EFFECTS OF CHEMICAL MILIEU ON ATTACHMENT, AGGREGATION, BIOFILM FORMATION, AND VECTOR TRANSMISSION OF *XYLELLA FASTIDIOSA* STRAINS

Project Leader:
Alexander H. Purcell
ESPM-Division of Insect Biology
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720-3112
purcell@nature.berkeley.edu

Researcher:
Clytia Montllor Curley
ESPM-Division of Insect Biology
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720-3112
montllor@nature.berkeley.edu

Cooperators:
Steven E. Lindow
Dept. of Plant and Microbial Biology
Berkeley, CA 94720-3112
icelab@socrates.berkeley.edu

Harvey Hoch and Thomas Burr
Dept. of Plant Pathology, NYSAES
Barton Laboratory
Cornell University
Geneva, NY 14456-0462
hch1@nysaes.cornell.edu

Reporting Period: The results reported here are from work conducted from July 2004 to October 2004.

ABSTRACT
We have begun work on the effects of chemical and physical factors, including type of media, pH, media volume, and vessel on the *in vitro* survival, growth and substrate-attachment of a wild-type and mutant strain of *Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*). The volume of media in which *Xf* is incubated appears to override the importance of other variables, including any strain differences. *Xf* populations incubated in small (200uL) volumes died within 24 h in 50% of assays, but fared better as volumes increased. Preliminary results suggest that attachment to the incubation vessel is greater for wild-type compared to an *rpfF* mutant that does not produce a cell-cell signaling factor.

INTRODUCTION
Under natural conditions, *Xf* attaches to and colonizes the foregut of its leafhopper vectors and the xylem vessels of its plant hosts, creating aggregations of cells attached to their host substrates and surrounded by a polysaccharide matrix, forming a biofilm. Some progress has been made in identifying *Xf* genes responsible for particular colonizing behaviors, and the use of mutants that disable particular functions (e.g. Newman et al. 2004, Feil et al. 2003) is an invaluable aid to studies of transmission and disease. However, much remains to be learned about what environmental factors (of plant or insect origin) affect colonization; and about how such environmental factors interact with bacterial genetic factors to promote or prevent acquisition, retention and delivery of *Xf* by the vector.

The uptake of *Xf* cells by the insect and subsequent detachment of *Xf* as insects probe xylem tissue are essential for vector transmission. These simple requirements, however, belie the more complicated picture that emerges from experimental data. For example, *Xf* added to xylem sap in artificial diets were taken up but not subsequently transmitted to plants by the vector (Davis et al. 1978, Almeida and Purcell, unpublished). In addition, *Xf rpf*F mutants, which were unable to produce a cell-cell signaling factor (DSF, diffusible signal factor), were acquired by vectors; but they were not retained and were not transmitted to plants (Newman et al. 2004). Although other studies have shown that *Xf* could be transmitted within an hour of vector acquisition from plants (Severin 1949, Purcell and Finlay 1979), before anything like a biofilm could form in the foregut, the foregoing data suggest that some rudimentary level of attachment may be necessary for short-term transmission; and that retention, and by implication, colonization and biofilm formation, may be necessary for longer-term ability to transmit. However, the actual role of aggregation/attachment/colonization in the transmission of *Xf* is still largely unknown.

It is clear that both genetic and environmental factors affect colonization of *Xf in vitro*, as well as in insects and plants. Experiments with site-specific mutants of *Xf* have yielded insights into the control of aggregation/attachment/colonization phenomena, though not always in completely unambiguous ways. For example, the *Xf* DSF-deficient mutant formed biofilms and caused severe disease in mechanically inoculated plants, in spite of its inability to colonize the insect foregut (Newman et al. 2004). Cell-cell signaling, therefore, apparently plays different roles in *Xf* colonization behaviors in insects and plants. In the plant pathogen *Xanthamonas campestris,* DSF triggered dispersion of cell aggregates *in vitro*, and was suggested to promote virulence to plants (Dow et al. 2003). Mutants in two other *Xf* genes involved in formation of bacterial fimbriae that aid in attachment, *fimA* and *fimF,* showed reduced aggregation *in vitro*, but were insect transmissible, and caused disease in grapevines (Feil et al. 2003, Feil and Purcell, unpublished).

