DNA MICROARRAY AND MUTATIONAL ANALYSIS TO IDENTIFY VIRULENCE GENES IN XYLELLA FASTIDIOSA

Project Leader: Donald A. Cooksey Dept. of Plant Pathology University of California Riverside, CA 92521

Collaborators: Korsi Dumenyo Dept. of Plant Pathology University of California Riverside, CA 92521

Rufina Hernandez-Martinez Dept. of Plant Pathology University of California Riverside, CA 92521

Reporting Period: The results reported here are from work conducted from October 2003 to September 2004.

ABSTRACT

The development of successful management and control strategies of Pierces disease of grape requires the identification of virulence and pathogenicity genes and determining how they functions to control the disease development process. Based on the presumption that biofilm formation is a major pathogenicity factor of Xylella and that it may play a major role in the disease causing process, we have been studying the factors – genetic and environmental that affect biofilm formation by Xylella fastidiosa. We have identified that, Bovine serum albumen, a component of PW medium specifically inhibits biofilm formation in X. fastidiosa and that this inhibition is BSA concentration dependent. Because of its effect on the biofilm formation in vitro, we are studying the expression profiles of X fastidiosa genes in the presence and absence of BSA in the media. We have also identified a global regulatory gene, rsmA (rsm = regulator of secondary metabolism) that control biofilm. An rsmA-deficient strain of X. fastidiosa forms more biofilm in vitro than the wild type. In a preliminary nylon membrane DNA macroarray experiment using about a 100 select candidate pathogenicity genes, we have determined an increased expression of 15 genes in the mutant when compared to the wild type parent. We are now using full genome microarrays of Xylella fastidiosa to catalogue the genes whose expressions are controlled by either rsmA or BSA. The results from these ongoing analyses using both approaches should help us catalogue X. fastidiosa genes which may be involved in pathogenicity and biofilm formation. Subsequent genetic analysis of the genes to be identified should give us some understanding of not only how pathogenicity is regulated in this bacterium but also how to tackle the problems posed by Pierces disease.

INTRODUCTION

Although the exact mechanism of Piece's disease is not completely understood, infected grape plants show symptoms resembling those of water-stress. Moreover, the xylem-limited Xylella fastidiosa bacterium produces biofilm in vitro and in planta (4, 9, 10, 12). Putting these two observations together, it has been suggested that this biofilm clogs up the vascular tissues of the plant and occlude water and nutrient transport. Because of this assumed importance of biofilm formation in the disease mechanism of Xylella fastidiosa, we have been studying signals and factors affecting biofilm formation in a bit to identify the regulators of pathogenicity in Xylella fastidiosa. rsmA is a post-transcriptional regulatory gene that controls pathogenicity and secondary metabolism in a wide group of bacteria including Gram positive and negative organisms (1, 3, 11, 15). In *Erwinia* spp. and other related plant-associated bacteria, rsmA together with its regulatory noncoding RNA pair, rsmB control many phenotypes including pathogenicity, extracellular polysaccharide and enzyme production, and elicitation of hypersensitive response, pigment formation, motility and antibiotic biosynthesis). And in E. coli and related enterobacterial human pathogens, csrA and csrB, the homologues of rsmA and rsmB regulate, among others, glycogen biosynthesis and biofilm formation (6, 8, 17, 19). Because of the role of biofilm formation on the pathogenicity of many bacterial pathogens (5, 14), and the fact that rsmA or its homologs control both pathogenicity and biofilm formation in different bacteria, we wanted to determine the possible role of rsmA on biofilm formation in Xylella. We found that Xylella fastidiosa strains vary widely in their biofilm forming abilities and this is influenced by the culture medium in which the assay is carried out.

We report that BSA is the specific inhibitor of biofilm formation in PW medium and that the amount of biofilm the bacterium forms if inversely proportional to the concentration of BSA in the medium. Further, we show that biofilm formation is regulated by *rsmA* gene as *rsmA*⁻ mutants form higher levels of biofilm than the wild type parent. We confirm this observation by showing that the heterogonous expression of *Xylella fastidiosa rsmA* in *E. coli* reduces biofilm formation in this bacterium. Put together, these suggest that *rsmA* may regulate pathogenicity in *Xylella fastidiosa* through its effects on factors such as biofilm formation in the plant.

