR assays for the detection of pest-specific DNA (Agustí et al.; 1999; Symondson, 2002; Greenstone & Shufran, 2003).

ELISAs have been widely used to identify key predators of certain pests, including GWSS (Ragsdale et al., 1981; Sunderland et al., 1987, Hagler et al., 1992, 1993, 1994; Hagler & Naranjo, 1994ab; Bacher et al., 1999; Fournier et al., in prep). The simplicity and low cost of conducting an ELISA lends itself to the efficient screening of hundreds of field-collected predators per day. However, polyclonal antibody-based ELISAs often lack species specificity and monoclonal antibody-based ELISAs are too technically difficult, costly, and time consuming to develop for wide scale appeal (Greenstone, 1996). Moreover, pest-specific ELISAs share the same limitation as the other predator evaluation methods; the quantification of predation rates is impossible (see Hagler & Naranjo, 1996; Naranjo & Hagler, 1998 for reviews). PCR assays using pest-specific DNA probes might be less expensive to develop (Greenstone & Shufran, 2003), but PCR assays are also not quantifiable and they are more costly, technical, tedious, and time consuming to conduct than ELISAs (pers. obs.).

Due to the reasons discussed above, quantifying predation rates is extremely difficult. These difficulties have resulted in a dearth of information on the quantitative impact that generalist predators have on suppressing pest populations. The many shortcomings of each method of predator assessment described above were the impetus for us to develop a technique to quantify predator activity. The technique combines our previous research using pest-specific MAb-based ELISAs to detect predation (Hagler et al., 1991, 1993, 1994, 2003) with protein marking ELISAs we developed to study arthropod dispersal (Hagler & Miller, 2002; Hagler, 1997a, b; Hagler & Naranjo, 2004; Hagler & Jackson, 1998; Hagler et al., 2002). Here we describe a technique for marking individual GWSSs, each with a unique protein. In turn, the gut contents of each predator in the assemblage can be examined by a multitude of protein-specific ELISAs to determine how many GWSS were consumed and which predator species consumed them. The advantages of immunomarking prey over prey-specific ELISAs are: (1) prey-specific antibodies (or PCR probes) do not need to be developed, (2) the protein-specific sandwich ELISAs are more sensitive than the indirect prey-specific ELISAs (Hagler et al., 1997), (3) a wide variety of highly specific protein/antibody complexes are available, (4) the specificity of each antibody to its target protein facilitates the marking and examination of
protocols generate sufficient polymorphisms within \( X_f \) to enable grouping of strains according to host associations. SNP analyses represent one of the most recent technologies used for comparative studies of closely related bacteria. Based on published genomic information, strain specific primers recently will be used to investigate the pathotype profile using the 16S rDNA intergenic region. Results from our current season’s research indicate that this multiplex PCR protocol can differentiate genomic populations which might co-exist in infectious vectors (Fig. 1). Here again, attempts will also be made to quantify \( X_f \) in selected insect vectors to identify the population dynamics of \( X_f \) within a vector population.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results obtained from the second year of this project remains consistent with our first year observations and has generated significant new information regarding the seasonal host utilization patterns, dispersal, and overwintering biology of GWSS in the central SJV of California. This information will improve our understanding of the epidemiology of Pierce’s disease which will also be useful in understanding the epidemiology of other economically important diseases caused by \( X_f \) for which GWSS may become an important vector. This objective directly addresses gaps in our present understanding that must be filled in order to develop comprehensive PD and GWSS management strategies. This research has expanded on previous work by documenting important aspects of the population biology of GWSS in the agricultural landscape of the central San Joaquin Valley of California. An improved knowledge of the genetic diversity of strains that comprise the population of \( X_f \) detected from potentially infectious GWSS will further help in devising effective strategies for managing Pierce’s Disease, as well as other important diseases caused by this bacterium.
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pathogen, when they move into vineyards, and when they spread the pathogen to grapes is critical to understanding and managing the spread of PD in this area.

OBJECTIVES
1. To identify and characterize the seasonal abundance of the primary vectors of Xf and seasonal patterns of insect dispersal.
2. Compare the genetic structure of Xf strains isolated from GWSS collected from perennial, cultivated and non-cultivated plant species.

RESULTS
Objective 1
Examination of the seasonal host utilization patterns and dispersal biology of the glassy-winged sharpshooter, Homalodisca coagulata (GWSS) within and among a variety of perennial crop plant species has been monitored through the winter (2003-04) and following spring and summer seasons of 2004. Experimental sites are located in GWSS-infested areas of Tulare County, California. The results of these studies continue to provide valuable insight into the relative importance of different crop types as predominant overwintering habitats, ovipositional substrates, and preferred feeding hosts for GWSS. Patterns of crop utilization were monitored within perennial crop species including grape, citrus (navel and lemon), stonefruit (sweet cherry, peach, and plum), olive, and avocado at each of three locations for each crop type. Additionally, non-crop weed vegetation was monitored throughout the season at three experimental sites along with riparian vegetation. Host utilization was assessed monthly at each of three locations for each crop type based on sweep/beat-net sampling for adult and immature GWSS and visual inspections for GWSS egg masses. Results from our second year again indicate that host plant species influences GWSS population biology. Similar to our findings in 2003, the largest mean number of adult GWSS were collected from citrus (navel and lemon) and pomegranate whereas mean nymphal population densities were lower than the previous season. More nymphs were present in navel orange and pomegranate with fewer nymphs collected in olive, avocado, cherry, peach, and plum. Non-crop plant species upon which adult and nymphal GWSS were collected included red-root pigweed, prickly lettuce, annual sowthistle, little mallow, lambsquarters, field bindweed, blue morning glory, curly dock, evening primrose, johnsongrass, and ground cherry. The greatest mean number of GWSS egg masses were collected from both citrus and pomegranate.

Seasonal dispersal of adult GWSS was again monitored within and among the previously indicated perennial crop plant species. Traps were suspended 2 m above the ground between tree canopies along 4 linear transects at each of 3 experimental locations for each crop sampled. Beginning November 2003, a total of 11,677 adult GWSS, 29 green sharpshooters (GSS, Draeculacephala minerva), and 351 spittlebugs (Cercopidae) were captured on yellow sticky cards. Temporal patterns of GWSS capture were similar in citrus and pomegranate throughout the 2004 sampling season representing dispersal of both overwintering and 1st generation adult GWSS. Seasonal patterns of GWSS capture in olive, avocado, and plum was dissimilar to that of either citrus or pomegranate similar to the patterns observed in 2003. Beginning November 2003, we have begun to closely monitor the overwintering host utilization patterns of adult GWSS among the variety of perennial crop and non-crop weed species previously listed. Overwintering adult GWSS have been sampled monthly (Nov – Feb, 2003) in perennial tree crops by beating/shaking all scaffolds over two, 80 ft² white, PVC tarps that flank both sides of the tree stem and in non-crop weed species using sweep net collections described previously. Adult GWSS have been collected overwintering on citrus (lemon and navel), pomegranate, peach, plum, and avocado averaging 0.2, 0.4, 0.9, 0.02, 0.05, and 0.5 adult GWSS/tree, respectively, over the four month sample interval. Mean populations of adult GWSS swept from non-crop annual vegetation have averaged 1.1, 2.4, 0.9, and 0.3 adult GWSS/50-sweep sample over the four month sample interval, respectively. To examine the seasonal population biology of GWSS utilizing non-crop host species, GWSS, native sharpshooters, and all spittlebugs have been sampled monthly from the ground cover and surrounding vegetation at each of the 3 experimental locations with high populations of GWSS present in 2003. At each location, sharpshooter and spittlebug adults and nymphs associated with the ground cover and surrounding non-crop vegetation are sampled using a standard sweep net (100 sweeps at each of 10 sites per location for ground cover).

Objective 2
The presence of Xf in a subsample of vectors captured among the different perennial crops and on non-crop species has begun using PCR. Genomic DNA is first isolated and initially screened against RST 31/33 universal primers to detect all Xf strains. The diversity of the chosen Xf isolates will be assessed using RAPD-based protocols and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from genome loci of taxonomic importance deduced from the available genome sequences. Previous studies have demonstrated that these

![Figure 1. Polymerase chain reaction products from 18 Xf isolations collected in 2003 illustrating 2 genotypes (A = almond; G = grape).](image)
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ABSTRACT
The primary objective of this research was to characterize the seasonal abundance, dispersal, and overwintering biology of the glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), a primary vector of *Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*). Moreover, to identify where the vector(s) acquire the pathogen, to determine when vectors move into vineyards and transmit the pathogen to grapes, and to genetically characterize the populations of *Xf* isolated from GWSS collected in different perennial cultivated and non-cultivated plant species. Based on results of seasonal plant utilization by GWSS in our study through the winter of 2003-04 and into the subsequent growing season, we conclude that host plant species can significantly influence GWSS population biology. GWSS adult, nymph, and egg mass densities varied among perennial, cultivated crop plant species and non-cultivated weed species examined in this study. Perennial crop species examined included sweet cherry, navel, lemon, olive, avocado, peach, plum, pomegranate, pistachio, and grape. Adult GWSS dispersed into and fed upon a wide range of these crop species with the largest dispersing populations observed in citrus (lemon and navel) and pomegranate, similar to our findings in 2003. Adult GWSS were also regularly collected from and observed feeding upon a wide range of non-crop weed species within and surrounding experimental orchard crops. Nymph populations were not equally represented across all perennial tree crops with increased populations collected from citrus, pomegranate, and also non-crop annual weed species. Overwintering adult GWSS were consistently collected in relatively low population densities on citrus, pomegranate, avocado, plum, peach, and non-crop annual weed species. Patterns of adult GWSS capture among the distances sampled along linear transects extending into perennial crops were dissimilar among perennial crops. The presence of *Xf* in a subsample of vectors collected from different perennial crops and on non-crop species is underway using a multiplex PCR protocol to differentiate genomic populations.

INTRODUCTION
The glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), *Homalodisca coagulata*, was introduced into Southern California in the late 1980’s and later identified in 1994 (Blua et al. 1999). The insect regularly occurs in most of Southern California and has become established along eastern portions of the San Joaquin Valley of central California. Large populations of the GWSS are becoming widely distributed and will reportedly feed and oviposit on a wide range of perennial crop and ornamental plant species as well as numerous non-crop wild plant species (Adlerz and Hopkins 1979, Daane and Johnson 2003). This sharpshooter has continued to expand its range in the state and is expected to affect the overall increase in plant diseases caused by *Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*) (Purcell and Saunders 1999a). Strains of *Xf* have a complex pathogenic relationship with a diverse host range including members of both monocots and dicots (Chen et al. 2000). Analyses of the genetic diversity of *Xf* have begun to elucidate differences between many of the strains (Chen et al 1995, Hendson et al. 2001, Pooler and Hartung 1995). Knowledge of the genetic diversity of strains that comprise the population of *Xf* in the central San Joaquin Valley (SJV) of CA, especially as it relates to insect vectors, will help in devising effective strategies for managing Pierce’s disease (PD), as well as other diseases caused by this bacterium.

*Xylella fastidiosa* is transmitted by xylem feeding sharpshooters (Cicadellidae) and spittlebugs (Cercopidae) (Hill and Purcell 1997, Purcell and Frazier 1985). In California, there are at least 20 species capable of transmitting the pathogen, although only four species are considered to be epidemiologically important in grapes (Pearson and Goheen 1988). Based on the population dynamics of native sharpshooter species in coastal California vineyards, much of the spread of *Xf*, especially early in the season when it is most damaging to grapevines, are by adults that move into the vineyard from outside host sources (Purcell and Saunders 1999b). Knowledge of which vector species transmit *Xf* in the central SJV, where they acquire the
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Figures 1, 2. Confocal scanning light micrographs. Figure 1. Several vessel elements damaged by a single GWSS stylet probe.

Figure 2. Salivary sheath material occluding a fragmented vessel element

Figures 3, 4. Transmission electron micrographs showing fragmented vessel element walls (arrows) and salivary sheath occlusions (s).

In our greenhouse and laboratory studies, host plants fed on by sharpshooters for several days to weeks begin to show symptoms similar to those of plants infected with the bacterium *X. fastidiosa*. These symptoms occur in our host plants even though the sharpshooters we are studying are free of *Xylella*. Previous reports indicated that the symptoms of Pierce’s disease may occur very shortly after inoculation with *X. fastidiosa*, long before there is a significant increase in the population of the bacteria to a level believed necessary to produce symptoms (Labavitch et al. 2002). Many plant species infected by strains of *X. fastidiosa* show no symptoms of Pierce’s disease (Purcell and Saunders 1999). Our research is ongoing to determine the correlation of mechanical damage and occlusion of vessel elements to the onset of symptoms in non-infected host plants.
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ABSTRACT
A variety of microscopic techniques including light microscopy, confocal scanning light microscopy, transmission electron microscopy, and scanning electron microscopy are helping to elucidate the structure and function of the mouthparts and the salivary sheath of the glassy-winged sharpshooter, a vector of Pierce’s disease.

OBJECTIVES
1. Describe the morphology and ultrastructure of the glassy-winged sharpshooter mouthparts.
2. Describe stylet penetration and the function of each stylet pair during feeding.
3. Ascertain the path of mouthparts from the epidermal layer to the vascular tissue of the host plant, and to ascertain if the sharpshooter has fed in parenchymatous or phloem tissue en route to xylem tissue.
4. Determine the ultrastructure of the salivary sheath and its association with all plant tissues encountered from the epidermal layer to the xylem tissue.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS
The glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) has a significant economic impact as the vector for the transmission of Xylella fastidiosa, which causes Pierce’s disease in grapes, leaf scorch in oleander and almonds, and variegated chlorosis in citrus. Different strains of the bacterium also cause diseases of avocados, peaches, plums, apricot, cherries, and many other trees and ornamentals (Purcell and Saunders 1999, Purcell et al. 1999). The GWSS feeds primarily on the xylem fluid of more than 100 different host plants from more than 35 plant families.

In response to the tremendous economic importance of this insect, a variety of research avenues are under investigation to develop control or management strategies. One important research area that has not received adequate attention is the interaction between the GWSS and the host plants. Until very recently we knew very little regarding the structure of the GWSS mouthparts, and simply assumed that they were similar to those of other leafhoppers. During the last two years, we have provided extensive ultrastructural descriptions of the GWSS mouthparts, including several new sensory structures associated with the sharpshooter stylets and labium (Leopold et al. 2003, Freeman et al. 2002, 2003).

Many unbranched salivary sheaths and branches of very complex sheaths, formed by nymph and adult sharpshooters, do not always extend directly from the host-plant epidermis to the xylem tissue. GWSS stylets may penetrate only as far as the vessel element wall or they may actually fragment the lignified wall and enter the cell lumen (Figures 1-4). Several vessel elements in a vascular bundle or secondary xylem may be damaged during a single sharpshooter probe (Figure 1). Fragmented vessel elements (Figures 2-4) would change the dynamics of water translocation. Penetrated vessel elements are only infrequently surrounded by salivary sheath material, which raises questions as to the function of the sheath in reducing or preventing cavitation. Penetrated vessel elements can, however, become partially or completely occluded with GWSS salivary sheath material (Figures 1-3), a situation that would also disrupt water translocation even in the absence of X. fastidiosa.

The glassy-winged sharpshooter ingests large volumes of xylem fluid during feeding, most of which is quickly excreted. We have noted that both nymph and adult sharpshooters produce exudates during probes that do not reach the xylem, suggesting that they may be feeding in host cells located between the epidermal layer and the xylem. The transfer of Xylella to parenchyma cells outside of the xylem (Backus et al. 2003) might be another indicator that sharpshooters are feeding in non-xylem tissues. With a high assimilation efficiency of carbon (Brodbeck et al. 1993, 1995, 1996), there may be a nutritive advantage for even limited feeding in parenchymatous tissues. We now have preliminary data showing that first, second, and third-instar nymphs successfully feed on sunflower stems where the xylem is located too distant from the epidermis to be reached by the length of their stylets. We note that less than 50% of first and second instars have salivary sheaths terminating in the xylem even when the xylem is within the reach of their stylets. Third and fourth instars are only slightly more successful.
GWSS Harm-1 Harm-2 Harm-neg

Figure 1. PCR assays were performed using GWSS-specific COII primers on *Harmonia axyridis*. This 2% agarose gel shows that GWSS DNA fragment (178bp) was amplified from the following samples (duplicates): positive control (GWSS), predators fed six GWSS eggs (Harm-1, Harm-2). No amplification occurred for the *H. axyridis* individual that did not consumed any GWSS eggs (Harm-neg).

CONCLUSIONS
We showed that molecular gut content assays can be used to detect GWSS remains in the guts of predators. Once optimization tests are complete we will assay extensive numbers of field-collected predators. We will be able to distinguish specimens that preyed upon immature and adult life stages of the GWSS via the PCR assay and those that consumed eggs via the ELISA assay. An understanding of the key natural enemies of GWSS will contribute to an area wide IPM approach for GWSS control. Once key predators are identified they can be better exploited for conservation and augmentative biological control programs.
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ELISA for the presence of GWSS egg antigen (methods described in Hagler et al. 2002). Data indicate that the number of ELISA positive reactions decreased over time (Table 1). All negative controls yielded negative ELISA absorbance values. Significant differences between the mean absorbance of values of the lacewings fed GWSS eggs and their negative control counterparts was found in all post-feeding time intervals, except for time=24 and 36 h.

Table 1. ELISA results testing for the presence of GWSS egg antigen in the guts of *Chrysoperla carnea* (3rd instar larva).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Absorbance at 405 nm, mean ± SD</th>
<th>Critical value</th>
<th>% positive reactions</th>
<th>Absorbance at 405 nm, mean ± SD</th>
<th>% positive reactions</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0h</td>
<td>0.089±0.003</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0 (15)</td>
<td>0.526±0.488</td>
<td>95 (19)</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6h</td>
<td>0.072±0.006</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0 (22)</td>
<td>0.176±0.142</td>
<td>62 (21)</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9h</td>
<td>0.076±0.004</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0 (19)</td>
<td>0.197±0.167</td>
<td>76 (21)</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12h</td>
<td>0.074±0.007</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0 (21)</td>
<td>0.147±0.149</td>
<td>43 (23)</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h</td>
<td>0.077±0.008</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0 (14)</td>
<td>0.170±0.180</td>
<td>36 (22)</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36h</td>
<td>0.073±0.005</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0 (22)</td>
<td>0.072±0.011</td>
<td>0 (22)</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* post-GWSS egg consumption intervals (hour).

*b* Mean + 3SD of the negative controls (Sutula et al. 1986).

*c* Based on the critical value of the negative control predators. N=total no. of individuals assayed for each treatment.

*d* Significant differences (*t* test) between negative control predators and their counterparts fed GWSS eggs: ***, *P* < 0.001; **, *P* < 0.01; *, *P* < 0.05; N.S., not significant.

