

**ROLE OF BACTERIAL ATTACHMENT IN TRANSMISSION OF *XYLELLA FASTIDIOSA*  
BY THE GLASSY-WINGED SHARPSHOOTER, AND OTHER FACTORS  
AFFECTING TRANSMISSION EFFICIENCY**

**Project Leaders:**

Alexander H. Purcell  
Dept. of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Rodrigo P.P. Almeida  
Dept. of Plant and Environmental Protection Sciences  
University of Hawaii at Manoa  
Honolulu, HI 96822

**Reporting Period:** The results reported here are from work conducted from November 2003 to October 2004.

**ABSTRACT**

Blue-green sharpshooters (BGSS) that had long acquisition access periods (4 days) feeding on grapes with Pierce's disease symptoms, followed by a week on test plants consistently had monolayers of cells of *Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*) in the precibarium, the narrow channel leading from the junction of the stylet mouthparts with the head to the entrance of the cibarium (sucking pump). BGSS given short acquisition and inoculation periods that transmitted *Xf* to test plants also had small colonies or isolated attached cells of the bacterium in the precibarium. Our findings are consistent with the hypothesis that *Xf* must be present in this small area of the sharpshooter foregut and also consistent with reports that small numbers of *Xf* cells in this area are adequate for efficient transmission. These results also suggest that the back-flow of ingested sap from sharpshooters does not have to be a large volume to enable vector transmission.

**INTRODUCTION**

*Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*) occurs on the foregut ("inner mouth") surfaces of vectors; but the importance of precisely what part or parts of the cibarium are critical for vector transmission of *Xf* is not clear (Purcell et al. 1979). The foregut is formed as an in-folding of the outer body wall. As such, the foregut is lined with cuticle that is shed when the insect molts. Because molting interrupts vector transmission and there is no delay between acquisition and inoculation of *Xf* by vectors (Purcell and Finlay 1979), the foregut is considered to be the site from which *Xf* is transmitted by vectors. The needle-like mouthparts (formed by modified mandibles and maxillae) of sharpshooters transport plant sap to the pharynx, which is formed by the "upper" (epi-) and "lower" (hypo-) parts of the anterior head. The epipharynx and hypopharynx contain narrow grooves that come together to form the precibarium, a circular canal leading to a pump chamber (cibarium or cibarial pump) within the head. A muscle-powered, flexible diaphragm pumps ingested fluid to the gut via a tubular, flexible esophagus. A muscle-powered valve in the precibarium (the precibarial valve) can prevent the backflow of fluid from the pump to the mouthparts while the pump chamber is contracting to move fluid to the gut. Considering the function and position of the precibarial valve, *Xf* cells in the pump chamber would have to detach and move through the precibarium and the food canal of the stylets to be inoculated into plants. The correlation between the occurrences of *Xf* at the entrance of the cibarial sucking pump with its transmission to plants was not consistent, as some insects that transmitted did not have visible bacteria in this location (Purcell et al. 1979). The numbers of viable *Xf* cells was not well correlated to transmission efficiency, as many transmitting sharpshooters had few or no detectable (cultivable on artificial medium) *Xf* within their heads (Hill and Purcell 1995). Later, it was demonstrated that *Xf* also occurs on the precibarium of other sharpshooters (Brlansky et al. 1983), where *Xf* occurs distally and proximally to the valve in the precibarium but did not correlate the abundance or presence of *Xf* or its location in the insect foregut with transmission to plants. We investigated the correlation between the presence of *Xf* attached to the precibarium and transmission of the bacterium to grape by an efficient sharpshooter vector.

The blue-green sharpshooter (BGSS, *Graphocephala atropunctata* [Signoret]) is the most important vector of *X. fastidiosa* in Coastal California (Redak et al. 2004) and is an efficient vector when compared to other sharpshooters (Almeida and Purcell 2003, Purcell and Finlay 1979, Severin 1949). It is so far the most studied vector of *X. fastidiosa* in relation to transmission biology. For these reasons, we used *G. atropunctata* to study the spatial distribution of *X. fastidiosa* on the precibarium of infective sharpshooter vectors and its transmission to plants after short and long incubation periods using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). We previously reported that *Xf* had colonized the precibaria of all BGSS after by 10 or more days after acquiring *Xf* from plants. Because BGSS can efficiently transmit *Xf* even after a short period following acquisition (Hill and Purcell 1995), we used SEM to inspect the precibaria with of transmitting BGSS for *Xf* after short (1 day) acquisition and inoculation feeding periods.

**OBJECTIVES**

1. Determine the association of *X. fastidiosa* transmission and its location in the vector's precibarium and cibarium.
2. Determine the effects of within-plant location on vector transmission efficiency.

RESULTS

**Objective 1.** We conducted transmission experiments, labeled ‘A’ through ‘C’, as shown in Table 1. In ‘A’ we used long acquisition access periods (AAP) and inoculation access periods (IAP) to increase *Xf* transmission efficiency. We also used a long incubation period to allow bacterial colonization of the precibarium of vectors. ‘B’ was similar to ‘A’ when the incubation period is considered, but we reduced the AAP to 8 hours to determine if that had an effect on *Xf* distribution patterns. We also used 1 day AAP followed by a 1 day IAP without an incubation period (experiment ‘C’). The objective was to determine regions of initial bacterial attachment in the precibarium before thorough colonization of the canal occurred. Table 1 summarizes these experiments, including results for insects with adequate head dissections but excluding other individuals from the experiment. After plant access periods, heads were prepared for microscopy and the test grape plants kept for later diagnosis. We tested grapes for *Xf* presence by visual symptoms and the culture method (Hill and Purcell 1995). Standard SEM protocols were used for preparation of samples. All individuals not adequately dissected for SEM analysis were eliminated from the experiment.

