DEVELOPMENT OF AN ARTIFICIAL DIET AND EVALUATION OF ARTIFICIAL OVIPOSITIONAL SUBSTRATES FOR THE *IN VITRO* REARING OF *GONATOCERUS* SPP. PARASITOIDS OF THE EGGS OF THE GLASSY-WINGED SHARPSHOOTER

Project Leader:
Thomas A. Coudron
USDA, ARS, BCIRL
Columbia, MO 65203

Researcher:
Cynthia L. Goodman
USDA, ARS, BCIRL
Columbia, MO 65203

Collaborators:
Walker A. Jones
USDA, ARS, KDLG Subtropical Agric. Res. Center
Beneficial Insects Research Unit
Weslaco, TX 78596

Roger Leopold
USDA, ARS, Red River Valley Agric. Res. Center
Insect Genetics and Biochemistry Research Unit
Fargo, ND 58105

Reporting Period*:* Funding for the study was initiated in October, 2004 and the project is in the start-up phase at the time of this reporting.

ABSTRACT
The intent of this project is to develop an *in vitro* rearing system for one or more of the three mymarid species of *Gonatocerus* currently being reared and released in California to control GWSS. A complete *in vitro* rearing system will include both a growth-enhancing artificial diet for larval and pupal development as well as a suitable oviposition substrate, or "artificial egg". Initial studies will formulate artificial diets based on those developed previously for hymenopteran parasitoids, with an emphasis being placed on diets for other egg parasitoids. To accomplish this, *Gonatocerus spp.* eggs and/or larvae will be dissected from host eggs and placed in cell culture plates containing selected diets. Comparisons will be made between the development of parasitoids on these artificial diets, and those developing on the natural host. Developmental parameters measured will include extent of development, developmental time per stage, and weight. Once a promising diet is formulated, the reproductive rate and reproductive fitness of adults reared from these diets will be compared by using ovarian scoring and by assessing differences in fecundity and egg viability from crosses of diet-reared and host-reared adult wasps (Wittmeyer et al., 2001; Wittmeyer and Coudron, 2001). Refinement of the diet will be performed by modifying the diet based on its ability to meet the nutritional, phagostimulatory, and endocrine requirements of the parasitoid, and may include the additional of undefined components such as insect or cell-culture derived components. The suitability of artificial eggs, composed of different combinations of membranes and cupule sizes, will be evaluated statistically using pairwise comparisons of the proportion of "artificial eggs" and natural host eggs successfully parasitized by the same number of female *Gonatocerus* parasitoids (SAS, 2002).

INTRODUCTION
Surveys of potential biological control agents in Texas (where GWSS is endemic and under natural control) and California revealed that *Gonatocerus* spp. parasitoids are the predominant natural enemy of GWSS in the field, parasitizing between 75-90% of GWSS egg masses (Phillips, 2000; Jones, 2002; Hoddle 2003a). In California, over 90% of the eggs laid by the second generation of GWSS in late summer and early fall are parasitized by *Gonatocerus* spp., however, only 10 – 50% of the eggs laid by the first generation in the early spring are parasitized (Phillips et al., 2004; Hoddle 2003b). This suggests that survival of overwintering adult parasitoids is low, or that the current cohort of species of *Gonatocerus* are not effective in parasitizing GWSS eggs early in the season (Hoddle, 2003b; Jones, pers. comm.). However, augmentation of *Gonatocerus* spp. populations in early spring may be able to significantly reduce the population of GWSS that vector the disease later in the season and could be used to reduce pesticide use thereby aiding in the development of a classical biological control program. The current list of species being considered for biocontrol of GWSS in CA include the solitary egg parasitoids *Gonatocerus ashmeadi* (which accounts for 80-95% observed GWSS egg parasitization in California) and *G. triguttatus* (the primary GWSS egg parasitoid in Texas), as well as the gregarious egg parasitoid *G. fasciatus* (which may have a greater host finding efficiency than the other two) (Hoddle 2003a).

The implementation of current classical and augmentative biological control programs against GWSS has been complicated by a number of factors. Currently, no artificial diet exists for GWSS, and high costs are associated with rearing the sharpshooters in sufficient numbers to provide the necessary quantity of host eggs (Lauziere et al., 2002; Jones, pers. comm.). Long-term stockpiling of host eggs is not feasible at this time because host acceptance declines after refrigeration for 20 days at 13^{o}C, and parasitized eggs only remain viable for 7 days at 2^{o}C (Leopold, 2003). Consequently, augmentation of *Gonatocerus* spp. in many areas of California relies on the labor-intensive process of rearing the parasitoid on host eggs collected from the field (Jones, pers. comm.). Thus, the development of an artificial diet and ovipositional substrate as part of an *in vitro* mass rearing system for *Gonatocerus* spp. has a number of potential advantages over current rearing techniques. Additionally, *in vitro* rearing would also be more easily automated, reducing labor costs (Li-Ying, 1992; Qin, Beijing Univ., pers. comm.) and would provide an easier means for studying the reproductive and nutritional physiology of *Gonatocerus* spp.