**ELISA Response to Multicolored Asian Lady Beetle that Consumed GWSS Eggs**

Adult beetles were placed in individual Petri dishes and starved for 36 h. Each adult was fed six GWSS eggs (within a 60-min time frame) and isolated from food for 0 or 6h and then frozen (-80ºC). Negative controls were individuals that did not eat any GWSS eggs. We analyzed the dissected gut of each individual by indirect ELISA for the presence of GWSS egg antigen. All negative controls yielded negative ELISA absorbance values. We found that 65% of the individuals that ate GWSS eggs scored positive at time=0 h, and 8% at time=6h. A significant difference between the mean absorbance values of the beetles fed GWSS eggs and their negative control counterparts only occurred for the time=0h treatment.

**Predator Gut Content Analysis Using PCR Assays**

We are currently optimizing a PCR assay to detect GWSS DNA in the guts of various species of predators. Several pairs of primers were designed to amplify GWSS-specific fragments from: (1) randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) based on sequence characterized amplified regions (SCAR); and (2) the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) and subunit II (COII) genes (de León & Jones 2004). The size of amplified fragments of GWSS DNA varies from 166 to 302 bp. Adult *H. axyridis* fed six GWSS eggs were immediately frozen (-80ºC) after eating. Negative controls were beetles that did not eat any GWSS eggs. Each individual was homogenized in a lysis buffer solution, DNA was extracted using a DNeasy kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia CA) and subjected to PCR using GWSS-specific COI primers. GWSS DNA was successfully amplified from *H. axyridis* extracts (Figure 1). Further tests are underway comparing the efficacy of different primer sets and determining the half-life detection interval of GWSS DNA in the guts of several predator species (*C. carnea*, *Z. renardii*, *S. diadem*a, and several species of spiders).
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ABSTRACT
Glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) egg-specific monoclonal antibody (MAb) and GWSS-specific genetic markers have been developed for use as diagnostic tools for predator gut content analysis. Feeding trials were conducted to determine how long a MAb-based ELISA can detect GWSS remains in the guts of Chrysoperla carnea and Harmonia axyridis. We found that C. carnea can yield positive ELISA reaction for the presence of GWSS egg antigen for up to 24 hours after eating an egg. Further results showed that the detection period of GWSS egg antigen in H. axyridis is less than 6 hours. Using mitochondrial COII primers specific to GWSS, we obtained successful amplification of GWSS DNA fragments from H. axyridis that consumed six GWSS eggs. Optimization tests are underway to increase the efficacy of GWSS-specific genetic primers to detect pest DNA in predator guts. Feeding trials with additional predators (Zelus renardii, Sinea diadema, and several spider species) are currently being performed.

INTRODUCTION
Effective control of GWSS will require an areawide integrated pest management approach (AW-IPM). A major component of AW-IPM is the exploitation of the pest’s natural enemies, which, when utilized to their greatest potential, can increase the effectiveness of other control tactics. Unfortunately, very little information exists on GWSS’s predaceous natural enemies. Evidence of predation of GWSS eggs and adults has been observed in the field (JH pers. obs.); however, the composition of the predator complex, and the relative impact of each predator on GWSS mortality is unknown. A major obstacle is the difficulty of studying predators in their natural environment. Unlike parasitoids, predators rarely leave evidence of attack. Laboratory experiments can be used to evaluate the suitability of particular prey and the rates of predation. However, lab studies seldom translate to field situations. Direct field observations are sometimes used to identify predators of key pests, but the small size and cryptic nature of predators and GWSS make direct observations difficult and laborious. Predator gut content analysis represents a valid approach to investigate predation. Currently, the state-of-the-art predator stomach content assays include enzyme-linked immunosorbant assays (ELISA) for the detection of prey-specific proteins (Hagler 1998; Hagler & Naranjo 1994ab) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays for the detection of prey-specific DNA (Symondson 2002). To this end, we have developed GWSS egg-specific MAbS (Hagler et al. 2002; Fournier et al. submitted) and GWSS-specific primers (de León & Jones 2004). Both assays provide an avenue to qualitatively assess the impact of predator species on GWSS populations.

OBJECTIVES
Our main objective is to identify the composition of the GWSS predator complex using pest-specific ELISA and PCR assays. However, several optimization studies are needed (e.g. detectability half-life) before these assays can be used to examine field-collected predators. Here we report results of laboratory tests on detection periods of GWSS egg antigen in the guts of two generalist predators, the green lacewing, Chrysoperla carnea Stephens (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) and the multicolored Asian lady beetle, Harmonia axyridis (Pallas) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) using a GWSS egg-specific ELISA. We also present preliminary results on predator gut content analysis using PCR.

RESULTS:
ELISA Response to Lacewing that Consumed GWSS Eggs
Predators were placed individually in Petri dishes and starved for 36 h. Lacewings were then fed one or two GWSS eggs (within a 30-min time frame) and isolated from food for 0, 6, 9, 12, 24, or 36h at 25°C, photoperiod of 16:8h (L:D), and then frozen (-80°C). Negative controls were individuals that did not eat any GWSS eggs. Each lacewing was analyzed by indirect
Figure 1. The seasonal average for host plant preference GWSS adults and nymphs was clearly towards oleander and Xylosma at this sampling site. Data of the seasonal average are skewed by the large spring GWSS population density.

Figure 2. Average densities (± SEM) of GWSS (nymphs and adults) were significantly different among perennial host plants, Tukey’s HSD at $P < 0.05$. Data are seasonal averages, and biased towards host species preferred in June and July, when GWSS densities were the highest.

REFERENCES


FUNDING AGENCIES

Funding for this project was provided by the CDFA Pierce’s Disease and Glassy-winged Sharpshooter Board.
collected GWSS material to the experimental site – basically, many of the GWSS nymphs died or left the tested host plant almost immediately after being transfer. We are currently improving inoculation techniques.

**Objective 2 – Natural Enemies**
During the surveys of GWSS population dynamics in non-agricultural regions, described previously, we collected information on GWSS natural enemies, using sampling techniques such as GWSS egg mass collections (>100 leaves per perennial plant species per collection) and potential GWSS predator collections (beat and sweep samples). As in all studies, we recorded host plant species and seasonal period. We found Gonatocerus ashmeadi and G. triguttatus (Triapitsyn et al. 1998) comprised about 95 and 4%, respectively, of collected parasitoids. As has been suggested, these parasitoids kill >90% of the summer GWSS population. Parasitoid numbers drop during the winter, when most GWSS are in the adult stage – although large nymphs were present as well. No egg masses or recently hatched nymphs were found from November through February. The first fresh egg masses were collected in April (2003) and March (2004), and we found parasitized eggs within as soon as April (2004). Our results suggest that egg parasitoids are the primary biological control factor. Combined with the winter / spring area wide insecticide control programs (which dramatically reduce the over-wintered population on citrus, the primary GWSS host plant during this period, and lower the overall GWSS population levels in the SJV) the egg parasitoids reduce the GWSS population in the urban regions to such an extent that GWSS can be difficult to find in large numbers in late summer samples.

Predators may play a small role controlling GWSS nymphs. Spiders were the most common predator found, and there was a significantly positive relationship between the number of spiders found and the number of GWSS egg masses ($P < 0.001$, $r^2 = 0.28$). Still, there has not yet been any concrete evidence that links these generalist predators with the regulation or suppression of GWSS. During the GWSS urban surveys, predators were collected, identified to family or genus, and stored at -80ºC. These specimens have been shipped to the Western Cotton Research Laboratory, where the predator gut content is being assayed with immunologically-based assays that employ pest-specific monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) for the presence of GWSS egg protein using the ELISA by Drs. Hagler, Fournier and Leon (Hagler et al. 2003). These studies will provide direct evidence of predation by generalist predators.

**Objective 3 - Xylella**
How important are glassy-winged sharpshooter populations in the urban regions as vectors of Xf in nearby agricultural areas? First, GWSS population densities have been relatively low in the SJV urban centers, as previously described. Second, GWSS has a relatively low Xf transmission efficiency. Together, the low density and poor transmission efficiency would suggest few GWSS would have Xf in their mouthparts and play any role in the movement of the pathogen. We tested adult GWSS collected from ornamental plants in Bakersfield and, to our surprise, found Xf in GWSS (mouthparts) collected from oleander, Xylosma, and Chinese elm. The positive results do not necessarily mean that the GWSS acquired the Xf from the plants that they were collected on as the adults move between host plants often.

How important are GWSS nymphs in the movement of Xf among ornamental plants and to vineyards? Nymphs shed the lining of their gut with each molt before adulthood, loosing any Xf living there and therefore provide a better indication of acquisition. The initial screening of GWSS nymphs used a “presence” or “absence” of groups of nymphs collected and therefore data are presented as such, rather than a percentage. In the initial collections, Xf was found only in GWSS nymphs collected from oleander (in the Bakersfield region). It is also important to note that all GWSS samples testing positive for Xf were analyzed for bacterial strain differences and analyses showed that the bacteria present are not of the PD type, but could be oleander, almond, oak, peach or plum. Most likely the Xf is oleander strain, which does not pose an immediate threat to nearby vineyards because this strain does not cause PD in grapes.

**CONCLUSIONS**
We have described GWSS population density and age structure on ornamental plants common in residential landscaping in the SJV. We have further described natural enemy presence. This research can be added to information collected in Riverside and Ventura counties to help predict GWSS movement and develop control programs. The research has broader implications for use of ornamental landscape and riparian plants within agricultural settings (e.g., landscaping around farm buildings and homes). Plants which act as preferred hosts for both vector and pathogen can be target for control. By testing GWSS for the presence of Xf, researchers will identify potential sources of the pathogen, thereby preventing potential epidemic spread of Pierce’s disease causing Xf throughout a reservoir of ornamental host plants. To see a list of host plants, for both Xf and GWSS) go to: [http://nature.berkeley.edu/xylella](http://nature.berkeley.edu/xylella).
OBJECTIVES

1. Determine glassy-winged sharpshooter biology and ecology throughout the season, particularly its age structure on and utilization of the different host plants that represent common breeding or dispersion refuges for glassy-winged sharpshooter in the San Joaquin Valley.

2. Determine the contribution of resident natural enemies on glassy-winged sharpshooter mortality and whether natural enemy abundance or species composition varies significantly on different GWSS host plants or ecosystems in the San Joaquin Valley.

3. Determine the presence of *Xylella fastidiosa* in glassy-winged sharpshooter collected from different host plant species and in selected ecosystems in the San Joaquin Valley.

RESULTS

**Objective 1 - Survey.**

GWSS numbers, age structure and natural enemies were surveyed in residential areas in Bakersfield, California. In the 2003-2004 season, six residential sites were sampled. Each site was selected for its combination of different GWSS and *Xf* host plants; most of the sampled sites had 3-8 individual plants of each plant species, with 3 or more GWSS host plant species in close proximity. Host plants surveyed included: carob, rose, star jasmine, Chinese elm, flowering pear, apple, escallonia, pink lady, ivy, nectarine, photinia, citrus, gardenia, privet, euonymous, hibiscus, agapanthus (lily of the Nile), grape, crape myrtle, eucalyptus, mock orange, oleander, Xylosma and Wheeler’s dwarf. Each month, samples were taken for GWSS and natural enemies. We also recorded plant condition. From April 2003 to October 2004, we made >3000 plant samples (sample plant × sample date).

A thorough analysis of this data set will be made at the end of the residential survey (April 2005) when we project to have >5000 samples, each with information on host plant species, condition and phenology; GWSS density and age structure; and potential natural enemies present. An initial analysis show strong host plant preferences GWSS adults and nymphs, especially towards oleander, crape myrtle and Xylosma during the spring and summer months (Figure 1). Host plant preference for adult and nymph feeding sites was not always the same as those preferred for egg deposition – especially with respect to oleander, as reported by other researchers.

The seasonal population dynamics showed a strong spring GWSS population on all hosts followed by a summer decline, which is largely attributed to egg parasitism of the summer brood. We believe that the winter period is critical for GWSS population dynamics as this period represents the low point in the population density. Oleander and privet may be the most important overwintering hosts in the urban regions. In contrast, host plants as crape myrtle and crabapple are dormant throughout winter and, according to our samples, play no role in the GWSS overwintering. However, they are excellent hosts for oviposition and nymphal development during late spring and summer time. For some host, GWSS are confined to specific sections. For example, the flowering pear trees brake dormancy early in the year and start blooming by the first week of February. GWSS adults have been found on the twig tips in the middle of the winter in these trees. It is unknown whether they survive the entire winter in this plant or the early physiological activity of the flowering pear attracts the GWSS. We also found GWSS overwintering exclusively on the “suckers” of the following tree species: eucalyptus, carob tree, Chinese elm, and olive.

**Objective 1 – Manipulative Experiments**

To categorize GWSS age structure, ecology, and resident natural enemies (particularly predators) on different host plants common in urban areas, potted (6.6 L) plants were used to provide a replicated array of similarly-conditioned (e.g., age, size, irrigation) GWSS host plant species. These preference studies were conducted in an unsprayed, GWSS infested citrus orchard, and two unsprayed residential areas in Bakersfield, California. Perennial species included ivy, photinia, citrus, gardenia, privet, euonymous, hibiscus, agapanthus (lily of the Nile), grapevine, crape myrtle, eucalyptus, and oleander. Annual (or weed) species included prickly lettuce, little mallow, annual sowthistle, coast fiddleneck, common groundsel, London rocket, fox tail brome, lambquarters, blue grass, and shepperd pursle. Both perennial and annual species were set in a randomized block design. Results show GWSS seasonal-long densities were influenced by host plant species, with a significant difference (ANOVA, *P* < 0.001) among host plants, for both perennial and annual categories (Daane et al. 2003. 2004a). Results are provided for perennial host plants in the citrus orchard (Figure 2), which shows a 20-fold difference in the number of GWSS on ivy, the least preferred host plant tested, and grape, the most preferred. We found a relatively similar pattern in the 2002/03 and 2003/04 seasons. Interestingly, GWSS egg mass density was not related to adult or nymphal densities (*P* = 0.25, *r*² = 0.03; *P* = 0.35, *r*² = 0.01, respectively). As with the urban survey, we conclude that GWSS adults have oviposition preferences that may be different from the nymphal feeding preference. We believe this difference is a result of both GWSS adults and nymphs switching among host plants, and to a disparate level of predator and parasitoid activity.

In a second experiment, we manipulated combinations of GWSS host plant species in cages. Four plant species have been planted in different combinations (e.g., citrus only, citrus and oleander, oleander only, oleander, citrus and crape myrtle), with a total of 7 plant species (4 replicates). Initial progress was slowed by the difficulty we encountered in transferring field-
Reporting Period: The results reported here are from work conducted from November 1, 2002 to October 1, 2004.

ABSTRACT
We followed glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) preference and age structure on ornamental host plants in Bakersfield, California. Results of an urban survey showed GWSS host utilization varied greatly. This was especially true during the growing season when the mobile GWSS nymphs and adults would frequently shift amongst abutted host plants. While host plant utilization was dynamic, yet there were clear seasonal patterns. In late-fall through mid-winter, GWSS were most commonly found on privet, oleander, and citrus. In late-winter through spring, the preferred hosts were Xylosma, photinia, and flowering pear. In summer, host utilization was most dynamic and often dependent on host condition (such as irrigation). Nevertheless, GWSS adult and nymph summer and early-fall populations were consistently found on Xylosma, photinia, oleander, star jasmine, and Crape myrtle. Controlled experiments with potted host plants found similar results and highlight differences in GWSS feeding and oviposition preferences. Throughout all studies, we sampled the numbers of predators and parasitoids. Emerged parasitoids show Gonatocerus ashmeadi and G. triguttatus were reared from egg masses collected on most host plants, and accounted for a large percentage of summer GWSS mortality. Predators were present, especially spiders, and often observed feeding on GWSS. However, our data has not yet found any one predator species to be consistently associated with GWSS or with a reduction in GWSS densities. Collected predators are being analyzed using immunologically-based assays that employ pest-specific monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) to help identify the key predators of GWSS. During the urban surveys, we collected plant material (e.g., potential vector host plants) and potential insect vectors to determine the incidence of X. fastidiosa. This material was processed in the laboratory using “immunocapture DNA extraction” to determine the presence of X. fastidiosa. Results show that GWSS collected in urban regions often (>10%) carry Xylella fastidiosa, however, it is not the strain that cause PD.

INTRODUCTION
The primary focus of this research is the description of glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), Homalodisca coagulata, GWSS preference, egg deposition, age structure, population dynamics and levels of natural regulation on different host plants in the urban / agricultural interface in the San Joaquin Valley (SJV). Currently, such a description of GWSS biology and ecology in the SJV is lacking. The developed information from this research will help understand GWSS seasonal movement and infestation foci. Of primary concern to regional control programs is whether or not untreated urban GWSS populations serve as an inoculum source for either the insect vector or the bacterial pathogen, Xylella fastidiosa (Xf).

To develop a more complete description of host plant influence on GWSS age structure and natural enemy impact, we conducted both urban surveys and manipulative experiments. Specifically, we sought to determine the potential of common plant species used in residential landscaping to either reduce or increase GWSS densities. We further screened common plants and GWSS collected for the presence of Xylella fastidiosa. When completed, information on the abundance, host plant use, and seasonal dispersal patterns of GWSS and natural enemies in urban better enable researchers to determine GWSS movement and host plant succession in the SJV, and the data may be useful for modification of surrounding vegetation, such as trap crops, to suppress GWSS movement into a vineyard.
will also provide insights into the potential efficacies of anti-PD plant modifications.

**OBJECTIVES**
1. Develop an artificial diet delivery system for rearing the glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), *Homalodisca coagulata*.
2. Formulate and evaluate an artificial diet for the development and reproduction of GWSS.
3. Investigate the utilization of proteinaceous components in the food stream of GWSS in order to refine and improve the artificial diet using physiological and proteomic/genomic approaches.

**RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS**
This project has just been funded. Preparation of quarantine facilities is complete and the identification of insect cultures to be used in our studies is underway. The process to hire an additional researcher has been initiated. Preliminary experiments, in collaboration with Jones and Setamou at ARS in Weslaco, have demonstrated continuous feeding by adult GWSS for over 30 days on artificial diets presented through a specialized feeding tube. Additionally, differences in survival have been noted as a result of changes in amino acid concentration and composition within the diet.
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ABSTRACT
The intent of this project is to develop an artificial rearing system for the glassy-winged sharpshooter (Homalodisca coagulata) (GWSS), the primary vector of Pierce’s Disease (Xylella fastidiosa) (PD). In order to accomplish this, a diet delivery system will first be developed and then used to test artificial diets. Diet formulations will be based, in part, on previous studies performed by Cohen (2002) using GWSS, as well as on artificial diets developed for other Hemiptera (Mitsuhashi, 1979; Coudron et al., 2002) and on the xylem chemistry of GWSS host plants (Andersen, et al., 1992). Diets will be evaluated based on their effects on life history analyses, reproductive rate and intrinsic rate of increase of GWSS. Another aspect of our project involves investigating nitrogen source(s) for GWSS, as that may represent a nutrient limitation for xylem feeders. Two potential sources for nitrogen, i.e. proteins or peptides, will be studied by determining the fate of dietary proteins/peptides (Brandt, et al., 2004) and the ability of salivary and midgut proteolytic enzymes to digest proteins/peptides (Wright, et al., 2004). In this way, we will identify the role(s) proteins and peptides play in GWSS nutrition and their potential uses in artificial diet formulations.