In both the plant and the vector, environmental factors that putatively affect attachment or detachment would include chemical makeup of sap from which *Xf* cells are acquired; the substrate colonized (insect foregut, xylem vessels); and movement of sap through the xylem or foregut. Media composition has a reportedly major effect on aggregation and biofilm formation of *Xf* (Leite et al. 2004). It is likely that substrate surface characteristics are also important, by analogy with

colonization and biofilm formation of other bacteria living in fluid environments (e.g., Arnold 1999, Korber et al. 1997), and attachment of *Xf* cells to inert surfaces was, in fact, dependent on surface chemistry (Hoch and Burr 2003).

Both the genetic and environmental factors that affect attachment or detachment of *Xf* are amenable to experimentation. Availability of the mutants discussed above has been and will continue to be important in allowing researchers, to expand our understanding of the role of particular colonization behaviors in transmission and virulence by using new mutants. Relevant environmental factors can be experimentally manipulated by the use of artificial diets for *Xf* acquisition by vectors; excised native and artificial substrates for *Xf* colonization; and fluidic chambers to regulate flow of medium over those substrates.

OBJECTIVES

1. Determine whether vector retention (and subsequent delivery) of *Xylella fastidiosa* is related to the chemical and physical environment from which the bacteria are grown or acquired.
2. Investigate how *X. fastidiosa* cells attach (and detach) to specific foregut regions of sharpshooter vectors. *NB: this objective is similar to one proposed from the Hoch/Burr labs with which we propose to collaborate.*

RESULTS

We have begun to address our first objective by measuring *in vitro* survival and growth of wild type *Xf* (Temecula strain) in a variety of media, at different pHs, and in different volumes of media. The media we have used to date are: xylem sap; *Xf*D2, a defined minimal medium developed in this lab (Almeida et al. 2004); and two standard media used for growing *Xf*, PW (Davis et al. 1981a) and PD3 (Davis et al. 1981b). Media pH ranged from 5.2 to 8.0, and volumes varied from 100uL to 30 mL. In all cases, media were inoculated with a 10% by volume of *Xf* suspension of approximately 10^6-10^7 cfu/mL, and samples from each were plated 6-8, 24, 48 and up to 172 h after inoculation. In one assay, media were incubated under lowered oxygen tension. We have also begun to look at a second *Xf* strain, the *rpfF* mutant KLN 61 (Newman et al. 2004).

To date, clear effects of most variables have been undetectable due to inconsistent results even in our controls. The volume of media in which *Xf* are incubated during the assays appears to override the importance of other variables, including any strain differences. For example, control *Xf* in only four out of 12 assays using media volumes of 100 to 200uL survived to 24 h; in 2 mL volumes, three of six control populations survived to 24 h; and in 30mL volumes, all (6/6) control populations survived to 24 h and beyond.

Even in assays in which *Xf* survived, most populations did not grow over 48 hours or more. In all assays so far we have used *Xf* grown from stock on solid media for 1- to 2-weeks, to inoculate the various test media. We have begun to inoculate liquid broth as well, which we will use to subsequently inoculate test media after 5 days of incubation to utilize log-phase cells already growing in liquid (Campanharo et al. 2003).

Preliminary results comparing attachment of two *Xf* strains grown in three media are shown in Table 1. Using a crystal violet assay adapted from Espinosa-Urgel (2000), we compared the relative amounts of the wild-type strain Temecula and the *rpfF* mutant KLN 61 adhering to vessels in which they had been incubated (live *Xf* were not recovered from these media after 24 h, except for strain Temecula in PW, which survived to 172 hours). These results are not yet conclusive and have not been replicated, but show an interesting trend for reduced attachment of the mutant strain, and maximum attachment of the wild-type strain in xylem compared to artificial media.

Table 1. OD_{600} of crystal violet solution eluted from rinsed wells containing *Xf* of wild type Temecula or *rpfF* mutant KLN 61grown in indicated media. n=4 for each strain in each medium. (Calculated by subtracting mean absorbance in each medium from OD of control medium without *Xf*).

	Mean OD_{600}	
Media	Temecula	KLN 61
xylem	0.031	0.010
XfD2	0.021	0.018
PW	0.015	0.008

For our second objective, our plan is to collaborate with the Hoch/Burr labs at Cornell to develop a method for assessing bacterial attachment to vector mouthparts. Together we will examine temporal aspects of cell attachment and colonization under these more realistic conditions of moving fluids through/over sharpshooter mouthparts, using dissected foregut regions placed in microfluidic (flow chamber) devices. In addition, artificial channels that mimic the relevant internal portions of vector mouthparts in flow devices (to be designed at Cornell) will be used to evaluate the effects of high velocity flow conditions on *Xf* cell attachment. We can provide bacteria-free insects and dissected mouthparts to the Cornell labs and test at Berkeley flow devices developed at Cornell. We have previously found that *Xf* colonizes specific regions of the precibarium of insect vectors after bacterial acquisition from infected grapes. This objective addresses our interest in developing an *in vitro* assay to better understand the mechanisms for such site-specific attachment and colonization.