Efforts to develop an artificial diet capable of supporting larval and pupal development will initially focus on testing established diets formulated for the *in vitro* rearing of other egg parasitoids, e.g., those used for rearing lepidopteran egg parasitoids including several *Trichogramma* spp. (Hoffman et al., 1975; Li-Ying 1992; Consoli and Parra, 1997; Xie et al., 1997; Grenier et al., 1998; Qin, Beijing Univ. pers. comm.;), *Telenomus heliothidis* (Strand et al., 1988), and *Ooencyrtus* spp. (Masutti et al., 1994; Lee and Lee, 1994); a coleopteran egg parasitoid, *Edovum puttleri* (Hu et al., 1999; Hu et al., 2001) , and a pentatomid egg parasitoid, *Trissolcus basalis* (Volkoff et al., 1992*)*. For studies on the development of an artificial ovipositional substrate, membranes that will be derived from a variety of sources will be tested, such as: oxygen-permeable films used for mass rearing *Trichogramma* spp. (Qin, Beijing University, pers. comm.), parafilm (Wittmeyer et al., 2001; Cooperband and Vinson, 2001), and polycarbonate, polyvinylchloride, polyethylene, and/or polypropylene membranes (Masutti et al., 1994; Morrison et al., 1983; Consoli and Parra 1999).

OBJECTIVES

1. Formulate an artificial diet capable of supporting the development and reproduction of *Gonatocerus* spp. parasitoids of the eggs of glassy-winged sharpshooter, *Homalodisca coagulata.*
2. Screen, modify, and evaluate existing materials for their suitability as ovipositional substrates for these egg parasitoids.
3. Develop and optimize an *in vitro* rearing unit, consisting of an artificial diet and ovipositional substrate, that can be utilized for *Gonatocerus* spp. oviposition, parasitoid development, and release.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

This project has just been funded. Preparation of quarantine facilities is complete and the identification of insect cultures to be used in our studies is underway. The process to hire an additional researcher has been initiated. Preliminary experiments have been conducted in collaboration with Leopold at ARS in Fargo that indicate cold-storage processes should offer suitable method(s) to preserve the natural host of the parasitoid for these studies.

REFERENCES

Consoli FL, Parra JRP, 1997. Biological Control 8: 172-176.
Cooperband MF, Vinson SB, 2001. Biol. Control 17(1): 23-28.
Grenier S, Han SC, Chapelle L, Liu WH, Guillaud J, 1998. Biocontrol Science & Technology. 8(4): 589-596.
Hoffman JD, Ignoffo CM, Dickerson WA, 1975. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 68: 335-336.
Hoddle M, 2003a. In: Proc. Pierce's Dis. Res. Symp. San Diego, CA. CDFA.
Hoddle M , 2003b. In: Proc. Pierce's Dis. Res. Symp. San Diego, CA. CDFA.
Hu JS, Gelman DB, Bell RA, 2001. BioControl 46: 43-60.
Hu JS, Gelman DB, Bell RA, Lynn DE, 1999. Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol. 40: 173-182.
Jones WA, 2002. In: Proc. Pierce's Dis. Res. Symp. San Diego, CA. CDFA.
Lauziere I, Ciomperlik M, Wendell L, 2002. In: Proc. Pierce's Dis. Res. Symp. San Diego, CA. CDFA.
Lee HP, and Lee KS, 1994. Korean J. of Entomol. 24: 311-316.
Leopold RA, 2003. In: Proc. Pierce's Dis. Res. Symp. San Diego, CA. CDFA.
Li-Ying L, 1992. Korean J. Applied Entomol. 31: 241-246.
Masutti L, Battisti A, Milani N, Zanata M, Zanazzo G, 1994. Entomophaga 38: 327-333.
Morrison RK, Nettles WC, Jr., Ball D, Vinson SB. 1983. Southwestern Entomol. 8: 248-251.
Phillips PA, Wilen CA, Varela LG, 2004. URL: www.axp.ipm.ucdavis.edu/PMG/PESTNOTES/pn7492.html
Phillips PA, 2000. KAC Plant Protect Quarterly 10: 6-7.
Strand MR, Vinson SB, Nettles WC, Jr., Xie ZN, 1988. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 46: 71-78.
Volkoff N, Vinson SB, Wu ZX, Nettles WC Jr., 1992. Entomophaga 37: 141-148.
Wittmeyer JL, Coudron TA, Adams TS, 2001. Invertebrate Reproduction & Development 39: 9-20.
Wittmeyer JL, Coudron TA, 2001. J. Econ. Entomol. 94: 1344-1352.
Xie ZN, Wu ZX, Nettles WC Jr., Saldana G, Nordlund DA, 1997. Biological Control 8: 107-110.

FUNDING AGENCIES

Funding for this project was provided by the CDFA Pierce's Disease and Glassy-winged Sharpshooter Board.