INTRODUCTION
The formulation of an artificial diet for GWSS will greatly enhance the ability of researchers to rear this insect. Presently, the rearing of GWSS is labor-intensive and costly because of its dependence on the propagation of appropriate host plants, with researchers often needing to propagate several species of plants to enable them to rear GWSS under optimal conditions. The development of an artificial diet would likely be more cost effective and portable, increasing the availability of high quality insects for Pierce’s disease researchers and decreasing the costs and time-constraints associated with maintaining the insect in culture. The increased accessibility of GWSS to researchers can lead to more rapid developments in novel control measures for this major vector of PD, with these new measures being directly applied by growers. Furthermore, the coupling of an artificial diet with a suitable delivery system can lead to an improved understanding of the relationship between GWSS nutrition and other PD-related issues (including GWSS’ varying abilities to acquire/maintain/transmit infectious Xf under different circumstances, e.g., via artificial membranes vs. plants, Redak et al., 2004). In addition, the diet delivery system alone would have other potential uses such as in studying the interactions between GWSS, Xf, and the host plant, as well as in testing potential anti-GWSS and anti-Xf control agents. This could be accomplished by incorporating into the feeding system: 1) selected host plant-associated compounds; 2) media containing the causative agent of PD (Xylella fastidiosa, Xf) (although some studies have suggested that Xf acquired via an artificial membrane by GWSS may not be infectious, Redak et al., 2004); 3) control agents including anti-GWSS or -Xf compounds (such as proteins to be engineered into host plants to control either GWSS or Xf; Dandekar et al., 2003; Lin, 2003; Meredith and Dandekar, 2003; Reisch et al., 2003) or anti-GWSS microbials (Kaya, 2003; Mizell & Boucias, 2003). In summary, the development of an artificial diet and a corresponding delivery system for GWSS could lead to insights that can be used to generate improved methods for controlling GWSS and, therefore, Pierce’s disease.

An important part of our project also involves gaining a better understanding of the digestive physiology of GWSS. This will be investigated by focusing on the role proteins and peptides play in GWSS nutrition, as these or similar compounds have been isolated from some xylem fluids (Cohen, 2002; Jain and Basha, 2003; Rep et al., 2003). We will accomplish this by determining the extent to which GWSS can digest proteins and peptides, as well as elucidating the fate of specific ingested proteins in GWSS. This information will be directly used in the generation of an optimal artificial diet for GWSS. Furthermore, GWSS’ ability to degrade proteins/peptides will also shed light on the degree to which GWSS can disable defensive proteins/peptides in plants, which is important when dealing with salivary enzymes that are secreted into plant tissues and could alter anti-Xf defense components (e.g., either naturally occurring or genetically engineered proteins/peptides; Lin, 2003; Meredith and Dandekar, 2003; Reisch et al., 2003). This knowledge could be used when modifying target plants such as grapevines to improve their resistance against Pierce’s disease (PD). Therefore, our investigation into nutritional requirements will not only aid us in the development of a suitable artificial diet for GWSS, but
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Results from retention experiments using the OLS strain acquired from oleander showed no significant difference in the mean proportion of insects testing positive when insects were subsequently fed for on either a host (oleander), or a non-host (chrysanthemum) of the OLS strain for 1, 3, or 7 days after acquisition. Similarly experiments using the PD strain acquired from grapevine also found no significant difference in the mean proportion of insects testing positive at 1, 3 or 7 d after acquisition regardless of whether the insects were subsequently fed on either a host (grapevine) or a non-host (chrysanthemum) of the PD strain. Thus, both PD and OLS strains of X. fastidiosa remained detectable in GWSS, even when the insects fed on a non-host of the strain for 7 d.

**Objectives 2 and 3**

To test if feeding substrate can influence the ability of insects to acquire and transmit a particular strain of X. fastidiosa, we plan to use a pathogen delivery system to allow us to either maintain or manipulate the feeding substrate as desired. The method described by Bextine and Miller (2002) was originally used for an Alcaligenes sp. of bacteria. This technique was modified to provide an environment suitable to survival of Xylella fastidiosa and the test plants used. We are using sections of chrysanthemum stem about 10 cm long that are connected by tubing to a syringe with a suspension of X. fastidiosa in PBS. The distal end of the stem is also cut open. The syringe is depressed until liquid is extruded from the distal end of the cut stem. Then GWSS are allowed to feed on these stems.

To demonstrate that live X. fastidiosa cells could survive movement through a cut stem, X. fastidiosa was suspended in a PBS buffer, and the syringe was depressed until liquid was extruded from the distal end of the cut stem. Droplets forming on the distal ends were collected and analyzed using PCR to determine if X. fastidiosa cells were present. In all cases, Xylella was detected within the first 10 drops extruded. Thus, in these experiments, material was injected into stems until at least 10 drops of material was extruded from the distal end to ensure that the bacteria have been moved the entire distance of the stem.

In transmission experiments, adult insects were fed for 24 hours on either infected plants, or media-grown bacteria delivered through the cut stem system as described above. Adults were then individually moved to uninfected test plants and allowed to feed for 4 d. When GWSS adults were fed on PD-infected grapevines, 12/26 (46%) transmitted the pathogen to healthy grapevine test plants. In contrast, when insects were fed on media-grown PD bacteria through the cut stem method, no individuals (0/48) transmitted the pathogen to test pants. Similar results were found with OLS-infected plants (9/37, or 24% of individuals transmitted) compared to media-grown OLS delivered through cut stems (0/22 transmitted). Thus, insects did not transmit PD or OLS strains when media-grown bacteria were delivered through the cut-stem system. Purcell et al. (personal communication) found similar results when leafhoppers were fed X. fastidiosa through parafilm sachets.

Additional studies are being conducted to determine why insects are unable to transmit the pathogen from the cut stem delivery system. For example, a recent study demonstrated that X. fastidiosa cultures will produce different levels of “biofilm formation” when grown on different types of media (Leite et al. 2004). We will test if growing our strains on different media may help induce transmissibility by insects. In addition, we will conduct further studies to determine the pathway of the bacteria through the system. For example, by testing the honeydew of insects feeding on the cut stem system, we can determine if the bacteria are successfully passing through the insect. In the interim, work on the remaining objectives will continue using insects fed on PD and OLS infected plants.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In retention experiments (Objective 1) for both the PD and OLS strains, we found the proportion of insects retaining the pathogen was the same, regardless of whether insects subsequently fed on a host or a non-host of that strain. This indicates that retention of a particular strain of the pathogen by an individual insect is not dependant on host-specific xylem content of the plant on which it is feeding. In transmission experiments (Objectives 2 and 3) insects successfully transmitted the PD and OLS when they acquired the pathogen from infected grapevine and oleander plants respectively, but did not transmit either the PD or OLS strains when the media-grown bacteria were delivered through the cut-stem system. This could be the result of biological characteristics of media-grown bacteria that contribute to non-transmissibility by insects, or failure of the cut stem system to properly deliver bacteria to the insect. Further experiments are being conducted to determine the basis for lack of transmission of media-grown bacteria by GWSS.
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ABSTRACT
In this project we are testing the effects of feeding substrate on the acquisition and retention of *Xylella fastidiosa* by the glassy-winged sharpshooter (*Homalodisca coagulata*). We are using two strains of *X. fastidiosa* that are present in California: a Pierce’s disease (PD) strain that infects grape, and an oleander leaf scorch (OLS) strain that infects oleander. A series of experiments were conducted to compare the retention of PD or OLS strains after acquisition, when insects were subsequently maintained on a plant species that was either a host or non-host of that particular strain. In these studies, we found no significant difference in the mean proportion of insects testing positive for the PD or OLS strains, regardless of whether the insects were subsequently fed on either a host or a non-host of the PD or OLS strain. Thus, retention of a particular strain of the pathogen by an individual insect does not appear to be dependant on the xylem content of the plant host on which it is feeding. In a second study transmission efficiency of adult GWSS fed for 24 h on *X. fastidiosa*-infected plants was compared to those fed for 24 h on *X. fastidiosa* from pure media-grown cultures delivered through a cut stem system. In these experiments insects transmitted PD and OLS strains when they acquired the bacteria from a plant, but did not transmit either strain when media-grown bacteria were delivered through the cut-stem system.

INTRODUCTION
The glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) is capable of acquiring and transmitting several different strains of *X. fastidiosa* from a variety of host plants. In this project we are testing the effects of feeding substrate on the acquisition, retention and transmission of *X. fastidiosa* by GWSS. Two strains of the pathogen present in California are being used in these experiments: a Pierce’s disease (PD) strain that infects grapevine, and an oleander leaf scorch (OLS) strain that infects oleander. These two strains have different host ranges; the PD strain does not infect oleander, and the OLS strain does not infect grape.

OBJECTIVES
1. Compare retention times of *X. fastidiosa* when infected glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) are subsequently fed on plants that are either hosts or non-hosts of the strain they carry.
2. Compare acquisition and transmission efficiency of insects fed on infected plants to those fed on media-grown cultures delivered through cut stems.
3. Compare retention times of two strains of *X. fastidiosa* in GWSS when simultaneously acquired through cut stems, then subsequently fed on either (a) a non-host of both strains, (b) on a host of only one strain, or (c) alternating hosts of each strain.
4. Test the effects of antibacterial materials on acquisition and transmission of *X. fastidiosa* by GWSS.
5. Test the effects of variation in substrate pH and free ion availability on the acquisition and transmission of *X. fastidiosa* by GWSS.

RESULTS
**Objective 1**
We began by comparing the relative proportion of insects that tested positive after acquisition of a given strain of *X. fastidiosa*, when they were subsequently maintained on a plant species that was either a host or non-host of that strain. Grape plants (*Vitis* spp.) infected with a Pierce’s disease (PD) strain of *Xylella fastidiosa*, and oleander plants (*Nerium oleander*) infected with an oleander leaf scorch (OLS) strain were used as sources of inoculum. The strain of *X. fastidiosa* infecting plants was confirmed by PCR. Groups of GWSS adults were caged on either an OLS infected oleander plant, or a PD infected grapevine for 2 days. Insects were then moved to an uninfected plant of the same species as the source plant (oleander or grape), or to a non-host of the strain (chrysanthemum). Samples of insects were collected at 1, 3, and 7 days after transfer to uninfected hosts and frozen. Insects were subsequently tested for the presence of *X. fastidiosa* using PCR.
CONCLUSIONS
These findings will help solve the PD/GWSS problem by:
• Identifying the mechanism of \(X_f\) inoculation and using EPG to observe it real-time as it occurs,
• Identifying one determinant of inoculation efficiency, i.e. the role(s) of inoculation behavior vs. bacterial presence and/or detachment in the foregut,
• Developing protocols for further tests of transmission biology and efficiency, especially with respect to acquisition.
• Developing a Stylet Penetration Index for testing among host and non-host species or cultivars, diets, etc. for performance of transmission behaviors, ultimately leading to improved host plant resistance.
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Appendix Table A. Current definitions of the AC EPG waveform phases, families and types of GWSS on grape.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waveform Phase</th>
<th>Waveform Family</th>
<th>Waveform Type</th>
<th>Waveform Characteristics</th>
<th>Proposed Biological Meanings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathway</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Highest amplitude, hump-like waveform at beginning of probe; usually with spike at the top</td>
<td>Parenchyma or mesophyll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Medium amplitude, variable slope; irregular, high frequency with occasional trenches and/or potential drops</td>
<td>Parenchyma or mesophyll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Short, single- or multi-peak &quot;spikelet bursts&quot; (20-28 Hz) separated by flatter, wave-like sections</td>
<td>Parenchyma or xylem or pith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>Extremely regular, stereotypical pattern of peaks (6 Hz), with distinct phrases</td>
<td>Parenchyma or xylem or pith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingestion</td>
<td>C (to be subdivided)</td>
<td>C (to be subdivided)</td>
<td>Very regular, low rep. rate (3 Hz) with with distinct phrases</td>
<td>Parenchyma or xylem or pith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interruption</td>
<td>N (to be subdivided)</td>
<td>N (to be subdivided)</td>
<td>Irregular, appearing A-like at times, but interrupting continuous C; ave. dur. 16 sec.</td>
<td>Parenchyma or xylem or pith</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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correlated with all other findings to determine how often the inoculation behavior, when performed by bacteria-laden insects, actually results in expulsion of Xf. Present findings [3] still implicate waveforms B1, C and N, especially during long probes. All data analysis will be completed and a manuscript submitted in early 2005 [6].

**Figure 1.** Waveform of GWSS probe in artificial diet compressed 35 times. Box labels indicate where Figures 3-5 were taken from this trace.

**Figure 2.** A1 waveforms were correlated with GWSS stylet activities in artificial diet. Top panel trace contains an A1 waveform compressed 5 times. The middle panel is an uncompressed A1 waveform trace that corresponds to the boxed waveform trace in the top panel. Subdivisions, a-h, in middle panel are correlated with stylet activities in the bottom panel with the same subdivision letters. Time marks in the lower right hand corner of the top and middle panel equal one second.

**Figure 3.** Correlation of B1 waveforms with GWSS stylet activities in artificial diet. Top panel is a waveform trace with B1 compressed 5 times. The middle panel is an uncompressed B1 waveform trace that corresponds to the boxed waveform portion in the top panel. The boxed waveform portion of the middle panel is a B1 spikelet burst and correlates with the stylet activities in the bottom panel. Time marks in the lower right hand corner of the top and middle panel equal one second.

**Figure 4.** Correlation of B2 waveform with GWSS stylet activities in artificial diet. Top panel is a B2 waveform trace compressed 5 times. The middle panel is an uncompressed B2 waveform trace that corresponds to the boxed portion of the waveform in the top panel. The bottom panel are the stylet activities that were observed at the onset of the B2 waveform and throughout the waveform. Time marks in the lower right hand corner of the top and middle panel equal one second.
September 2004. The new USDA-ARS/CSU-Fresno Insect Maintenance and Research facility went into full operation in October 2004. Also during this time we established colonies in the greenhouse in Parlier of the following species: smoke tree sharpshooter, *H. liturata* (STSS), as well as (with Groves) red-headed sharpshooter, *Xyphon fulgidus* (RHSS), green sharpshooter, *Draeculacephala minerva* (GSS) and three-cornered alfalfa hopper, *Spipistillus festinus* (3CAH) (collected locally). Preliminary studies of the feeding behavior and EPG waveforms of all of these species are underway.

In addition to major infrastructure improvements in the first 6 months, we also analyzed past data, and Joost performed extensive preliminary tests to develop new protocols in electromyography and real-time imaging of sharpshooter muscles controlling feeding. We also wrote papers, and reviewed and wrote grant proposals. Among these were revisions of the Almeida & Backus paper on blue-green sharpshooter waveforms, now in print [1] and a newly funded UC PD proposal to continue research on mechanisms of *Xf* transmission and details of ingestion behavior. Once we had moved back into the lab and set up, progress resumed on existing objectives during the last four months of the reporting period (July – October 2004).

**Objective 1 - Waveform Correlations**

**Experiment 1:  AC-DC Correlation Monitor**

Significant progress was made this year in the continuing development of this technology. Bennett built two new prototype monitors, the last of which included design suggestions developed by Backus in consultation with W. F. Tjallingii, Wageningen Agricultural University, The Netherlands. These prototypes for the first time succeeded in achieving waveform fidelity with the original, separate AC and DC waveforms, a goal sought for the last two years of work developing these instruments [2].

**Experiment 2:  Salivary Sheath-Cell Type Correlation**

Backus analyzed histological images produced last year by Habibi from recordings made by Yan (see methods and preliminary findings in [2, 3]). Preliminary findings and waveform appearances are the same as those pictured in the 2002 and 2003 progress reports [2, 3], but waveform names are as in [3]. Results show that early pathway activities, especially A1, occur in the shallow epidermal/parenchyma tissues, A2 and continuous B1 usually occur in the parenchyma peripheral to the vascular bundle (although the sample size of tissues collected for B1 is very small). B2 usually occurs in the parenchyma or phloem, and is often associated with a large deposit of sheath saliva sometimes at a branching point in the sheath. The number of B2 events is also correlated with the number of sheath branches. Short, early C and N events can occur variably, in parenchyma, phloem or xylem; however, longer later C and N events are almost always in mature xylem cells. It is still uncertain whether B1 or C may represent the first penetration of a xylem cell. Correlations were completed and a manuscript is in prep for submission in late November [4]. Appendix Table A further summarizes the plant tissue/cell correlations known at the end of the reporting period (late Sept. 2003).

**Experiment 3: Stylet Activities Correlation**

Joost analyzed the videomicrography data collected by Yan of the stylet activities in artificial diet (see methods and preliminary findings in [2, 3], as well as a schematic of the equipment in the Backus et al. 2004 poster). Styles could clearly be seen performing stereotypical behaviors during three waveform types frequently seen on grape, i.e. A1, A2 and B1. Results are summarized in Figures 1 – 4 below, Table A and in the Backus et al. 2004 poster. They reveal for the first time that A1 represents the primary formation of the salivary sheath (Figures 1, 2), B1 represents stylet tip fluttering (Figures 1, 3), and B2 represents stylet sawing through the hardened sheath (and, we speculate, perhaps also through tough plant material) (Figures 1, 4). It is particularly interesting that the B1 spikelet burst is dispersed intermittently throughout other pathway waveforms, e.g. between peaks of A1 (Figure 2), as well as in continuous durations by itself (Figure 3). This dispersion, plus last year’s Experiment 4 finding [3] that B1 was the only pathway waveform associated with *Xf* inoculation, suggest that the spikelet bursts might represent precibarial valve movement, an important component of a hypothesized inoculation behavior [4]. A manuscript describing these results is in prep for submission in late November [5].