We obtained very good correlation between presence of *Xf* cells in the precibarium of *G. atropunctata* and its transmission to grape. Only one insect identified as negative, in experiment ‘B’, transmitted to plants. All other infected plants were associated with insects in which *Xf* was observed. When short incubation and acquisition access periods were used some positive insects did not transmit *Xf* to plants, most likely due to the short IAP used. This is consistent with the many observations that not every infective sharpshooter will transmit at every opportunity. The distribution of *Xf* in the precibarium of vectors in experiments ‘A’ and ‘B’ was the same as described in a previous report (2003 PD/GWSS Research Symposium). The length of the AAP did not affect colonization, and 2 weeks seems to be enough time for cells to colonize available surfaces of the precibarium.


Experiment ‘C’, with short AAP and IAP, provided information on the sites of initial bacterial attachment after acquisition. In all cases *Xf* had not fully colonized the precibarium. Most of the heads were colonized by few clusters of cells. These colonies were assumed to be located at sites of initial attachment on the precibarium by *Xf*. Figure 1 depicts representative photomicrographs of small colonies of *Xf* attached to the precibarium; Figure 2 diagrams examples of *Xf* site observed on the precibaria of 12 insects. All insects that transmitted to plants had micro-colonies on the precibarium. In those cases, cells were found both nearby the valve as well as proximally to it, immediately before the cibarium. In one case cells were only observed below (distally to) the valve entering the valve’s pit.

**Objective 2.** Objective two was completed last year.


**Table 1.** Summary of transmission experiments and their respective acquisition, incubation and inoculation periods.

Exp	Insect transfer sequence			No. insects <sup>1</sup>	Positive heads	PD plants
	AAP	Incubation	IAP			
A	4 days	7 days	4 days	10	7	7
B	8 hours	13 days	1 days	9	3	4
C	1 days	0 days	1 days	22	12	7

<sup>1</sup> Includes only the number of insect heads that were adequately dissected for SEM analysis.



**Figure 1.** Clusters of *Xf* cells on the hypo- (left) and epi-(right) pharynx of two blue-green sharpshooters after 1 day acquisition feeding and 1 day inoculation feeding (different individuals). On both pharynges the colonies are limited to the proximal section of the precibarium. The clusters formed one micro-colony in the hypopharyngeal precibarium (right); there are two clusters of cells on the epipharynx. Note matrix covering some of the cells on the left picture.



**Figure 2.** Diagrammatic illustration exemplifying areas with *X. fastidiosa* attached after 1 day AAP and 1 day IAP in the precibarium of 12 *Graphocephala atropunctata*. Epipharynx (Epi) and hypopharynx (Hypo) are represented, the stylets would be below and the cibarium above each figure. Precibarial valve shown as a triangle; filled circles indicate regions colonized by the bacterium. Figures not drawn to scale, sections of cuticle not available for visualization were not included in diagrams.

## CONCLUSIONS

Our findings are consistent with the hypothesis that *Xf* must be present in the precibarium, the narrow channel leading from the junction of the mouthparts (needle-like stylets) with the head to the entrance of the cibarium (sucking pump), for successful inoculation to occur. It is also consistent with reports that small numbers of *Xf* cells are adequate for efficient transmission. This suggests that the back-flow of ingested sap from sharpshooters does not have to be a large volume to enable vector transmission.

## REFERENCES

- Almeida, R.P.P., and Purcell, A.H. 2003. Transmission of *Xylella fastidiosa* to grapevines by *Homalodisca coagulata* (Hemiptera, Cicadellidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 96: 264-271.
- Brlansky, R. H., Timmer, L. W., French, W. J., and McCoy, R. E. 1983. Colonization of the sharpshooter vectors, *Oncometopia nigricans* and *Homalodisca coagulata*, by xylem-limited bacteria. *Phytopathology* 73:530-535.
- Hill, B. L., and Purcell, A. H. 1995. Acquisition and retention of *Xylella fastidiosa* by an efficient vector, *Graphocephala atropunctata*. *Phytopathology* 85:209-212.
- Purcell, A. H. and Almeida, R. P. P. 2003. Pages 168-170 in 2003 Pierce's disease Research Symposium. CDFA.
- Purcell, A. H., Finlay, A. H., and McLean, D. L. 1979. Pierce's disease bacterium: Mechanism of transmission by leafhopper vectors. *Science* 206:839-841.
- Purcell, A. H., and Finlay, A. H. 1979. Evidence for noncirculative transmission of Pierce's disease bacterium by sharpshooter leafhoppers. *Phytopathology* 69:393-395.

- Redak, R. A., Purcell, A. H., Lopes, J. R. S., Blua, M. J., Mizell, R. F., and Andersen, P. C. 2004. The biology of xylem fluid-feeding insect vectors of *Xylella fastidiosa* and their relation to disease epidemiology. *Annual Review of Entomology* 49:243-270.
- Severin, H. H. P. 1949. Transmission of the virus of Pierce's disease of grapevines by leafhoppers. *Hilgardia* 19:190-206.

#### **FUNDING AGENCIES**

Funding for this project was provided by the CDFA Pierce's Disease and Glassy-winged Sharpshooter Board.