OBJECTIVES

- 1. Use DNA microarray analysis to identify virulence and pathogenicity genes in *Xylella fastidiosa* through coordinate regulation with a known virulence factor or expression *in planta* during infection.
- 2. Clone and mutate putative virulence genes and characterize virulence defects in a bid to understand the mechanism of virulence.

RESULTS

Cloning, Characterization of rsmA and the Construction of rsmA Mutant of Xylella fastidiosa

As mentioned above, three observations let us to investigate the role of *rsmA* in pathogenicity and biofilm formation in *Xylella fastidiosa*: 1, the homologues of the gene are widely distributed in the prokaryotic world; 2, the gene controls pathogenicity and virulence in many phytobacteria and 3; in *E. coli*, the gene controls biofilm formation. To determine the role of *rsmA* in *Xylella*, we the cloned the gene and characterized it. The authenticity of the cloned gene was confirmed with DNA sequencing. *Xylella fastidiosa rsmA* is a small gene that encodes a predicted product is 72-amino acid with a putative RNA-binding protein. Heterologous expression of *X. fastidiosa rsmA* in a biofilm formation (Figure 1). After confirming that the cloned gene is indeed *rsmA*, we determined the effect of the mutation on biofilm formation in *Xylella*. The mutant and wild type were assayed for their ability of form biofilm in vitro. Observation show that, the mutant formed more biofilm that the parent (Figure 1). Since the ultimate goal is to identify virulence genes, we tested whether *rsmA* mutants are pleiotropically affected in the expression of any genes. For this, we used the nylon membrane DNA macroarrays of about 100 select pathogenicity genes based on the published genomic sequences (7, 16, 18). Hybridization of ³²P-labelled total cDNA reveal 15 genes which were more than 10-fold induced in the mutant (Table 1).



Figure 1. Left. Biofilm formation by $csrA^- Ecoli$ is suppressed by *X. fastidiosa rsmA* and (Right), *rsmA* mutant of *X. fastidiosa* form more biofilm than their wilt type $rsmA^+$ parents.

Identification of the PW Medium Component that Inhibits Biofilm Formation

Because of the increasing evidence of links between biofilm formation and pathogenicity in many biofilm forming bacteria (2, 13), we were interested in identifying any possible factors that control biofilm formation. We had long observed that *Xylella fastidiosa* make more biofilm when grown in PD3 medium than in PW medium. We explored this difference between the two media by adding different components of PW media to PD3 medium in order to identify the component responsible for the inhibition of biofilm formation. Our result show when Bovine serum albumen (BSA) was added to PD3 medium, biofilm formation was reduced; implying that BSA is the inhibitor. We then wanted to see of this inhibition depends on the concentration of BSA present in the medium. Different concentrations of BSA were again supplemented into PD3 basal medium and the bacterium was assayed again for biofilm formation. Our results (Figure 2) again show that the bacteria formed less biofilm with increasing concentration of BSA. These results clearly indicate that BSA is a specific inhibitor of biofilm formation. We are now utilizing this information in our full genome microarrays experiments to determine identify the genes which are coordinately regulated with biofilm as has been done for another strain of *Xylella fastidiosa* (4).

Inhibition of biofilm is BSA concentration dependen



Figure 2. Inhibition of biofilm formation is BSA concentration dependent

Gene name	Function	Volume Ratio (A19/A05)
brk	BrkB protein	14.4
pilE	Type IV pilin	10.8
chi	Chitinase	12.0
pcp or lpp	Peptidoglycan-associated outer membrane lipoprotein precursor	25.7
pilU	Twitching motility protein	10.6
vacB	VacB protein	14.0
algH	Transcriptional regulator	18.3
algU or algT	RNA polymerase sigma-H factor	21.8
ccmA	Heme ABC transporter ATP-binding protein	14.5
colS	Two-component system, sensor protein	10.7
tapB	Temperature acclimation protein B	69.8
fucA1	Alpha-L-fucosidase	10.9
pilT	Twitching motility protein	13.3
gcvR	Transcriptional regulator	12.9
clpP or lopP	ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit	13.2