**Objective 2 - Inoculation Behavior:**

**Experiment 4:  EPG Waveforms Associated with Inoculation**

Habibi completed sectioning and photomicrography of the remaining grape tissues probed by EPG-recorded GWSS, i.e. those during the short probe treatment (see the 2003 progress report [3] for methods and preliminary findings). Results from each of the three bacterial detection methods used (Table 1) continue to support that immunocytochemistry may be the most sensitive detection method; 56% of probes showed positive detection of *Xf* near the salivary sheath, while 45% were positive with PCR, and only 10% with culturing. These findings continue to support the interpretations discussed in the 2003 progress report [3]. Unlike PCR, immunocytochemistry results suggest that detectable bacteria are inoculated more often during long than short probes (Table 1). However, it will be important to determine how many insects were actually inoculative before we can state that conclusively. We have begun to dissect the fixed, dried heads of the recorded sharpshooters for scanning electron microscopy, to determine how many of them contained *Xf* and in exactly which areas in the precibarium/cibarium. This information will be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probing Treatment</th>
<th>PCR</th>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>Immunocyt.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 short probes</td>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>3/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 long probe</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>6/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ABSTRACT
Progress this year consisted of completing past projects as well as building infrastructure for future research. Backus’s new lab in Parlier was renovated, upgraded and equipped with state-of-the-art facilities for electrical penetration graph (EPG) monitoring of insect feeding and histology of plant and insect tissues. Extensive colonies of glassy-winged, smoke tree, green, and red-headed sharpshooters were established in Fresno and Parlier (with R. Groves, ARS Parlier). New personnel were hired; data was intensively analyzed and grant proposals written. Much effort was also expended in developing new protocols and preliminary findings for feeding waveform correlations with bacterial expulsion and muscle contraction, as well as AC and DC waveforms for several species in colony. Stylet activities and salivary sheath-cell type correlations for the major GWSS waveforms were completed (Objective 1), as was all of the plant histology for the GWSS inoculation test (Objective 2). Results to date support a modified version of last year’s hypothesis for the mechanism of \(X_f\) inoculation to grape. \(X_f\) bacteria may exit the stylets during brief stylet activities represented by the B1spikelet burst, B1-like portions of N and/or C, probably within seconds of the first puncture of any penetrated cell, both along the pathway to and within xylem. Proper placement of the bacteria appears to be crucial; placement in xylem leads to growth of the bacteria sufficient for detection by less sensitive methods such as culturing. Otherwise, when more sensitive detection methods such as immunocytochemistry of the tissues immediately surrounding the salivary sheath are used, they can detect \(X_f\) in non-xylem tissues. Three papers from this research are in preparation for submission in late 2004 – early 2005. This work will help solve the PD/GWSS problem by identifying the mechanism of \(X_f\) inoculation and crucial aspects of inoculation efficiency, and eventually aid host plant resistance through the development of the Stylet Penetration Index.

INTRODUCTION
Almost nothing was known, until this work, about the stylet penetration behaviors of the glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), and how they interact with populations of Xylella fastidiosa (\(X_f\)) to facilitate transmission to grapevine. This project is combining the three most successful methods of studying leafhopper feeding (i.e. histology of fed-upon plant tissues, videotaping of feeding on transparent diets, and electrical penetration graph [EPG] monitoring) to identify most details of feeding.

OBJECTIVES
1. Identify and quantify all feeding behaviors of GWSS on grapevine, and correlate them with location of mouthparts (stylets) in the plant and presence/ population size of \(X_f\) in the foregut.
2. Identify the role of specific stylet activities in \(X_f\) transmission, including both the mechanisms of acquisition and inoculation, and their efficiency. This project’s emphasis is on inoculation.
3. Begin to develop a simple, rapid method to assess feeding, or detect the likelihood of \(X. fastidiosa\) transmission (an “inoculation-behavior detection method”), for future studies.

RESULTS
During the first six months of this reporting period (Nov. 2003 – April 2004), Backus’s new lab at USDA-ARS in Parlier was closed due to extensive renovation construction underway. Notwithstanding this delay, we made significant progress on several sharpshooter research fronts during this time. We hired new personnel (a post-doc and a second technician), purchased many supplies and pieces of equipment (including a new confocal microscope), and trained in the use of the equipment. Also, we received CDFA importation permits and permission for a GWSS maintenance colony to be established in Fresno Co., at a site on the campus of CSU-Fresno. A trailer was rented, retrofitted for quarantine infrastructure, and inspected by officers of the Fresno Co. Agricultural Commissioner’s office. Insect maintenance and research rooms were built and outfitted with lighted shelves, cages, growth chambers, and research equipment. Also, a contract was arranged by Groves and Civerolo with Morgan to supply greenhouse-reared GWSS on a monthly basis. Acquisition of insects began in
moving an estimated 28±3% (mean±SE) of the observation period in both treatments. Spiders in the bean treatment caught and fed on 0.22±.07 GWSS per day, whereas those in the mixed-plant treatment fed on 0.33±.09 GWSS. All GWSS were sexed after observation, and data were examined for possible behavioral differences. However, there were no differences between the sexes in terms of their behavior (MANOVA with sex and plant-spider treatment as the factors; F=1.29, df=5,276, p=0.27).

CONCLUSIONS
The availability of multiple plant species increased GWSS interplant movement, and feeding times were reduced in these cages, suggesting GWSS 1) can detect the presence of other host species in the vicinity, probably through olfaction, and 2) that diet-mixing helps GWSS obtain needed nutrients more rapidly. However, the increased movement between plants also may correspond to an increased in acquisition and spread of the bacterium that causes Pierce’s Disease. The effects of potentially toxic plants, such as tree tobacco, are not currently understood on GWSS interplant movement. Further data analysis should help clarify the insects’ response. Spiders did not affect GWSS feeding and intra- and inter-plant behavior in the observations described here. Thus, these (and possibly other arthropod) predators should not affect the GWSS’ acquisition and spread of Pierce’s Disease.
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v.8) for differences due to the plant availability (beans-only or mixed plants), spiders (presence or absence), and whether the GWSS were field-collected as adults or lab-reared. Adults that had been reared from birth only on bean plants in laboratory colonies were used in 27 cages, and GWSS that had been captured in the wild as adults were used in 9 cages. One behavior was omitted from the analysis to allow independence of the observations (see Cisneros and Rosenheim 1998).

GWSS spent nearly all of their time either feeding or resting on plants (Figure 1). About 2-5% of the time was devoted to walking on a plant, 1-5% to walking on the cage or soil, 2-5% to resting on the cage or soil, and 0-2% to flying. Plant treatment (bean-only or mixed species) affected all behaviors ($F=13.87$, $df=5,132$, $P<0.0001$). Individuals on beans spent more time feeding and less time resting than insects did on plants in mixed-species cages. Field-caught insects varied significantly from laboratory-reared individuals in their behaviors ($F=16.20$, $df=5,132$, $P<0.0001$), feeding less and resting more than laboratory insects. However, both groups of insects showed similar time budgets. Both spent less time feeding on beans than on mixed plants. However, lab-reared insects spent less time resting than feeding on beans, and field-reared insects rested more than feeding on beans. This interaction between plant treatment and insect origin (field-caught vs. lab-reared) was significant ($F=2.58$, $df=5,132$, $P=0.029$). Both plant treatment and insect origin significantly affected all insect behaviors at the $p=0.01$ level or greater.

Interplant movement, either by walking or by flying, was higher in the mixed-species cages. GWSS also spent more time resting on the cage or on the soil in the mixed-plant treatment cages, although such a small amount of time was spent in this behavior that it was probably not biologically significant. However, the increase in movement between plants in the mixed cages, although small, is significant in that such behavior increases the GWSS’ opportunities to acquire and transmit Pierce’s disease.

The three plant species were selected because one provided a host on which GWSS can complete multiple generations (bean), one was an alternate host favored in the field (sunflower), and the final plant contains potentially toxic nicotine in the xylem (tree tobacco), and so may be preferentially avoided. All three plant species were used as host for feeding, but the amount of time spent feeding on each species has not yet been calculated. Both the time spent feeding, and the frequency of leaving each species of plant, will indicate the GWSS’ preference for the 3 species.

The presence of spiders did not affect GWSS behaviors ($F=1.08$, $df=5,132$, $P=0.376$). There were no interactions between spiders and plant species or origin of GWSS. Spiders used in the experiments were field-collected, and the species changed as the season progressed. Predation activity also varied within species, perhaps due to hunger levels of each individual. The presence of spiders did not affect GWSS, but wide variation in spider activity level might hide predation effects. We therefore examined spider activity levels (% of observations in which the spider moved), based on intra- and inter-plant movements, to correlate predation pressure to GWSS movement and feeding behavior. GWSS did not show a behavioral response to spider activity levels (spider activity not correlated to GWSS time spent feeding, moving on the same plant, resting on the plant, moving on the soil or cage, flying) in either plant treatment, nor was the number of GWSS eaten related to spider activity (all non-significant in direct regressions). The spiders were equally active in the two plant treatments.
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ABSTRACT
Adult GWSS in caged habitats were monitored hourly to determine the effects of plant species availability and predator presence on intra- and inter-plant movement, as these factors are directly related to the acquisition and spread of Pierce’s Disease. GWSS were placed in caged habitats with either a monoculture of beans or polyculture of bean, sunflower, and tree tobacco, and either with or without spiders, in a 2x2 factorial design. Origin of the GWSS (field-caught or laboratory-reared) was also included as a third factor in the multi-factor MANOVA to determine the importance of each treatment on GWSS feeding, resting, and intra- and inter-plant movement. Approximately 85-90% of the day was spent feeding or resting on plants. Only 0.5-1.5% of the observations recorded flying GWSS, and another 1-2% found GWSS walking between plants. More insects moved between plants in the mixed-plant cages than in the bean-only cages, suggesting the GWSS are able to detect the presence of other species of plants in the vicinity. This increase in interplant movement would probably correspond to an increase in Pierce’s disease transmission. Field-collected insects spent less time feeding and more time resting on plants than did laboratory-reared insects. Both sets of insects spent more time feeding in bean-only cages than in mixed-plant cages. Beans may not have provided optimal nutrients, and GWSS may have moved to other plants to supplement nutrient intake. GWSS fed on sunflower and tobacco readily, although preferences have not yet been calculated. No predator-mediated spread of Pierce’s Disease is expected to occur, as the presence, activity levels, and predation by spiders had no affect on GWSS behavior. Further analysis of feeding times and movement between plant species may clarify the relative importance of toxin dilution (nicotine from tree tobacco) and nutrient balancing from bean and sunflower plants.

INTRODUCTION
The glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) Homalodisca coagulata Say, is primarily of economic importance because it vectors the Pierce’s disease-causing bacterium, Xylella fastidiosa (Blua et al. 1999). The insect feeds on hundreds of species of plants (Adlerz 1980; Hoddle et al. 2003), many of which harbor asymptomatic populations of X. fastidiosa (Purcell and Hopkins 1996). Every time a GWSS moves to a new plant to feed, the chances of acquiring and transmitting Pierce’s Disease increase. Therefore, the factors causing GWSS to move between plants are directly related to the spread of Pierce’s disease.

Generalist herbivores such as the GWSS may move to new plants to balance nutrients, to avoid intra- or inter-specific competition, to dilute plant defensive toxins, or to avoid predation. GWSS feeds primarily, if not exclusively, on the xylem, where nutrients are very dilute (Andersen et al. 2003). The nutritional requirements of GWSS have been determined (Andersen et al. 1992; Brodbeck et al. 1996), and only cowpea and soybean have been found to reliably sustain GWSS throughout a complete generation (D.J.W. Morgan, pers. comm.; Brodbeck et al. 1999). However, why GWSS move between plants, especially when a nutritionally adequate host such as bean is available, is unknown. Interspecific competition is rarely a concern for GWSS, as few other organisms feed on the xylem on the host plants on which GWSS can feed. Intraspecific competition may occur, as GWSS move off plants when present in very high densities (Armer, pers. obs.), but these densities will not occur frequently when biological control is in place. Plant defensive compounds are not common in the xylem (Raven 1983), but alkaloids and quinones are present in certain plant families and may be more prevalent than scientists have previously expected. For example, solanaceous plants carry defensive compounds from synthesis sites in the roots to the leaves via the xylem. Tree tobacco is one such solanaceous plant, which contains nicotine in the xylem. Finally, predators may affect herbivore behavior, as some herbivores can detect and respond to the presence of predators by halting feeding or altering host plant selection (Schmitz et al. 1997; Schmitz and Suttle, 2001). Alternately, an herbivore that moves frequently between plants to optimize feeding may be more apparent to visual predators.

OBJECTIVE
Determine the effect of plant species variety and predators on GWSS interplant movement.

RESULTS
Caged habitats of 0.56m² contained 6 plants in soil. Plants and predators were set up in a 2x2 factorial design, with either a monoculture (all bean plants) or polyculture (2 bean, 2 sunflower, and 2 tree tobacco plants) and with or without spiders. Sixteen adult GWSS were placed in each cage and their location and behavior were monitored every hour throughout as daylight was available, for 10-14 hours. The behaviors are shown on the x-axis of Figure 1. The percent of adult GWSS in a cage performing each activity was averaged over all hours observed. The data were compared by a 3-factor MANOVA (SAS...
Section 2: Vector Biology and Ecology
Figure 1. Representative clusters from two promising Xf resistance source subgroups. BO2SG and BO3SG are the resistant female parents. Cabernet Sauvignon and Pinot noir are shown for size/shape comparisons. Crosses to BO2SG are in the top row while crosses to BO3SG are in the bottom row. The other clusters are from first generation crosses. Analytical details can be found in Table 2.

Figure 2. Juice extracted from selected clusters of Xf-resistant crosses shown in Figure 1 and detailed in Table 2. Note the high quantity of red color and the variation in hue from some of the crosses. This variation allows for tailoring varieties to meet particular enological needs. Juice from Cabernet Sauvignon and Pinot noir are on the left in the first two vials respectively.
a = (1=low, 4= high); b = (1=green, 4= brown)

Table 3. UC Davis field plantings of wine crosses made in 2003. F2-7 and F2-35 are respectively a black and a white female seedling of the cross Cabernet Sauvignon x Carignane. B34-82 is a USDA cross.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross</th>
<th>Resistance Source</th>
<th>Seedlings Planted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F2-7 x F8909-08</td>
<td>V. arizonica</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-35 x F8909-08</td>
<td>V. arizonica</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-35 x BD5-117</td>
<td>SEUS complex</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-7 x BD5-117</td>
<td>SEUS complex</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD5-117 x B34-82</td>
<td>SEUS complex</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Wine grape crosses made at UCD in 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Female Parent</th>
<th>Male Parent</th>
<th>Resistance Source</th>
<th># Seeds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BO2SG Cabernet Sauvignon</td>
<td>V. smalliana</td>
<td>376</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO2SG Carignane</td>
<td>V. smalliana</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO2SG Sauvignon blanc</td>
<td>V. smalliana</td>
<td>404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO3SG Chambourcin</td>
<td>V. smalliana-simpsonii</td>
<td>412</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO3SG Petite Sirah</td>
<td>V. smalliana-simpsonii</td>
<td>419</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO3SG Cabernet Sauvignon</td>
<td>V. smalliana-simpsonii</td>
<td>371</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO3SG Carignane</td>
<td>V. smalliana-simpsonii</td>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO3SG Sauvignon blanc</td>
<td>V. smalliana-simpsonii</td>
<td>223</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-7 (CabS x Carig.) BD5-117</td>
<td>SEUS complex</td>
<td>1131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-7 Midsouth</td>
<td>V. champinii</td>
<td>522</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-7 F8909-08</td>
<td>V. arizonica - candicans</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-7 F8909-17</td>
<td>V. arizonica</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-35 (CabS x Carig.) B55-1</td>
<td>M. rotundifolia</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-35 B43-17</td>
<td>V. arizonica-candicans</td>
<td>323</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-35 B43-36</td>
<td>V. arizonica</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-35 B43-56</td>
<td>V. arizonica</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-35 BD5-117</td>
<td>SEUS complex</td>
<td>783</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-35 Midsouth</td>
<td>V. champinii</td>
<td>522</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC-11J UCD0124-01</td>
<td>M. rotundifolia-SEUS complex</td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midsouth Midsouth</td>
<td>V. champinii</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC6-15 Sauvignon blanc</td>
<td>M. rotundifolia</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,772</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Ratios of Xf-resistant: susceptible (R:S) progeny in populations from various resistance sources by V. vinifera parents based on a greenhouse screen. Resistance is defined as a mean value less than 100,000 cfu/ml (colony forming units per ml).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistant Parent</th>
<th>Resistance Source</th>
<th>Number Resistant</th>
<th>Number Tested</th>
<th>Percent Resistant</th>
<th>Approx: R/S ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midsouth</td>
<td>V. champinii</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO2SG</td>
<td>V. smalliana</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cha3-48</td>
<td>V. champinii</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>1:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC1-39</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>1:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO3SG</td>
<td>V. smalliana-simpsonii</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>1:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F901</td>
<td>V. shuttleworthii</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>1:6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW c52-94</td>
<td>V. simpsoni</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>1:6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z 71-50-1</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT0023-019</td>
<td>V. arizonica (La Paz)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>1/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F902</td>
<td>V. shuttleworthii</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roucaneuf</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villard blanc</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS23-416</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2A. Analytical evaluation of representative progeny from three different sources of Xf resistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Species or Cross</th>
<th>Cluster Wt. (g)</th>
<th>Brix</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>TA (g/L)</th>
<th>Berry Wt. (g)</th>
<th>Est. Yield (gal/ton)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BO2SG</td>
<td><em>V. smalliana</em></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO3SG</td>
<td><em>V. smalliana-simpsonii</em></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cab Sauv</td>
<td><em>V. vinifera</em></td>
<td>269</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinot noir</td>
<td><em>V. vinifera</em></td>
<td>299</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J13-09</td>
<td>BO2SG x Melissa</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J13-13</td>
<td>BO2SG x Melissa</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J14-09</td>
<td>BO2SG x C1020</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J14-12</td>
<td>BO2SG x C1020</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J14-16</td>
<td>BO2SG x C1020</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-3</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-06</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-08</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-14</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-24</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-25</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-36</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-39</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-50</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J18-18</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J18-24</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J18-35</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J18-37</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J18-38</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J27-03</td>
<td>Midsouth x B90-116</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J27-06</td>
<td>Midsouth x B90-116</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2B. Sensory evaluation of representative progeny from three different sources of Xf resistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Species or Cross</th>
<th>Skin Tannin Intensity</th>
<th>Seed Color</th>
<th>Juice Hue</th>
<th>Juice Color Intensity</th>
<th>Juice Flavor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BO2SG</td>
<td><em>V. smalliana</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>dark</td>
<td>fruity, peppery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO3SG</td>
<td><em>V. smalliana-simpsonii</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>dark</td>
<td>fruity, peppery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cab Sauv</td>
<td><em>V. vinifera</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>pink</td>
<td>light</td>
<td>slightly vegetal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinot noir</td>
<td><em>V. vinifera</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>pink</td>
<td>very light</td>
<td>fruity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J13-09</td>
<td>BO2SG x Melissa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>medium +</td>
<td>tart, red fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J13-13</td>
<td>BO2SG x Melissa</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red-purple</td>
<td>medium +</td>
<td>fruity, slight hot pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J14-09</td>
<td>BO2SG x C1020</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>tart, jammy, very slight hot pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J14-12</td>
<td>BO2SG x C1020</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>pink</td>
<td>light</td>
<td>slightly jammy, broad fruity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J14-16</td>
<td>BO2SG x C1020</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>green</td>
<td></td>
<td>green pepper, hot pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-3</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red-purple</td>
<td>medium +</td>
<td>slightly fruity, hot pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-06</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>pink-red</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>hay, hot pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-08</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>pink-orange</td>
<td>light +</td>
<td>vinifera-like, acidic, hot pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-14</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>slightly jammy, fruity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-24</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>medium +</td>
<td>fruity, hot pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-25</td>
<td>BO3SG x C67-129</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>very slightly vegetal-herbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-36</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>pink</td>
<td>medium -</td>
<td>slight hay, hot pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-39</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>medium +</td>
<td>tart, raspberry, very slight hot pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J17-50</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>pink-red</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>simple fruit, berry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J18-18</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>pink-red</td>
<td>medium -</td>
<td>slight hay, canned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J18-24</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>slight hay, fruity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J18-35</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>pink-red</td>
<td>medium -</td>
<td>hay, hot pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J18-37</td>
<td>BO3SG x Melissa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>pink-brown</td>
<td>light</td>
<td>tart berry, slightly buttery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J27-03</td>
<td>Midsouth x B90-116</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>medium -</td>
<td>berry, slight hot pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J27-06</td>
<td>Midsouth x B90-116</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>strawberry, herbal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Progeny from crosses of field resistant parents, like JS23-416 – judged resistant in Florida (Herb Barrett, personal
communication) yet has been susceptible in our greenhouse tests, to *V. vinifera* do not seem to be resistant (<100,000 fu/ml).
However, they do produce a broad and relatively even distribution of progeny from 170,000 to almost 6,500,000 cfu/ml.
Although we would not consider those at the low end of this scale to be resistant, they have as low or lower bacterial levels
than do some of the field resistant genotypes from the SEUS we have tested. We have avoided these progeny and using these
parents to prevent release of field resistant cultivars that may survive PD infection, but allow vine-to-vine movement in
vineyards.