CONCLUSIONS

Our overall objective is to understand the role of "colonization" phenomena in acquisition, retention and delivery of *Xf* by vectors. By manipulating the *in vitro* environment in which wild type *Xf* is cultured, and subsequently presented for acquisition by leafhopper vectors, we hope to understand what factors promote colonization of insect foreguts, and delivery to plants. The use of *Xf* mutants with impaired or enhanced ability to perform some part of the colonizing behavior will be important to understanding the interaction between environment and bacterial behavior affecting vector retention and delivery. Interfering with vector acquisition and inoculation (reducing or avoiding vector populations) are currently the major control methods for Pierce's disease in California. Our findings may reveal currently unanticipated ways of interfering with vector transmission and elucidate features of *Xf* biofilms applicable to this bacterium in plants.

REFERENCES

Almeida, R.P.P., R. Mann, A.H. Purcell. 2004. *Xylella fastidiosa* cultivation on a minimal solid defined medium. Current Microbiol. 2004. 48:368-372.

Arnold, J.W. 1999. Measurements of the surface topography of stainless steel related to bacterial attachment and biofilm formation. Pout. Sci. 78 (Suppl.1):82.

Campanharo, J.C., M.V.F. Lemos, E.G.M. Lemos. 2003. Growth optimization procedures for the phytopathogen *Xylella fastidiosa*. Current Microbiol. 46:99-102.

Davis, M.J., A.H. Purcell, S.V. Thompson. 1978. Pierce's disease of grapevines: isolation of the causal bacterium. Science 199:75-77.

Davis, M.J., W.J. French, N.W. Schaad. 1981a. Axenic culture of the bacteria associated with phony disease of peach and plum leaf scald. Current Microbiol. 6:309-314.

Davis, M.J., R.F. Whitcomb, A.G.J. Gillespie. 1981b. Fastidious bacteria of plant vascular tissue and invertebrates (including so called rickettsia-like bacteria). In: The prokaryotes: a handbook on habits, isolation, and identification of bacteria. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 2172-2188.

Dow, J.M., L. Crossman, K. Findlay, Y-Q. He, J-X. Feng, J-L. Tang. 2003. Biofilm dispersal in *Xanthamonas campestris* is controlled by cell-cell signaling and is required for virulence to plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 100:10995-11000.

Espinosa-Urgel, M., A. Salido, J.L. Ramos. 2000. Genetic analysis of functiuons involved in adhesion of *Pseudomoonas putida* to seeds. J. Bacteriol. 182:2363-2369.

Feil, H., W.S. Feil, J.C. Dettr, A.H. Purcell, S.E. Lindow. 2003. Site-directed disruption of the *fimA* and *fimF* fimbrial genes of *Xylella fastidiosa*. Phytopathology 93:675-682.

Hoch, H.C., T.J. Burr. 2003. Understanding *Xylella fastidiosa* colonization and communication in xylem lumina. Pierce's Disease Research Symposium, Coronado CA, pp 53-55.

Korber, D.R., A Choi, G.M. Wolfaart, S.C. Ingham, D.E. Caldwell. 1997. Substratum topography influences susceptibility of *Salmonella enteritidis* biofilms to trisodium phosphate. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63:3352-3358.

Leite, B. P.C. Andersen, M.L. Ishida. 2004. Colony aggregation and biofilm formation in xylem chemistry-based media for *Xylella fastidiosa*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 230:283-290.

Newman, K.L., R.P.P. Almeida, A.H. Purcell, S.E. Lindow. 2004. Cell-cell signaling controls *Xylella fastidiosa* interactions with both insects and plants. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.101:1737-1742.

Purcell, A.H. and A. Finlay. 1979. Evidence for Noncirculative transmission of Pierce's disease bacterium by sharpshooter leafhoppers. Phytopathology 69:393-395

Severin, H.H.P. 1949. Transmission of the virus of Pierce's disease of grapevines by leafhoppers. Higardia 19:190-206.

FUNDING AGENCIES

Funding for this project was provided by the CDFA Pierce's Disease and Glassy-winged Sharpshooter Board.