Table 1. List of genes overexpresses at least 10-fold in RsmA19.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have identified a genetic factor and an environmental factor, both of which control the important phenomenon of biofilm formation; a process that is tightly linked to pathogenicity of *Xylella fastidiosa.rsmA*⁻ mutants of *Xylella fastidiosa* form more biofilm that the parents and the presence of BSA in the medium suppresses biofilm formation by the bacterium. We have identified 15 preliminary genes which are coordinately regulated with *rsmA* mutation and possibly, biofilm formation. We are using high density DNA microarrays to catalogue *Xylella fastidiosa* genes which are upor down-regulated with *rsmA* mutation and reduced biofilm formation due to BSA in the medium. This work will contribute significantly to fundamental information on the genetics and pathogenicity of *Xylella fastidiosa*. This information is essential for any attempt to design a management strategy for PD based on the disease mechanism. The identification of previously unknown virulence genes can also lead to recognition of new unforeseen targets for management strategies. In addition, the construction of a DNA microarray for this pathogen, and identification of genes differentially expressed during infection, will complement work by others on differential expression of grapevine genes during infection. This will open the door to "interactive genomic" studies that will enhance our understanding of the bacterial-plant interaction that leads to Pierce's disease, and in the future, studies of interactions with its insect vectors.

Work in Progress

We have developed whole genome arrays of *Xylella fastidiosa* and are presently analyzing gene expression levels between the wild type and *rsmA* mutant, growth with and without BSA and *in vivo* versus *in vitro* conditions. We hope to catalogue the genes whose expressions are associated with biofilm formation, *rsmA* mutation and infection. Those genes which will overlap with more than one approach will be especially interesting for further analysis. Genetic analysis of these genes therefore should open a window for us into what goes on during the infection process. The *rsmA* mutant together with its parent is also being assayed for pathogenicity on grapes. In addition, we have constructed several mutants in a select candidate pathogenicity genes and are in the process of analysis these for the effects of the mutations and hence the roles of these genes in the bacterium.

REFERENCES

- 1. Blumer, C., and D. Haas. 2000. FEMS Microbiol Lett 187:53-58.
- Bonafonte, M. A., C. Solano, B. Sesma, M. Alvarez, L. Montuenga, D. Garcia-Ros, and C. Gamazo. 2000. FEMS Microbiol Lett 191:31-6.
- 3. Cui, Y., L. Madi, A. Mukherjee, C. K. Dumenyo, and A. K. Chatterjee. 1996. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 9:565-73.
- 4. de Souza, A. A., et al., 2004. FEMS Microbiol Lett 237:341-53.
- 5. Deziel, E., Y. Comeau, and R. Villemur. 2001. J Bacteriol 183:1195-204.
- 6. Gudapaty, S., P. Babitzke, and T. Romeo. 2000. Abstracts of the ASM General Meeting [print] 100:366.
- 7. Hernandez-Martinez, R., C. K. Dumenyo, and D. A. Cooksey. 2002. Phytopath 92:S36.
- 8. Jackson, D. W., K. Suzuki, L. Oakford, J. W. Simecka, M. E. Hart, and T. Romeo. 2002. J Bacteriol 184:290-301.
- 9. Marques, L. L. R., H. Ceri, G. P. Manfio, D. M. Reid, and M. E. Olson. 2002. Plant Dis 86:633-638.
- 10. Marques, L. L. R., G. P. Manfio, D. M. Reid, H. Ceri, and M. E. Olson. 2001. Phytopath 91:S58.
- 11. Mukherjee, A., Y. Cui, Y. Liu, C. K. Dumenyo, and A. K. Chatterjee. 1996. Microbiol 142 (Pt 2):427-34.
- 12. Newman, K. L., R. P. Almeida, A. H. Purcell, and S. E. Lindow. 2004. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:1737-42.
- 13. Osiro, D., L. A. Colnago, A. M. Otoboni, E. G. Lemos, A. A. de Souza, H. D. Coletta Filho, and M. A. Machado. 2004. FEMS Microbiol Lett 236:313-8.
- 14. Parkins, M. D., H. Ceri, and D. G. Storey. 2001. Mol Microbiol 40:1215-26.
- 15. Romeo, T. 1998. Mole Microbiol 29:1321-1330.
- 16. Simpson, A. J. G., et al. 2000. Nature (London) 406:151-157.
- 17. Throup, J. P., K. P. Francis, T. Romeo, P. Williams, and G. S. A. B. Stewart. 1997. Abstracts of the ASM General Meeting.
- 18. Van Sluys, M. A., et al., 2003. J Bacteriol 185:1018-26.
- 19. Yang, H., Y. Liu Mu, and T. Romeo. 1996. J Bacteriol 178:1012-1017.

FUNDING AGENCIES

Funding for this project was provided by the CDFA Pierce's Disease and Glassy-winged Sharpshooter Board and the University of California Agricultural Experiment Station.