We are beginning testing of about 200 genotypes with results expected in March 2005. These results will be used to direct
backcrossing of the most resistant genotypes to *V. vinifera* wine grapes.

**Napa Field Trial**

This year we planted another block in our field trial at Beringer Vineyards in Yountville. We expanded the plot by adding 6
vine replicates of 20 different genotypes from 4 different resistant sources. Based on our GH screen results, both highly
resistant and highly susceptible genotypes from each resistant source were planted. These will be inoculated with *Xf* next
April and ELISA tested in October 2005.

This fall we observed the most pronounced visual PD symptoms to date in the 2001 and 2003 plantings following inoculation
with *Xf* early this spring. We used a mixture of 5 different Napa PD strains as inoculum. The 2001 planting consists of
known field resistant selections from the SEUS, and the 2003 planting consists of 3 vine reps of some of our early crosses
and a few more SEUS field resistant types. On October 8, 2004 we scored these vines for visual symptoms and took samples
for ELISA testing from 291 vines in these blocks. Results will be reported in December.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance Source</th>
<th><em>V. vinifera</em> Parent</th>
<th>Genotypes Evaluated</th>
<th>Genotypes Selected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BO2SG (<em>V. smalliana</em>)</td>
<td>C1020 Princess</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Princess</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO3SG (<em>V. smalliana-simpsonii</em>)</td>
<td>C67-129 Princess</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Princess</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW C52-94 (<em>V. simpsonii</em>)</td>
<td>C51-63</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midsouth</td>
<td>B90-116</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C67-129 Princess</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>614</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Completion of these objectives is tied to the speed with which seedlings can be produced, fruited and evaluated and subsequent generations produced.

- Develop multiple lines of Xf resistant wine grapes using 8909 (V. rupestris x V. arizonica selections; Xf resistant breeder selections (DC1-39, Zehnder selections, etc); and southern grape species (V. arizonica, V. champinii, V. shuttleworthii, V. simpsonii, M. rotundifolia, and others).
- Continue backcross generations with 8909-08, DC1-39, and other lines to advanced vinifera selections and select for high quality wine grape characteristics.
- Continue to identify and characterize additional sources of Xf resistance with high levels of powdery mildew resistance.
- Maintain current and produce additional populations for genetic mapping efforts aimed at characterizing Xf resistance genes, and identifying and mapping fruit quality traits such as color, tannin content, flavor, production, etc. in Xf resistant backgrounds.
- Study the inheritance of Xf resistance from a broad range of resistance sources.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS
Shift From Table Grape Breeding to Wine Types
Because the California Table Grape Commission’s decision to not fund the breeding of PD resistant grapes, as of May 2004 we are now solely breeding PD resistant wine grapes. This year we evaluated 4,042 seedlings from 39 different crosses made in the last three years for use as wine grapes. From this number, four subgroups based on different resistance source were identified as particularly promising (Table 1). Promise was based on resistance to Xf and powdery mildew, fruit quality parameters, and viticultural characteristics such as yield and growth habit.

Evaluation of Fruit Quality
Within a cross we observed useful segregation of wine grape quality factors such as quality and quantity of color, acidity, pH, flavor, and skin and seed tannin. Table 2A and 2B present data for typical genotypes from three of the four resistance groups. These were harvested on August 26, 2004. Figure 1 displays clusters from two of the four promising Xf resistance subgroups listed in Table 1. Their morphology is becoming very vinifera-like in the first generation. Figure 2 displays juice extracted from some of the Xf resistant crosses in comparison with the juices from Cabernet Sauvignon and Pinot noir. There are a wide variety of colors that should allow matching enological needs with our selection process.

Planting of 2003 Crosses
Table 3 summarizes the field planting of wine crosses made in 2003. We did not germinate the 2,150 seeds of the cross of a SEUS cultivar by Syrah since our GH screening of progeny from the same SEUS female by pure V. vinifera indicated only 1 in 12 of the seedlings was likely to be resistant. Crosses made in Spring 2003 contained efforts directed at table and raisin grape production. This year’s crosses were entirely devoted to wine grape efforts.

Wine Crosses Made in 2004
Table 4 details the wine grape crosses made during Spring 2004. We were able to tailor our choices for PD resistant parents with our previous experiences directed at table grape breeding. The assays of subsets of progeny from crosses with various parental sources found that the expression of PD resistance in progeny varies. Vitis arizonica/candicans selections from near Monterey, Mexico (b43-17, b43-36, and b43-56) produced 100% resistant progeny in the testing of the subset and should therefore be homozygous resistant. F8909-08 and F8909-17 were both derived from b43-17. The heritability of selections from Florida varied: BO2SG, BDS-117 and Midsouth produced 50% resistant progeny; while only 20% of the progeny of BO3SG was resistant, so progeny from it will be planted sparingly. NC-11J x UCD0124-01 represents a resistant x resistant cross from two different resistant backgrounds. B55-1 and NC6-15 are opportunities to ingress resistance from Muscadinia rotundifolia into wine crosses. We plan to plant between two and three thousand of the most promising seedlings from the crosses detailed above in Spring 2005.

Greenhouse Screen Results
We screened 474 genotypes with our greenhouse screen. The tested genotypes included cultivars and species from the SEUS, many Olmo Vinifera/Rotundifolia (VR) hybrids with potential PD resistance and for use as parents, table and wine grape crosses, and possible Xf resistant wine grape selections from a private breeder in North Carolina. Several promising Xf-resistant SEUS genotypes were identified. Six of 19 Olmo VR hybrids tested resistant. Two may be promising parents. None of the wine grape selections from North Carolina proved to be adequately resistant.

Table 5 presents the ratio of resistant to susceptible (R:S) progeny from crosses of highly susceptible V. vinifera parents crossed with a variety of Xf resistance sources. One V. smalliana and one V. champinii F1 hybrid progeny had R:S ratios of close to 1:1, suggesting that the resistance in these parents was heterozygous and controlled by a single gene. Other parents had ratios ranging from 1:3 through 1:11. Details are summarized in Table 5. We made crosses onto the V. champinii hybrid this year and they will be tested to see if the inheritance ratio remains 1:1, as does our F8909-17 resistance source (see Walker-Krivanek report). In other backgrounds, resistance seems to erode with continued backcrossing to V. vinifera, thus these stable resistance sources are very valuable and are easily adapted to marker-assisted selection.
The objectives of our PD breeding project are divided into two primary parts. The first is the breeding of evaluation as winegrape types. These efforts support both the breeding program and the genetic mapping program. Our previous breeding efforts. The second is the continuing characterization of grapes through backcross techniques using selections, as well as an extensive collection of southeastern grape hybrids, that offer the introduction of extremely high Krivanek et al. 2004, Krivanek and Walker 2004). We have unique and highly resistant (see companion proposal – Walker and Riaz) will make it possible in the future to transform wine grapes with grape-derived improve the utility of these resistant cultivars, we are co-selecting for high levels of powdery mildew resistance. Unlike wine varieties for widespread use where the need for “pure V. vinifera” cultivars is enforced by marketing, given adequate quality (neutrality, color, season, cultural characteristics) varieties for localized use should prove useful to industry as blenders and by keeping “hot-spot” vineyard acreage in production. Our concurrent efforts to identify Xf resistance genes (see companion proposal – Walker and Riaz) will make it possible in the future to transform wine grapes with grape-derived resistance genes. Using grape genes to transform grapes should help overcome public reluctance about GM grapes and provide durable PD resistance. PD resistance exists in a number of Vitis species and in the related genus, Muscadinia. Resistant cultivars have been developed in public and private breeding programs across the southeastern United States (SEUS). These cultivars have high PD resistance, but relatively low fruit quality relative to V. vinifera grapes. In the southeastern US, they must also resist downy and powdery mildew, black rot and anthracnose, which have as great an effect on viticulture in the southeast as PD does. Most of these diseases are not found in California, allowing breeders to incorporate more high quality V. vinifera into their breeding efforts and enabling the production of much higher quality PD resistant cultivars in a shorter time span. We have characterized (see past reports) and employed a wide range of PD resistant germplasm from the collections at the National Clonal Germplasm Repository, Davis; selections obtained from breeders in the southeastern U.S.; from V. rupestris x V. arizonica selections that have exceptional PD resistance; and from several V. vinifera x M. rotundifolia hybrid winegrape types that have some fertility. These breeding efforts have already resulted in relatively high quality selections with excellent PD resistance.

At UC Davis we are uniquely poised to undertake this important breeding effort. We have developed rapid screening techniques for Xf resistance and have optimized ELISA and PCR detection of Xf (Buzkan et al. 2003, Buzkan et al. 2004, Krivanek et al. 2004, Krivanek and Walker 2004). We have unique and highly resistant V. rupestris x V. arizonica selections, as well as an extensive collection of southeastern grape hybrids, that offer the introduction of extremely high levels of Xf resistance into commercial grapes. We also have several years’ worth of seedlings in the ground that need evaluation as winegrape types.

OBJECTIVES
The objectives of our PD breeding project are divided into two primary parts. The first is the breeding of Xf resistant wine grapes through backcross techniques using V. vinifera wine grapes and Xf resistant selections and sources characterized from our previous breeding efforts. The second is the continuing characterization of Xf resistance and winegrape quality traits (color, tannin, ripening dates, flavor, productivity, etc.) in novel germplasm sources, in our breeding populations, and in our genetic mapping populations. These efforts support both the breeding program and the genetic mapping program.
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resistant genotypes are in process and will be tested for AFLP polymorphisms utilizing fluorescent primers and visualized on a PE 3100 sequencer.

**Table 1.** Data on number of markers mapped for the 9621 (D8909-15 x F8909-17) mapping population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular Markers</th>
<th>Genomic SSR</th>
<th>EST derived SSR</th>
<th>ESTP markers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VMC published/unpublished</td>
<td>Southern Cross University, Australia</td>
<td>Doug Adams/NCBI data base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VVMD</td>
<td>INRA, France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VVS</td>
<td>Genome Facility (U.C. Davis)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INRA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Grand Total       | 134         | 4            | 16            |
|                   | 10          | 7            | 35            |
|                   | 2           | 9            | 16            |
|                   | 134         | 4            | 16            |

**Table 2.** Details of the 9621 genetic linkage map.

| Linkage groups | 19 |
| Linked markers | 214 |
| Total map length | 1300 cM |
| Average distance between markers | 5.98 cM |
| Largest group (PD linkage group) | 30 markers 80cM (group 14) |
| Smallest group | 4 markers 18cM (group 15) |

Figure 1a. Riaz & Walker2004 SSR based genetic linkage map of 9621 (8909-15 X 8909-17)
Spring 2004 and a total of 4,500 seeds have been collected and placed into cold stratification. Should the initial subset of the family segregate in a 1:1 resistant to susceptible ratio as expected the expanded family of approximately 2,000 to 3,000 genotypes will be an excellent choice for fine resolution placement of the \( PdR1 \) resistance gene. This would be the first step toward placement of resistance markers (flanking the \( PdR1 \) locus) onto a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) within a genomic library in a procedure termed “chromosome landing” (Tanksley et al. 1995). Plans for construction of the library are underway.

**Objective 2**

The original genetic linkage map was based primarily on AFLP markers with 375 placed on the map, with an additional 32 ISSR, 25 RAPD and 9 SSR markers (Doucleff et al. 2004). Our efforts expanded to more reliable SSR markers in order to construct a repeatable framework map useful for more precise placement of primary resistance genes, QTL analysis and marker-assisted selection. Among the marker classes added to the map 310 SSR markers have been tested, 155 were polymorphic in the parents and all have been added to the map; 90 EST derived SSR markers have been tested, 60 of them were polymorphic and 46 have been added to the map; 20 EST markers (provided by Doug Adams) have been tested and 16 were added to the map (Table 1). A total of 217 markers (SSR, EST-SSR and ESTP) tested on 188 genotypes have now been utilized for map construction.

The 217 SSR markers included some that have been previously published and many that were developed by Vitis Microsatellite Consortium and are as yet unpublished. All markers were tested on a small set of 8 DNA samples including both parents and run on 6 % polyacrylamide gels. DNA on the gels was visualized by silver staining with a commercial kit (Promega). We have tested and used all available informative genomic microsatellite markers for the 9621 population. Meanwhile, we also initiated collaboration efforts with the research group at INRA (Montpellier, France) to obtain primer sequences of SSR markers developed at their facility.

To develop ESTP (expressed sequence tagged polymorphism) markers, sequences of grape cDNA were obtained from Dr. Doug Adams (Department of Viticulture and Enology, UC Davis). Potential PCR primers were designed using the computer program PRIMER 0.5. Primers were selected to have similar properties to facilitate standard conditions for PCR reactions. Primers are 20 to 23 nucleotides long with GC contents of 50-60% and melting temperature ranging from 59-64°C. Amplification and polymorphism for each EST was tested on 2% agarose gels. If length base polymorphisms were not revealed, then a set of 10 different restriction enzymes (\( HindIII, EcoRI, Ava II, BstNI, DraI, Hae III, HindI, Msp I, EcoRV, Rsa I \)) were tested to find restriction site based polymorphism among parents D89090-15 and F8909-17.

**Objective 3**

There are now a large number of EST derived SSR markers available, in addition to the genomic SSR markers from the Vitis Microsatellite Consortium. The EST derived SSR markers are more valuable if the cDNA sequence from which the EST was derived has a known function as determined by comparisons with homologs from other EST databases. We plan on selecting EST-SSR markers that show homology to genes which control disease resistance along with those that control other important morphological, physiological and agronomic traits. So far we have tested 90 EST-SSR markers from three different sources (Table 1) and 45 of informative markers were added to the entire core set of 9621 population. Our goal is to screen an additional 100-150 EST-SSR markers with putative known function and we are adding to the map as they are completed.

**Objective 4**

In order to develop the core framework map based on SSR markers, preliminary linkage analysis for each parent was carried out with MAPMAKER 2.0. Each segregating locus was paired with a “dummy” locus, resulting in a doubled data set. Linkage groups obtained from the doubled data set were then divided into two symmetrical sets of groups and one set was chosen for further detail. The “first order” and "compare" commands were used to determine the probable order of all markers in each linkage group. The integrated linkage analysis to obtain the sex-average map was performed with JOINMAP 2.0 (LOD 5.0 and recombination frequency 0.45). Using the fixed sequence command, the order of markers was determined relative to the established order obtained from the initial MAPMAKER analysis. Map units in centimorgans (cM) were derived from the Kosambi (K) mapping function. The integrated consensus map analysis was carried out with JOINMAP 3.0. The consensus linkage map was developed with 217 markers (155 SSR markers, 45 EST-SSR, 16 ESTP markers and the Pierce’s disease resistance locus). A total of 214 markers fall in 19 linkage groups and only 3 markers were unlinked. Total map length is 1300 cM with average distance between markers of 5.9 cM. All markers were evenly distributed. The current map is depicted in Figure 1. The largest linkage group was comprised of 30 markers and smallest group consisted of 4 markers (Table 2). The locus for Pierce’s disease resistance mapped to linkage group 14 with flanking markers on each side (Figure 1). Many additional markers have been added but have not been included on the map.

To saturate a narrow region around the \( PdR1 \) locus resistance locus with molecular markers, the strategy of bulk segregant analysis (BSA) (Michelmore et al. 1991) in concert with the AFLP marker system has been initiated in cooperation with our report titled “Optimizing marker-assisted selection (MAS) for resistance to \( Xylella fastidiosa \) to accelerate breeding of PD resistant grapes.” Work has begun within two segregating families from susceptible by resistant crosses. One family, C8909-07 by F8909-08, segregates 1:1 resistant to susceptible and a good correlation between resistance and resistance marker alleles has been established. A bulk of the DNA from the 12 most susceptible and a bulk of the DNA the 12 most
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ABSTRACT
Development of an SSR genetic linkage map based on the 9621 family is continuing. The family segregates for PD resistance and is based on the cross of PD resistant D8909-15 x PD resistant F8909-17. We expanded the mapping population size from 116 to 188 genotypes. The current genetic linkage map consists of 217 non-AFLP markers (SSR, EST-SSR and ESTP) in 19 linkage groups. The PD resistance locus PdR1 maps to linkage group 14 of the male parent (F8909-17), which now consists of 30 markers, 9 of which are localized within 10 cM of PdR1. To avoid confounding affects from resistance inherited from D8909-15 additional families derived from a susceptible by resistant cross are currently being evaluated for map based cloning of the PdR1 locus. A family from the cross of F2-7 (a cross of two V. vinifera wine grapes, Cabernet Sauvignon x Carignane) x F8909-08 (a PD resistant sibling of F8909-17) has been made and is currently being screened for PD resistance via our standard greenhouse testing procedure. To saturate a narrow region around the resistance locus with molecular markers, bulk segregant analysis (BSA) in concert with the AFLP marker system has been initiated in cooperation with our report titled “Optimizing marker-assisted selection (MAS) for resistance to Xylella fastidiosa to accelerate breeding of PD resistant grapes.”

INTRODUCTION
This project expands upon and continues a genetic mapping effort initiated with funding from the California Grape Rootstock Improvement Commission, the Fruit tree, Nut tree and Grapevine Improvement Advisory Board, the California Table Grape Commission and the American Vineyard Foundation. The project has been mapping resistance to Xiphinema index, the dagger nematode, and Xylella fastidiosa (Xf) in an “F2” population designated as the 9621 family (D8909-15 x F8909-17). A genetic map of 116 individuals from the 9621 population was created primarily with AFLP markers (Doucleff et al. 2004). Our efforts were expanded to informative markers, such as microsatellites or simple sequence repeats (SSR) for two main reasons. First, a genetic map based on SSR markers provides a reliable and repeatable framework for initial mapping of candidate genes and quantitative trait loci (QTLs). Secondly, SSR markers tightly linked to resistance and phenotypic traits of interest are ideal for marker-assisted selection due to their applicability across different genetic backgrounds and ease of use. The grape genetic research community formed the International Grape Genome Program (IGGP) to increase coordination and cooperation and to enhance knowledge of the grape genome. Use of the SSR marker system is common among the different research groups so that our mapping efforts can be linked to others. Integrating the 9621 genetic linkage map to other mapping populations will facilitate targeting genomic regions that harbor quantitative trait loci. Comparison to other maps will allow us to identify more markers that are linked to Xf resistance and optimize marker-assisted selection strategies applied to breeding programs. For fine scale mapping a narrow region around the primary resistance locus, we include procedures here. The proposal will expand to include construction and utilization of a genomic library of a resistant parental genotype for eventual cloning of the PD resistance gene.

OBJECTIVES
1. Increase the base population from 116 to 188 genotypes within the 9621 family and expand to a family based on a susceptible by resistant cross of 2,000 to 4,000 genotypes.
2. Increase the number of SSR and EST markers on the core genetic linkage map from 100 to 300 markers.
3. Screen an additional 100-150 EST derived SSR markers for which functions are known after their comparison to homologues in available EST databases.
4. Develop core framework map with an average distance of 2 to 5 cM between markers and utilize Bulk Segregant Analysis (BSA) with the AFLP marker system to saturate a 1 cM region around the PdR1 resistance locus.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS
Objective 1
The original starting material for this project was a molecular marker linkage map of the 9621 population based on 116 individuals (Doucleff et al. 2004). We expanded the core set of individuals from the 9621 to 188 genotypes to take advantage of 96-well plate based techniques and to increase resolution on the map to improve marker association with PD resistance. A second family derived from a susceptible by resistant cross of F2-7 (a V. vinifera wine grape, Cabernet Sauvignon x Carignane) x F8909-08 (a PD resistant sibling of F8909-17) has been made, and 40 individuals are currently being screened for PD resistance via our standard greenhouse testing procedure. An expansion of the family was made in the...
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FUNDING AGENCIES
Funding for the 2004-2005 funding year was received in mid-September 2004. This proposal was not submitted to other funding agencies. However, it is linked to the Walker/Tenscher Pierce’s disease resistance breeding project funded by the CDFA Pierce’s Disease and Glassy-winged Sharpshooter Board (and formerly by the California Table Grape Commission and the California Raisin Advisory Board), and the Walker/Riaz mapping project. This project was initiated through funding by the American Vineyard Foundation and CDFA for the Genetics of Resistance to Pierce’s disease, a project that developed a framework map for the 9621 population. Funding from the Louis P. Martini Endowed Chair in Viticulture has also supported Pierce’s disease mapping and marker development projects.
resistant and susceptible genotypes within the 25000 series have shown improved fruit quality (Figure 2) and are currently being screened to confirm the correlation between the resistance markers and the PD resistance trait. We are also utilizing these populations to confirm the effectiveness and economics of the MAS relative to our greenhouse screening procedure.

Table 1. Resistance classification and marker genotypes for the individuals of the full-sib family derived from the susceptible by resistant cross of C8909-07 x F8909-08. * = Genotypes selected for Bulk Segregant Analysis procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Overall resistance level to PD</th>
<th>Mean natural log (cells/ml)</th>
<th>Mean CMI score</th>
<th>Mean % leaf scorch</th>
<th>Alleles of SSR markers flanking the PdR1 resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99217-21</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-40</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-18</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-41</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-35</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-19</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-01</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-23</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>11.57</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-34</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-46</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-27</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-22</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-12</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-38</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>12.69</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-36</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-50</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-14</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-07</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>14.87</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-04</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.42</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-33</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.59</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-06</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-09</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.81</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-10</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.82</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-13</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.84</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-42</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.85</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-15</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.87</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-32</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.87</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-28</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.91</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-05</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.91</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-37</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.92</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-26</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>15.95</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99217-24</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Rr / Rr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.

*Vitis arizonica* PD
- Resistant poor fruit quality

*Vitis vinifera* PD
- Susceptible Excellent fruit quality

Hybrid BC1-25017 with flanking PD resistance markers
- Improved fruit quality
2. Utilize Bulk Segregant Analysis (BSA) with the AFLP marker system to saturate with markers the region around the previously mapped PdR1 resistance locus and eventually convert confirmed candidate markers to stable SCAR primers.

3. Confirm candidate marker linkage to resistance within families derived from resistant by susceptible crosses such as the ‘8909’ x V. vinifera and (‘8909’ x V. vinifera) x V. vinifera back-cross generations.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Sub-objective 1.

Initial mapping of the PD resistance locus PdR1 in the male parent F8909-17 of the 9621 family localized it to chromosome 14, and identified 6-8 SSR markers on the same linkage group. Marker placement on published SSR linkage maps of Vitis were used to preferentially target chromosome 14, bringing the total number of SSR markers on the linkage group up to 30. Approximately 9 SSR markers are localized within a 10 cM distance of the resistance gene. These SSR markers are reliable and are the easiest of the molecular markers to incorporate within a MAS breeding program. Correlation tests of these candidate markers to PD resistance when functioning within a V. vinifera genetic background are underway and described in sub-objective 3. The SSR marker analysis has allowed us to confirm that marker alleles linked in coupling to PD resistance alleles of the PdR1 locus in another PD resistant progeny of b43-17 (F8909-08) are different than the alleles linked in coupling the resistance alleles in F8909-17. It is apparent from these results that b43-17 is homozygous resistant for the PdR1 locus, and that F8909-17 inherited its resistance allele from one chromosome 14 and F8909-08 inherited its resistance allele from the homologous chromosome 14. In either case the markers linked to resistance will function for MAS, however, different alleles linked in coupling to the resistance alleles will have to be followed through the downstream MAS process. Placement of SSR markers to chromosome 14 via the comparative mapping strategy continue as the markers become available, however, the number of SSR markers that can be targeted to a specific chromosomal region via comparative mapping is limited.

Sub-objective 2.

For high density marker saturation within a narrow window around the PdR1 locus, a bulk segregant analysis (BSA) strategy (Michelmore et al. 1991) in concert with the AFLP marker system was chosen as the method of choice. Initial BSA was attempted within the 9621 family, however, confounding effects of the resistance loci within the D8909-15 parent made the attempt more difficult than expected. To avoid confounding affects from resistance inherited from other genetic backgrounds and focus the BSA procedure only on the PdR1 locus, work has begun within two segregating families from susceptible by resistant crosses. The first family, 99217 (C8909-07 x F8909-08) consists of 33 genotypes, has been screened for PD resistance (Krivanek et al. submitted) and segregates 1:1 resistant to susceptible (Table 1). DNA has been extracted from these genotypes, flanking SSR markers were run and a good correlation between resistance and resistance marker alleles has been established (Table 1). A bulk of the DNA from the 12 most susceptible and a bulk of the DNA from the 12 most resistant genotypes are in process and will be tested for AFLP polymorphisms utilizing fluorescent primers and visualized on a PE 3100 sequencer. The second family derived from a susceptible by resistant cross is a V. vinifera x F8909-08 family; it consists of 40 genotypes and has been designated as 0062. Testing of this family for PD resistance is currently underway via our standard greenhouse testing procedure (Krivanek et al. in press; Krivanek and Walker in press). It is expected that the progeny in this family will segregate in a 1:1 manner, and if so, DNA extraction and BSA procedures will be undertaken as with the 99217 family. Candidate AFLP markers will be converted to stable and more reliable SCAR primers before incorporation into the MAS program.

Sub-objective 3.

Work is progressing with two distinct breeding populations for testing of candidate resistance markers and initial application of those markers to MAS. One family is a cross of the PD resistant F8909-08 to a female V. vinifera wine grape F2-7 (Cabernet Sauvignon x Carignane) and designated as the 0062 family. A second breeding population consists of a cross of F8909-08 to several elite V. vinifera table grape genotypes (the 500 series). A subset of the 500 series has been screened for PD resistance and screened for markers flanking the PdR1 locus. Five confirmed resistant genotypes have been utilized in the development of the first backcross generations BC1 (backcrossed to additional elite V. vinifera genotypes). The BC1 population (25000 series) consists of approximately 200 individuals and was planted in the field in 2003. Marker analysis for flanking markers to the PdR1 locus has been completed for the 25000 series and the marker information was utilized in selection of genotypes for the spring of 2004 crosses for the development of the BC2 generations. Subsets of candidate
ABSTRACT

Efforts at identifying molecular markers linked to *Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*) resistance are continuing. Our primary focus is on resistance derived from b43-17, a *Vitis arizonica/candicans* type collected near Monterrey, Nuevo Leon, Mexico. The ‘9621’ *V. rupestris* x *V. arizonica* hybrid mapping family (PD resistant D8909-15 x PD resistant F8909-17) was used to localize *PdR1*, a primary PD resistance locus within the linkage map of the male parent F8909-17 (progeny of b43-17) and identify candidate linked resistance markers. In more recent research, a comparative mapping strategy between the ‘9621’ linkage map and other SSR maps within *Vitis* was used to identify 9 SSR markers within 10 cM of the resistance locus. Resistance from the female parent D8909-15 has not yet been localized to a genetic map. The strategy of bulk segregant analysis (BSA) in concert with the AFLP marker system has been initiated to saturate the region around the resistance locus and is expected to yield an additional 20 to 50 markers linked to the resistance trait. All candidate resistant markers have been and will continue to be applied to breeding populations derived from ‘8909’ x *V. vinifera* and (*‘8909’ x *V. vinifera*) x *V. vinifera* back-cross generations in order to confirm resistance marker effectiveness in *V. vinifera* backgrounds and continue with marker assisted selection for development of high quality PD resistant grapes.

INTRODUCTION

Several American *Vitis* species are native to the regions where PD is endemic, and resistance from these sources has been introgressed into many different cultivars grown in the south-eastern United States. The acceptance of the new hybrid cultivars has been limited due in part to some undesirable non-vinifera fruit quality traits. The development of high quality PD resistant cultivars will be facilitated by the use of molecular markers to achieve a more precise introgression of the resistance genes into domesticated backgrounds and avoid introgression of undesirable traits (Figure 1). Backcross introgression via molecular markers has been accomplished successfully in other crops (Young and Tanksley 1989). This type of introgression is generally termed Marker Assisted Selection (MAS), whereby indirect selection on a trait of interest (such as disease resistance) is made by screening for the presence of a DNA marker allele tightly linked to the trait. MAS for disease resistance can also be used to eliminate susceptible genotypes in a breeding population early in the selection process, which allows for evaluation of much larger effective populations. Larger effective population sizes increase the opportunity to identify genotypes with high disease resistance and good horticultural qualities (such as good flavor traits, color, berry and cluster size. etc.). Other key aspects of the MAS process include avoiding confounding environmental effects on the trait phenotype and accelerating breeding progress while saving space and time, allowing for more efficient use of resources (Paterson et al. 1991, Kelly 1995). Rapid screening time is particularly valuable when applied to perennial crops such as grape with relatively long generation times (Alleweldt 1988, Striem et al. 1994). To effectively use linked markers in MAS only requires that the markers be highly reproducible, linked in coupling phase i.e. on the same homologous chromosome, and within 5 centimorgan (cM) mapping units of the resistance locus (Kelly 1995).

Within grapevines, markers linked to powdery mildew resistance (Dalbo et al. 2001, Pauquet et al. 2001), downy mildew resistance (Luo et al. 2001) and seedlessness (Lahogue 1998) have been published. In the case of powdery mildew resistance, MAS has already been successfully utilized for screening a grape breeding population. We are successfully developing a MAS system for screening PD resistant genotypes that will greatly benefit our breeding of PD resistant wine grapes.

OBJECTIVES

Our overall objective is to identify DNA markers that are tightly linked to the primary locus or loci required for complete resistance to PD within *Vitis*. Research will focus on PD resistance as inherited from *V. arizonica* and will utilize an established *V. rupestris* x *V. arizonica* genetic map. These markers will be utilized for MAS to eliminate susceptible seedling progeny our continuing PD resistance breeding program.

Sub-objectives

1. Continue with a comparative mapping strategy between the *V. rupestris* x *V. arizonica* 9621 (D8909-15 x F8909-17) linkage map and other SSR maps within *Vitis* in order to identify additional SSR markers linked to resistance.
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Figure 2. Effect of host plant xylem fluid on Xf growth.

Figure 3. Effect of host plant xylem fluid on Xf growth.

Figure 4. Effect of host plant xylem fluid on Xf biofilm formation.

Figure 5. Some amino acid contents in grape and grape fruit xylem fluid.

Figure 6. Sugar contents in grape and grape fruit xylem fluid.

Figure 7. Peroxidase levels in host xylem fluid.

Figure 8. Total thiol contents in host xylem fluid.
and grape may differentially affect growth of Xf. Redox status also likely affects the tendency for Xf aggregation and biofilm formation. Adding reducing agents such as glutathione to artificial medium promotes Xf aggregation and biofilm formation (Leite et al., 2004). It was reported that thiols mediate the aggregation and adhesion of Xf (Leite et al., 2002). Thiol-containing compounds in xylem fluid include cysteine, methionine and glutathione. The redox status in citrus and grape xylem fluid and its role in Xf aggregation and biofilm formation, and host plant resistance/susceptibility to Xf need to be further investigated.

OBJECTIVES
1. Investigate the effect of host plant xylem fluid on Xf multiplication, aggregation and attachment.
2. Determine the biochemical mechanisms of host xylem fluid influence on Xf multiplication, aggregation and attachment.

RESULTS
Commercial citrus (lemon, orange and grapefruit) groves in proximity to vineyards were selected in the Temecula Valley, California. Three blocks of 30 citrus and 30 grape vines were used. A minimum of 15 citrus trees and 15 vines were randomly selected from each block (making a total of 15 trees or vines from each plant species) to extract xylem fluid. Terminal shoots from each plant were used for xylem extraction with a pressure bomb apparatus (Anderson et al., 1989). Upon collection, the xylem fluid was immediately placed on dry ice before final storage in a -80 °C freezer. The samples were used to test the impact of these xylem fluid on Xf resistance and chemical analyses of soluble carbohydrates, free amino acids, and redox status.

Effects of xylem fluid of each plant species on Xf attachment were evaluated on the biofilm formation. Formation of biofilm on the abiotic surfaces was assessed as described by Espinosa-Urgel et al. (2000). The analyses of Xf multiplication and aggregation were based on the fact that optical density (540 nm) is correlated with bacterial cell numbers and aggregation state as described by Burdman et al. (2000).

Our data indicated that, when the xylem fluid of grapefruit, orange and lemon was added to the PD Temecula strain of Xf in PD3 medium in glass culture tubes, there were heavy Xf cell aggregations to form large white clumps in suspension of the culture and the culture fluid was clear with no significant turbidity; in contrast, grape xylem fluid added to the same Xf culture did not cause visible clumping, but rather a visible thick biofilm was formed on the surface of glass tube and the culture was turbid (Figure 1). After homogenization of the culture, we found that the numbers of Xf cells in the grapefruit xylem fluid treatment were significantly higher at 6, 8 and 9 days after culture compared with those in the grape xylem fluid treatment (Figure 2). The numbers of Xf cells in orange or lemon xylem fluid treatments were generally lower than those in grape xylem fluid treatment (Figure 3). These data suggest that the citrus species, especially grapefruit, are suitable hosts for Xf growth and may serve as a great reservoir of the pathogen for GWSS acquisition. Our assay results revealed that xylem fluid of the citrus species significantly inhibited Xf biofilm formation compared to that of grape (Figure 4). Our attempt to investigate the biochemical mechanisms likely to be involved indicated that 96% of amino acids in grape xylem fluid was comprised of glutamine, while 47% of amino acids in grape fruit xylem fluid was proline (Figure 5). The content of total amino acids in grape xylem fluid was near 9-fold higher than that in grapefruit xylem fluid (Figure 5). Sugar contents were 1.4- to 5.5-fold higher in grape xylem fluid than those in grapefruit xylem fluid (Figure 6). Peroxidase and total thiol levels were also higher in grape xylem fluid than in citrus xylem fluid (Figures 7 and 8).

CONCLUSIONS
Xylem fluid of grapefruit, orange and lemon caused PD Temecula strain of Xf cells to aggregate and form large white clumps but inhibited the attachment. In contrast, grape xylem fluid did not cause visible clumping but led to heavy attachment. Grapefruit xylem fluid significantly increased multiplication of Xf cells compared with grape xylem fluid. Citrus species, especially grapefruit, appear to be suitable hosts for Xf growth and may serve as a reservoir of the pathogen for GWSS acquisition and transmission to grape vines. Further research is underway to elucidate the biochemical mechanisms.

Figure 1. Effect of host plant xylem fluid on Xf aggregation. A, treatment with grape xylem fluid. B, treatment with grapefruit xylem fluid. C, treatment with orange xylem fluid. D, treatment with lemon xylem fluid. Note that white clumps of Xf aggregates are formed in the grapefruit, orange and lemon xylem fluid treatments.
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ABSTRACT
Research in Temecula Valley indicated that the proximity of citrus groves to vineyards has influenced the incidence and severity of Pierce’s disease (PD), *Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*), in grapes. Although the glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) feeds on and moves back and forth between Temecula citrus groves and vineyards, there are no visible *Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*) symptoms in the citrus. This implies that citrus trees are resistant or tolerant to the *Xf* but may be a reservoir to harbor the pathogen for GWSS acquisition while grape vines are susceptible. We investigated the mechanisms of host plant resistance/susceptibility by examining the impact of xylem fluid of grapefruit, orange, lemon and grape on *Xf* multiplication, aggregation and attachment as well as the related xylem fluid chemistry. Our laboratory experiments revealed that xylem fluid of grapefruit, orange and lemon caused an aggregation of Temecula PD cells to form large white clumps while grape xylem fluid did not cause visible clumping, but created a visible thick biofilm. The numbers of *Xf* cells in grapefruit xylem fluid treatment were significantly higher at 6, 8 and 9 days after culture compared with those in grape xylem fluid treatment. The numbers of *Xf* cells in orange or lemon xylem fluid tests were generally lower than those in grape xylem fluid treatment. Citrus xylem fluid significantly inhibited *Xf* biofilm formation compared to grape xylem fluid. The content of total amino acids in grape xylem fluid was near 9-fold higher than that in grapefruit xylem fluid. Sugar contents were 1.4- to 5.5-fold higher in grape xylem fluid than those in grapefruit xylem fluid. Peroxidase and total thiol levels were also higher in grape xylem fluid than in citrus xylem fluid. Our results indicate that the differences between citrus and grape plants in their responses to *Xylella* may be due to differences in their xylem fluid chemistry.

INTRODUCTION
*Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*) is a xylem-limited, plant pathogenic bacterium that causes Pierce’s disease (PD) in grapes (Purcell, 1981). *Xf* is mainly vectored by the glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS), *Homalodisca coagulata*, in Southern California. Although a comprehensive list of suitable hosts for the GWSS has been identified, comprising 75 plant species in 35 families (Turner and Pollard, 1959), the major crop hosts in Temecula Valley are citrus and grapes. Previous studies in California have identified 94 plant species in more than 28 of plant families as host of *Xf* (Freitag, 1951; Raju et al, 1983; Raju et al., 1980). Most identified *Xf* hosts show no symptoms but serve as inoculum sources of *Xf* for vector acquisition. Perring et al. (2001) studied the incidence of PD in the Temecula Valley and found that proximity of citrus groves to vineyards has influenced the incidence and severity of PD in grapes. The PD infection is most severe when the grape vines are adjacent to citrus, and that the damage declines as one moves away from citrus (Perring et al., 2001). Although the GWSS feeds on and moves back and forth between citrus trees and grape vines, there is generally no *Xf* caused disease symptom in citrus in the area. This implies that citrus trees are resistant or tolerant to the *Xf*, but may be a reservoir to harbor the pathogen for GWSS acquisition and transmission while grape vines are susceptible. Little is known about the biochemical mechanisms involved in host plant resistance/susceptibility to *Xf* in the system. Additional information is required to determine if citrus can be suitable reservoirs for *Xf*. Elucidation of the biochemical mechanisms may be useful for developing host plant resistance in grapes as a sustainable component of integrated pest management program.

*Xf* aggregates to form biofilm inside its host plants and insect vectors. The biofilm formation is considered as a major virulence factor of PD (Marques and Ceri, 2002). Biofilm is defined as structured communities of sessile microbial aggregates enclosed in a self produced polymeric matrix and attached to a surface (Costerton et al., 1995). It was recently reported that a defined medium with some components based on susceptible grape cultivar “Chardonnay” xylem fluid chemistry better supports *Xf* growth and stimulates *Xf* aggregation and biofilm formation in vitro (Leite et al. 2004). However, the effect of citrus xylem fluid on *Xf* multiplication, aggregation and biofilm formation remains unknown.

*Xf* is a nutritionally fastidious bacterium (Wells et al. 1987). In defined medium certain amino acids are essential for *Xf* growth, glucose stimulates the growth while fructose and sucrose have inhibiting effect (Wells et al. 1987; Chang and Donaldson, 2000). It is not known whether differences in contents of amino acids and the sugars in the xylem fluid of citrus
Figure 10. The number of functional vessel (vessel count) is a good predictor of the total area occupied by those vessels. Individual vessel areas were marked on the digitized MRI and summed automatically by ImageJ. Linear regression line $r^2 = 0.98$.

Figure 11. The area of functional xylem (the summation of the areas of individual vessels, see Figure 10 legend) is well correlated with the area calculated using an automated algorithm ($r^2 = 0.97$). $A_f$ is the area calculated using the algorithm.

Figure 12. Principal component analysis plotting stem conductivity (y-axis) vs functional vessel density calculated as vessel number divided by total xylem area (x-axis). Ellipses enclose values for healthy vines (dashed, light line) and infected vines (heavy, grey line).

Figure 13. As in the Figure 12 legend, except that functional vessel density is calculated as vessel number divided by functional xylem area.

CONCLUSIONS
MRI will be a powerful adjunct to other, more conventional approaches for characterizing the changes that occur in grapevine xylem following introduction of $Xf$.
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Figure 7. Specific hydraulic conductivities ($K_s$) for individual internodes of vines (a) inoculated with $Xf$ and (b) exposed to ethylene ($\pm$ 1 SE). Control plants show maximum $K_s$ in middle third of the stem. In contrast, infected plants show a decrease in $K_s$ in the middle portion of the stem. Panel (c) shows $K_s$ $\pm$ 1 SD for all the plants analyzed in the inoculation experiment. Although the variation among different plants is high, the error associated with the measurements is negligible. Note: These measurements reflect the contribution of water flowing through cavitated vessels because the embolized vessels are filled by the pressurized water that is used in the test.

Figure 8. Specific hydraulic resistivity ($R_s$) for (a) vines inoculated with $Xf$ and (b) exposed to ethylene. Total bar height represents $R_s$ $\pm$ 1 SE (in black). $R_s$ components, $R_{node}$ and $R_{internode}$, are also shown ($\pm$ 1 SE in gray). The nodes are a major component of stem hydraulic resistivity (the inverse of conductivity). It can be noted that $R_s$ is about 3 fold higher for stems of infected plants than for controls, even when infected plants have no external symptoms. This observation agrees with the information provided by MRI.

Figure 9. Example of the digital processing and analysis performed on MRIs to evaluate quantitatively the development of dark spots. (a) Original cross section MRI of an infected plant showing dark spots. Individual functional vessels are counted using this type of image. (b) Isolation and quantification of the cross sectional area of the stem that is normally xylem tissue ($A_x$). (c) Binary analysis of the xylem ring to determine the area of functional xylem ($A_f$), the black area represents the pixels that are above the threshold defined as the minimum value for a water-filled pixel. The program allows us to vary the threshold value.
Figure 4. Stem cross section MRIs of a Control (water-inoculated) plant. The numbers indicate the internode position, counting from the base of the stem. In internodes 1-3 it is possible to observe the disruption of the xylem caused by the needle inoculation. The xylem disk looks normal in the other internodes. Note that individual vessels are easily observed as bright spots.

Figure 5. Stem cross section MRIs of an infected plant. This plant was not showing external symptoms after 6 moths of inoculation. The effect of needle inoculation can be seen in internode 2. Dark sectors of embolized vessels can be observed from internodes 10 to 20. Note that in this image it is more difficult to distinguish anatomical features and individual vessel than in MRIs of a Control plant (Figure 4).

Figure 6. Stem cross MRIs of a plant exposed to ethylene. Numbers indicate the position of the internodes, numbered from the base of the stem. “Dark spots” that show non-functional vessels can be seen increasing in size from the base of the stem. The xylem disk appears to be compromised the most at internode 16, which was approximately the youngest internode in the stem (i.e., in the growing tip) at the time of ethylene treatment.
clearly in the lower range of that response. We have shown that cavitated vessels that are air-filled can be re-filled (including restoring an image showing that they are water-filled, see Figure 3). However, attempts at refilling segments of PD-infected stems that showed “dark sectors” in the MRIs generally failed. This indicates that “dark sectors” in MRIs of infected vines are likely a sign of a relatively permanent deterioration of the water movement capacity in the stem, probably a consequence of tylose formation and/or vascular gel development.

**Table 1.** Mean values for calculated functional vessel densities in healthy and infected grapevine stems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Vessel density ± 1 SE</th>
<th>N_{v}/A_{c}</th>
<th>N_{v}/A_{f}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>63.03 ± 4.81</td>
<td>124.88 ±11.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xf-inoculation</td>
<td>49.78 ± 4.81</td>
<td>93.25 ±11.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Hypothetical model for PD development. PD starts with a local infection caused by the glassy-winged sharpshooter’s introduction of Xf locally (i.e., into one or a few vessels). Once Xf is in the xylem the bacteria become systemic, which implies that Xf must be able to cross (digest away?) the cell wall in the pit membranes that separate two neighboring vessels. The digestion of the cell wall by bacterial enzymes would generate transient oligosaccharides with biological activity. The presence of these oligosaccharides is detected by the plant triggering a series of defensive responses, including a rise in ethylene production. Ethylene has been shown to induce tylose formation. Cavitation of vessels may be also important for the disruption of water transport in the plant. Cavitations may happen during insect feeding or during PD progression. The “bottom line” of our thinking is that PD is primarily caused by the grapevine’s responses (local and systemic) to Xf presence.

**Figure 2.** MRI of a PD-infected stem in a basal internode (a), and closer to the apex (b). Bright spots between the central pith (dark) and the ring of vascular cambium show functional vessels. Image b shows dark pockets within the vascular tissue that indicate areas in which vessels are not water-filled (compare the image to the healthy stem in Figure 3a). Tyloses (cellular-physical blockages of the vessels) are often associated with dark spots in MRIs of infected xylem, Tyloses are shown as accumulations of dark, bubble-like structures in vessel seen in the light microscope of an infected stem (c).

**Figure 3.** (a) MRI of an intact stem segment in a healthy shoot. (b) Image of the same stem portion after an important part of the cross section below has been severed, thus causing cavitation of many vessels. (c) The same stem segment after it has been refilled with water. (d) Stem segment after flushing with air to completely empty the xylem vessels.
potential importance in PD development. Our analysis can reveal vessels that have cavitated. Figure 3 shows functional vessels in an intact stem, and empty vessels after the stem is severed to cause cavitation, and that cavitated vessels can be re-filled with water under pressure. When we have the optimized MRI probe we will develop a series of image sets taken along the lengths of vines at intervals following water (control) and \(Xf\) inoculation to give a time course of PD development. However, at this point we do not have images for a full time course.

MRI is capable of showing xylem disruption and non-functional vessels well before external symptoms appear in infected plants. Figures 4 and 5 show images for the length of control (buffer-inoculated) and infected (\(Xf\)-inoculated) vines six months after inoculation. MRIs of the control-inoculated vine show defined xylem rays, in which individual vessels can be clearly observed. As in previous experiments, stem cross section MRIs of infected plants (Figure 5) show that major sectors of the xylem appear dark, indicating that they are no longer water-filled (Note: the magnetic signal is lost in cavitated vessels). Furthermore, MRIs of plants infected with \(Xf\) become less sharp, making it more difficult to discriminate structure, particularly of individual, probably still functional, vessels. Efforts to explain this will be a feature of the work as this project continues. MRI also has been used to follow changes in the functionality of the xylem of plants exposed to ethylene in enclosed chambers (10 ppm for 48 hours). We previously described the progressive development in time of “dark sectors” in the xylem of ethylene-gassed, presumably indicating vessels no longer involved in water transport. This new set of experiments has allowed us to confirm that, after 6 months of exposure to ethylene, gassed plants show progressive xylem disruption along the stem (Figure 6). Most of the damage is localized close to nodes/internodes that had just developed in the stem growth tip at the time of ethylene treatment and had then expanded in the intervening six months prior to our observations. The MRIs show “dark sectors” in those internodes. These sectors decrease are less extensive in internodes below and above the internodes that were in the growth tip at the time of treatment; that is, internodes formed after the time of treatment and already partially elongated, respectively when ethylene as applied. As in \(Xf\)-infected plants, MRIs of ethylene-treated plants are less sharp than images of control plants (Figure 6).

The impression of a loss in xylem function that is given by the MRIs of \(Xf\)-inoculated and ethylene-gassed vines can be correlated with a decrease in the hydraulic conductivity of internodes. This is tested by determining the rate of movement of pressurized water through stem segments (Figure 7). Similarly, stems of treated vines showed an increase in the hydraulic resistivity (the inverse of conductivity) relative to the controls (Figure 8), although this difference was statistically significant only for the ethylene experiment. The lack of statistical difference in the inoculation experiment is mainly due to the great variability found in the hydraulic resistivity of inoculated plants. In turn, this might be explained because these vines were in a gradation of early stages of PD infection when examined (they were not showing external symptoms). While there is some variability found in the hydraulic resistivity of inoculated plants. In turn, this might be explained because these vines were in a gradation of early stages of PD infection when examined (they were not showing external symptoms). While there is some correlation between the MRIs showing localized areas of empty vessels and reduced hydraulic conductivity in regions of infected stems, the correlations are not perfect. This is due to at least two factors that will be tested more fully in our continuing work. First, an empty vessel shown in the MRI at one level in the plant’s stem could be the result of a vessel obstruction or cavitation above or below the point on the stem where the MRI observation was made. There may be no actual impediment to water flow in the empty vessel at the level at which it is being imaged. Thus, a test of water flux at the imaged level may reveal no water flux difficulty. Second, while cavitation may be an important factor in PD development, because the tests of water conductivity are carried out using water under pressure, cavitated vessels will be re-filled during the test and no reduction in water flux would be revealed. Destructive anatomical work will define which kind of vessel disruption (tylose, gel or air embolism) exists in stems with non-functional vessels as revealed by MRI.

A more quantitative analysis of the MRIs has been attempted in order to characterize objectively the presence of “dark sectors” in the images. For this purpose, the MRIs were processed and analyzed using the ImageJ program (developed at the U.S. National Institutes of Health and available at http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij). First, the number of functional vessels (\(N_f\)) was counted in the MRIs of inoculated and control vines (like the one in Figure 9a), based on the assumption that a bright (hence, water-filled) vessel was functional. Next, the xylem-cross sectional area (\(A_c\)) was measured by isolating in the MRIs (Figure 9b) the ring of tissue that is usually occupied by the xylem. Then, the digital image of the xylem-ring was converted to a binary image (Figure 9c) using a built-in algorithm in ImageJ, in which all the pixels above a set grey intensity threshold are black and the pixels below this value remain white, and the functional xylem-cross sectional area (\(A_t\)) was determined by measuring the black area. To confirm that the threshold area correctly estimated \(A_t\), the area of individual functional vessels was selected by hand and measured in a series of MRIs, some with clearly delimited vessel images and others with less distinct (“fuzzy”) images such as those often seen when PD-infected grapevine stems are examined. The images from infected vines often do not show vessels as bright or darks spots, rather the images of individual vessels are fuzzy, making determination of vessel functional status difficult. The area of functional xylem measured manually was then correlated with the number of functional vessels (Figure 10), and with the results of the automated routine (Figure 11). The regressions confirmed that both the number of functional vessels and the threshold areas depicted in the binary images, are excellent estimators of \(A_t\). Preliminary results of the quantitative analysis described above, in which all the images for an individual plant were averaged; indicate that \(Xf\)-inoculated vines have a lower mean density of functional vessels (Table 1) than that of controls. Figures 12 and 13 show that the vessel density also correlates positively with the hydraulic conductivity for whole stems, suggesting that the visual assessment of MRIs conveys information about the actual water movement capacity of grapevine stems. Principal components ellipses (\(p = 0.5\)) in Figures 12 and 13 show that, in both, inoculated and control vines, the hydraulic conductivity for the whole stem is a function of the vessel density, but infected the vines tend to localize
INTRODUCTION

Results from Pierce’s disease (PD) research programs led by Matthews, Rost and Labavitch (reported in 2001, 2002 and 2003 in San Diego) have provided substantial support for the idea that obstructions in the vine’s water-transporting xylem tissue develop rapidly post-inoculation, before an appreciable bacterial population has been established. The results also strongly suggest that these obstructions, and likely other aspects of the PD “syndrome”, result from the grapevine's active responses to the presence of X. fastidiosa (Xf), rather than to direct "action" by the bacterium. Thus, careful analysis of the timing of changes in xylem element anatomy and function relative to Xf introduction, as well as to external symptoms of disease development, is important for establishing reliable indicators of the "stage" of PD development. The analyses done thus far have been based on destructive tissue sampling. Such sampling can be particularly “blind” when it is done on vines in which (based on our earlier results) internal symptoms of PD are present but external, visible symptoms are not yet present.

In the report of the year 1 work of our study (Shackel and Labavitch, 2003), the success of Mr. Pérez and Dr. Walton in imaging non-functional vessels in the stems of PD-infected and ethylene-treated grapevine stems was demonstrated. In this report we elaborate on those studies, showing that locations of reduced vine water transport capacity, as determined by non-destructive MRI analysis, is correlated with the locations of PD and ethylene effects on vessel functionality (destructive analysis). In addition, because interpretation of the meaning of the MRIs with respect to the anatomy and functioning of vessels is a crucial aspect of our work, we have described the methodology used to validate our approach to obtaining the relevant information from the MRIs.

OBJECTIVES

1. Optimize the use of MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) and to spatially visualize altered water movement in grapevines.
2. Test correlations of observed vascular system obstructions (based on grapevine dissection and microscopy techniques) with predictions based on MRI data.
3. Use MRI to follow the development of grapevine obstructions over time in vines infected with X. fastidiosa or treated with ethylene, bacterial wall-degrading enzymes or plant cell wall oligosaccharides, all of which may be important intermediates in regulating the vine’s response to infection and the eventual development of PD symptoms.
4. Use NMR imaging to determine whether localized xylem cavitation occurs at the site and time of X. fastidiosa inoculation or introduction by the glassy-winged sharpshooter.

RESULTS

Optimization of the Use of MRI for Visualizing Water Transport Deficiencies in PD-Infected Grapevines.

Progress on this objective has been delayed because a supplier for a key electronic element of the new MRI probe that has been designed for use with grapevines no longer provided a key part. The parts are all now available and development of the new probe is underway. We are proceeding with the testing of aspects of the PD model using the NMR instrument in its more conventional configuration.

MRI Will Show Non-functional Sections in the Xylem of a PD-infected Grapevine Stem.

Usually the techniques to evaluate xylem function are destructive. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) allows us to visualize vessels that are functional and full of movable water. Functional vessels appear as bright spots in an MRI view of the stem cross-section; non-functional vessels lack water and appear as dark spots in the area of the stem where water-conducting cells are found. Figures 2a & 2b show the difference in the distributions of functional vessels in an infected vine at a point where leaf symptoms of PD are apparent (Figure 2a) and nearer to the stem apex at a point where the leaves show no sign of PD symptoms (Figure 2b). Compare these images with that for a healthy vine (Figure 3a). Cavitation of xylem vessels is also of
B. Green Islands

Green islands arise from the incomplete development of the deep-seated phellogen (cork cambium) in *V. vinifera*. In regions of the stem where the phellogen arises and produces subsequent phellem (cork), external tissues (phloem, cortex, epidermis) are cut off from their nutrient sources and begin to die and brown. The juxtaposition of stem regions with active phellogen, and the juvenile character of no phellogen, creates green islands. It is unknown whether green regions are delayed in their development, or whether brown regions display advanced development. No obvious correlation was seen in the level of vessel occlusion proximal to green or brown regions. Additionally, periderm formation was observed in *M. rotundifolia*. Periderm formation in this species is subepidermal (vs. deep-seated) and consequently green islands may not form in this species (Stevenson et al. 200xC). This is important point for researchers using green islands as an indicator of PD resistance.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The development of tyloses and gums in response to *Xf* infection were qualitatively similar in the resistant *M. rotundifolia* cv Cowart and the susceptible *V. Vinifera* cv Chardonnay, although the resistant species tended to form fewer tyloses.
2. The only observable difference in hydraulic architecture was that the resistant species had narrower vessels.
3. Fluorescent beads were loaded into stems of both species. Beads moved approximately the same distance (~1.6-1.8 nodes) and in both cases did not enter into petioles.
4. Tyloses were first seen about 24 hours after pruning in both species. After four days about 50% of vessels were blocked. By eight days most vessels were blocked in both species.
5. Matchsticks formed in *V. vinifera* leaves after several days of *Xf* infection. This symptom consisted of the pseudoabscission of the petiole from the leaf blade. Green islands are green areas of the stem created by incomplete formation of periderm in infected plants.
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that some pit membrane disruption, is required for bacteria to colonize petiole and leaf tissue (Stevenson, Matthews and Rost, 2004a).

B. Resin-casting and Macerations
Resin casts were made of the internal spaces of vessel lumina and pit surface morphology in both \textit{V. vinifera} and \textit{M. rotundifolia} (Figure 2). Superficially, no differences were seen in pit patterns, pit integrity, or relative pit surface area between the species. Further study is required to investigate subtle characters of pit membranes (ex. total pit membrane area, dimensions of pit apertures) that may facilitate pit membrane disruption by bacteria.

4. TYLOSE DEVELOPMENT
A. Rate of Tylose Development
A working hypothesis was developed that differential susceptibility to PD among grapevine species may involve differences in the rate of tylose development. The rate of tylose development was studied in both resistant and susceptible grapevines following wounding (pruning) injury. Tylose development was then observed allowing one, four, and eight days for tyloses to develop. Initial tylose development was found within a day, about half of the vessels were occluded by day four, and at day eight, most vessels of the stems were observed to be significantly blocked by tyloses (Figure 3). No superficial difference was seen between the rate of tylosis in PD susceptible \textit{V. vinifera} and resistant \textit{M. rotundifolia} at any of the time intervals, however, further quantitative analysis is necessary.

B. Vitality of Tyloses and Paratracheal Parenchyma
The presence of living cells surrounding the vessels during tylose formation following pruning was studied using the vital stain fluorescein diacetate. This technique was used to discern a correlation between the amount of tylose occlusion found in the vessel and the number of vital paratracheal cells surrounding that vessel, and whether the number of vital paratracheal cells was significantly greater in PD susceptible grapevine species. Both resistant and susceptible grapevines were observed in this manner over the eight-day time course described in 4A. No superficial differences were seen in the vitality of paratracheal parenchyma surrounding vessels in the two species, however greater quantitative analysis is required. Overall, tyloses fluoresced greatly, indicating vital development, whereas paratracheal cells fluoresced only occasionally (Figure 3). These results suggest that very few active paratracheal cells are required to result in significant tylose development.

5. DEVELOPMENTAL ANATOMY OF MATCHSTICKS AND GREEN ISLANDS
The development of the external visual PD symptoms of matchsticks and green islands was studied from a anatomical perspective (Stevenson, Matthews and Rost 2004b).

A. Matchsticks
Matchsticks result from pseudoabscission of the leaf lamina from the petiole. Following significant leaf scorching, the lamina breaks from the petiole at a predictable fracture zone. No separation zone develops as is common with typical leaf abscission, and hence this process is described as pseudo-abscission. Following pseudoabscission, exposed petiole tissues dehydrate and blacken to take on the appearance of a burnt matchstick. Occasionally, a wound periderm will form near the fracture zone following pseudoabscission. When this periderm forms, dehydration of the petiole is minimal. The process of matchsticking has never before been described anatomically.
It is generally accepted that the fatal nature of Pierce’s Disease is a result of the bacteria becoming systemic and water stress becoming increasingly severe until the plant is no longer able to function (Goodwin et al., 1988). However, the classic PD symptoms: patchy leaf chlorosis, persistent “green islands” on stems, and “matchsticks” (leaf abscission at the petiole/blade junction) are not generally observed in vines exposed to water stress alone. If the symptoms of PD are not, in fact, a result of water deficit, then studies relying on the assumption that water stress is the ultimate killer of plants suffering from PD, may result in misleading information and add years to finding solutions to the PD problem. Our second annual report addresses these concerns.

OBJECTIVES
1. Study the progression of anatomical symptoms created by Xf over a time-course in a PD resistant grapevine species, Muscadina rotundifolia cv Cowart.
2. Determine the hydraulic architecture of a PD resistant species, M. rotundifolia.
3. Study the integrity of pit membranes of both PD susceptible Vitis vinifera cv Chardonnay and resistant M. rotundifolia by following the in situ movement of fluorescently tagged beads.
4. Determine the rate of tylose development from wounding in both PD V. vinifera and M. rotundifolia.
5. Study the developmental anatomy of green island and matchsticks in V. vinifera.

RESULTS

1. PROGRESSION OF PD SYMPTOMS IN RESISTANT SPECIES
The progression of anatomical symptoms created by infection by Xf was studied along a time-course as was previously conducted with V. vinifera (Stevenson, Matthews and Rost, 2004). Similar experiments were conducted with PD resistant M. rotundifolia in an attempt to discern quantitative or qualitative anatomical differences in a six-month post-inoculation period. The development of symptoms in the resistant species was qualitatively similar to that in resistant species (development of tyloses in stems, development of gums in petioles), however the rate of development and overall occlusion created by these symptoms was dramatically lower. In the resistant species overall occlusion was minimal (<5% of vessels) after nearly four months (Figure 1), whereas in susceptible species overall occlusion was great (~50% of vessels).

2. HYDRAULIC ARCHITECTURE OF RESISTANT SPECIES
The general hydraulic architecture of PD susceptible V. vinifera has been presented (Stevenson et al. 2004). Similar studies were conducted with PD resistant M. rotundifolia in an attempt to elucidate anatomical differences that may explain PD susceptibility or resistance. Regions of grapevine stem were serially sections to follow xylem arrangement in the node and internode. No significant differences were observed in the organization of stem xylem or in the divergence of xylem to lateral organs between resistant and susceptible species. The only difference found between the species was that M. rotundifolia possessed significantly narrower vessels than were found in V. vinifera. The difference may be contribute to restricting bacterial movement. Narrow vessels may cause bacterial conglomeration closer to the point of inoculation and prevent long distance bacterial seeding. Additionally, narrower vessels have less overall pit surface, which may further reduce the number of alternative pathways available to bacteria. Both of these proposals require further investigation.

3. PIT PROPERTIES OF SUSCEPTIBLE AND RESISTANT SPECIES
Preliminary investigations were conducted towards the study of the characteristics and integrity of pit membranes in susceptible and resistant grapevine species. The movement of Xf bacteria in the host is potentially facilitated by damaged pit membranes of grapevine, compromised either in development, or as a result of frequent cavitation/refilling cycles (Hacke et al. 2001, Sperry et al. 1987).

A. Movement of Fluorescent Beads
Fluorescent beads of similar size to Xf bacterial cells were injected into stem xylem of V. vinifera and M. rotundifolia (Figure 2). The distance of bead travel from the inoculation point was recorded as an indicator of vessel length and pit membrane integrity. Beads were observed to travel similar distances in both species (V. vinifera 1.6 ±0.5 nodes, M. rotundifolia1.8 ±0.4 nodes). The relatively short distance that these beads traveled indicates a general integrity within the vessel pits and is evidence against pit damage commonly occurring. Beads were never observed to pass into petiole xylem, which suggests
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ABSTRACT
During this period our focus was the comparative xylem anatomy of a resistant species, Muscadinia rotundifolia cv Cowart and a susceptible species, Vitis vinifera cv Chardonnay. When infected by Xylella fastidiosa both species produced tyloses (parenchyma ingrowths into tracheary elements) and gums; M. rotundifolia tended to have fewer tyloses. The resistant species also had narrower vessels, but otherwise xylem anatomy was similar to V. vinifera. Fluorescently tagged beads were loaded into both species. Beads traveled through the stem xylem in both, but did not move into petioles in these experiments. Tyloses were first apparent 24 hours after pruning in both species and most vessels were blocked in both after eight days of pruning. This suggests that the mechanism to form tyloses in both species is similar, although the resistant species tended to show fewer tyloses in response to Xf. Two symptoms, green islands and matchsticks are reported in this study. Green islands formed as a result of incomplete initiation of the phellogen. In regions of the stem where a phellogen and subsequent periderm arose, immediately exterior tissue was cut off, causing it to brown. In regions of the stem where no periderm is formed, the exterior tissues remained green. Consequently, the stem is mottled with both green living epidermis and brown dying epidermis as determined by the presence or absence of an underlying periderm. Matchsticks formed when the leaf lamina separated from the petiole, and the petiole remained attached to the stem. Lamina broke off from the petioles consistently in a fracture zone where xylem from the petiole anastomoses into the five major veins of the leaf. No separation layer was found to explain this pseudoabscission.

INTRODUCTION
Xylella inoculation of stem xylem precedes a relatively rapid movement of bacteria through the hydraulic network (system of xylem) to the leaves. Once bacteria moving in the transpiration stream enter regions of the hydraulic network that contain narrow tracheary elements and terminal tracheary elements (i.e. shorter vessels in petioles and leaves), bacteria may be ‘filtered out’, accumulate, and become embedded in a gel which effectively blocks water flow in that conduit. Tyloses are cell wall extensions of xylem parenchyma cells into tracheary elements. Tylose formation in the stem coincides with bacterial infection, but at least initially, is not present to such a degree that bacterial movement is apparently prevented or that the water supply to distal tissues is restricted to levels causing visual symptoms. Additionally, bacteria can move relatively quickly from an inoculated shoot to another shoot via the subtending trunk.

A similar understanding of the progression of events is needed for resistant varieties and species in order to localize investigations into the mechanism(s) of resistance. The anatomical symptoms of PD, xylem occlusions of gums and tyloses, are well documented in both susceptible (Esau 1948) and resistant plants (Mollenhauer and Hopkins 1976). However, it is not clear whether these occlusions are related to susceptibility or resistance. Only the susceptible plants express leaf scorch and eventual death, and these disease symptoms are widely understood to be water stress (Hopkins, 1989). Sufficient occlusions would produce water deficits downstream. Plants resistant to PD may remain healthy despite systemic populations of Xylella present in the vascular tissue because tylose and gum formation are not induced compared to susceptible varieties. Alternatively, the occlusions may prevent the movement of the bacteria, and comparative studies report that the frequency of occlusions is greater in resistant than in susceptible varieties (Fry and Milholland, 1990). Thus, resistant varieties or species may restrict Xf to regions of the hydraulic network proximal to the point of inoculation, either by occlusions or other mechanisms described below. In the reported experiments, we have initiated those studies. Regardless of whether resistance is dependent upon controlling the movement of Xf, Pierce’s Disease is fatal because Xf becomes systemic. Host species in which Xf is confined to specific tissues, or is otherwise prevented from becoming systemic, do not display symptoms of PD (Hill and Purcell, 1995).
Merlot, high endochitinase concentration in roots (35S promoter)
Merlot, low endochitinase concentration in roots (35S promoter)

Additional controls will include own-rooted transgenic vines to be used to test for presence of foreign protein in the xylem sap.

**CONCLUSION**
The success of this project will rest on the careful, methodical characterization of foreign gene products. This project will not involve the speculative and lengthy creation of novel transgenic grapevines, but rather uses pre-existing transgenic grapevines in order to investigate the potential for transgenic rootstocks to deliver proteins to their non-transgenic scions.

Based on the evidence from the movement of imidacloprid and PGIP in grafted grapevines, it is likely that transgenic grapevine rootstocks will transmit transgenic proteins to their non-transgenic scions. However, it is premature to speculate concerning the time frame for reduction to practice in the form of a novel PD management strategy. We emphasize that this study is intended to investigate the biological principles of protein transport via xylem in grapevines, a topic that has been studied very little in the past. By understanding the potential of a transgenic grapevine rootstock to move proteins into a non-transgenic scion, scientists will be better equipped to investigate and develop novel PD management strategies.
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By studying non-transgenic scions grafted on transgenic rootstocks in the course of this project, we expect to learn whether the transgenic proteins can move from the rootstock to the scion, whether molecule size affects transport, and whether substance concentration in the rootstock affects levels found in the scion.

**OBJECTIVE**

Determine the relationship between protein molecule size and concentration in grapevine roots and its ability to move from a grapevine rootstock to a scion across a graft union.

**RESULTS**

This project is just getting underway, thus, rather than present non-existent research results, an outline of our research plan is presented here.

The following transgenic grapevines are available for use:

1. Two lines of Chancellor transformed with an NPT-II/GUS gene fusion producing a fused protein product. One line strongly expresses the gus reporter gene (*uidA*) in all tissues, while the other line shows no GUS expression, even though the gene is present.
2. Multiple lines of Chardonnay and Merlot producing both NPT-II and endochitinase.
3. A series of lines of Chardonnay producing NPT-II along with one of three antimicrobial peptides (AMPs).

All of these lines produce transgenic products under control of constitutive promoters. In cases 1 and 2 above, the CaMV 35S promoter was employed, whereas in case 3, NPT-II was downstream of an *Arabidopsis* ubiquitin promoter. The CaMV 35S promoter was used by Meredith and Dandekar (2003), who showed that PGIP protein from rootstocks could be detected in xylem sap. The NPT-II/GUS gene fusion product in Chancellor was shown to express in root tissues (Striem et al. 2000), but will require re-testing to make sure that protein production has not been lost since these tests were run. We will need to test the other lines (2 and 3 above) to determine the transgenic protein concentration in their roots. The size of the transgenic product molecules varies: NPT-II is ~280 amino acids (aa) (29 kDa); endochitinase is 424 aa (42 kDa); the NPT-II/GUS bifunctional fusion protein has 885 aa (97 kDa).

We will examine root tissues from separate lines of each of the three types of transformed vines listed to determine gene transcription and transgenic protein concentration via established procedures. To test for gene transcription we will use semi-quantitative RT-PCR (Vidal et al. 2003). Transgenic protein concentrations will be determined using standard methods already in use in our lab. We will identify lines with high and low concentrations of transgenic proteins for further use in this project.

The transgenic lines with high and low concentrations of transgenic proteins, along with negative controls, will be bench grafted as rootstocks to non-transgenic Chardonnay scions. The grafted vines will be grown in a greenhouse. Once the grafted vines have been established and their shoots have grown to 50 cm, the non-transgenic Chardonnay scions will be examined for presence of transgenic proteins. Leaf tissue as well as xylem sap will be tested. Samples will be collected under sunny, warm conditions conducive to transpirational pull through the xylem.

Outline of rootstock/scion combination planned:

- 13 rootstock/scion combinations planned, including control
- 10 vines of each combination x 13 combinations = 130 vines total planned
- Control rootstock: Non-transgenic Chardonnay (to be grafted to non-transgenic Chardonnay)

**Experimental rootstocks:**

(Each rootstock will be grafted to non-transgenic Chardonnay scions.)

- Chancellor, high NPT-II/GUS fused protein product concentration in roots (35S promoter)
- Chancellor, transformed vine with no GUS expression in roots (35S promoter)
- Chardonnay, high NPT-II concentration in roots (Nos promoter)
- Chardonnay, low NPT-II concentration in roots (Nos promoter)
- Chardonnay, high NPT-II (*Arabidopsis* ubiquitin promoter)
- Chardonnay, low NPT-II (*Arabidopsis* ubiquitin promoter)
- Chardonnay, high endochitinase concentration in roots (35S promoter)
- Chardonnay, low endochitinase concentration in roots (35S promoter)
- Merlot, high NPT-II concentration in roots (Nos promoter)
- Merlot, low NPT-II concentration in roots (Nos promoter)
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ABSTRACT
Researchers seeking to genetically-engineer grapevine rootstocks in order to affect Pierce’s disease (PD) resistance in scion cultivars know very little about the transport of substances produced by foreign genes across the graft union. Our project seeks to understand how protein size and concentration may affect protein transport from a rootstock to a scion. We possess genetically engineered lines of Chardonnay, Merlot and Chancellor that produced proteins ranging in size from 29 to 97 kDa. These proteins can be readily detected by established techniques. Lines will be identified with low and high protein production potential in their root tissues, and graft combinations will be created with non-transgenic Chardonnay scions. Xylem sap will be collected from the scion and tested for the presence of the transgenic proteins. Given that Xylella fastidiosa causing plugging of xylem tissues, the results of xylem sap testing will be directly applicable to efforts to develop PD resistance inducing rootstocks.

INTRODUCTION
One approach being utilized to develop a long-term solution to Pierce’s disease is the development of transgenic PD resistant versions of important wine and table grape varieties. The development of each transgenic cultivar will require a concentrated effort and significant amounts of technical expertise, testing, and funding. To bring each successful product to market, and to pass regulatory agency approval for transgenic crops, also will require a great deal of time and funding. This would be required for each of dozens of scion varieties.

A rootstock-based approach provides a potentially excellent alternative. In theory, a transgenic rootstock would confer PD resistance to its non-transgenic scion. Advantages include: 1) many fewer rootstocks will need to be transformed as compared to the dozens of table grape and wine grape varieties that would need to be altered, 2) consumers might be more accepting of wines produced from non-transgenic scions even if they are grafted on transgenic stocks; and 3) in general, it has been technically easier to transform rootstocks than scion varieties. Before this approach is successful, however, our understanding of the biology of the graft union and the types of substances that can be successfully transported from rootstocks to scions must be improved.

Water, mineral nutrients, hormones, carbohydrates, and other compounds are all known to move, via both xylem and phloem, from rootstocks across graft unions into scions of woody plants. To date, however, there is little evidence available to show whether a transgenic protein can move from the rootstock into the scion in a grafted woody plant. In recent work with grapevines, Meredith and Dandekar (2003) showed that pear polygalacturonase inhibiting protein (PGIP), with a size of 36.5 kDa, could be detected in xylem sap of non-transgenic scions grafted on transgenic stocks engineered to produce this protein. Of great relevance to this proposal, we noted that protein movement into the xylem occurred even without a specific signal targeting it to the extracellular spaces or to the xylem. Imidacloprid (a small compound with molecular weight of approximately 0.25 kDa) and other systemic insecticides applied to the soil are taken up by the roots of grapevines and move from root systems into the scion (Toscano et al. 2003). The present project will investigate aspects of plant physiology critical to determining the potential for deploying transgenic rootstocks for PD management.

It is possible that the size of a transgenic protein produced in a rootstock influences its transport to the scion. For example, large proteins might be less likely to be transported than small proteins. Understanding the relationship between size and movement will allow us to more efficiently test anti-PD compounds. If transgenic proteins are transported across the graft union, their concentration in the roots might be higher than their concentration in the scion. Since there is likely to be a threshold concentration for PD control provided by a given compound, it will be critical to understand the relationship between concentration in the rootstock and concentration in the scion.
Figure 4. Sites with PD and maximum GWSS numbers in the Coachella Valley from 2001-2004.

Figure 5. Vineyards (red) in the Coachella Valley, and sites where PD was confirmed in 2002, 2003, and 2004.
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