The taxonomy of the "Ufens" complex was revised when it was discovered that what had been called G. morrilli was actually 2 species that were difficult to tell apart. These are the newly named G. morgani and G. walkerjonesi. At that time, G. morrilli was recollected from Texas, a colony was started in California, and releases have been made starting 6/6/06 at four of our release sites.

Another new listing in our recovery data is the newly named G. morgani. This species is likely native to California or was unintentionally introduced into California. It was overlooked in the past, perhaps because it was not common on smoke-tree sharpshooter and was thus missed prior to GWSS’s establishment and proliferation in California.

Anagrus epos was collected in Minnesota by Dr. Roman Rakitov (Center for Biodiversity, Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, Illinois) near Glyndon, Clay Co., Minnesota, from egg masses of Cuerna fenestrella Hamilton (a native, univoltine proconine sharpshooter) on Solidago sp. (goldenrod, Compositae) and Zigadenus sp. (death camus, Liliaceae) and sent to Dr. Serguei Triapitsyn at the UC Riverside quarantine facility under an appropriate permit (Hoddle & Triapitsyn 2004, Triapitsyn & Rakitov 2005). A permit for release from quarantine was obtained in 2005 by Dr. David Morgan and this strain is presently being reared by CDFA and has already been released at a few field sites in California.
OBJECTIVES (As Modified)
Monitor GWSS egg parasitoids in six areas in southern California (three coastal, three interior sites) on citrus within CDFA’s parasitoid release sites. Focus on evaluation of two new species, i.e. *A. epos* and *G. morrilli*.

The type of monitoring data we collect at each site is listed below. We are using CDFA’s basic monitoring protocol with modifications. Note that we have three replicated sampling plots at our Mission Viejo and San Juan Capistrano sites, two at UC Riverside Field 7H, one only at Irvine (because the site is too small for two), and six at Temecula. For 2006, we are releasing and sampling in one sampling plot per site in order to maximize chances of detecting establishment of newly released parasitoids.

1. Sticky traps to monitor for adult GWSS levels: Use 10 yellow sticky traps in each plot to assess adult GWSS activity levels every two weeks.
2. Leaf sampling: Count and collect the number of fresh GWSS egg masses on 10 leaves collected from the end of branches on each of 10 trees in each plot every two weeks. In contrast to method three, this is intended to return a less-biased estimate of GWSS egg mass levels. Old egg masses are counted, but not collected. The egg mass sampling is mainly intended to estimate recent GWSS egg mass levels and to serve as a means of collecting egg masses for parasitoid rearing.
3. Time search for GWSS egg masses: Do six two-minute time searches near the center of each plot every two weeks, looking for, counting, and collecting viable (new) GWSS egg masses. Continue sampling an additional 30 minutes until a minimum of five egg masses are found from methods two and three combined.
4. Parasitoid emergence data: Using egg masses collected in methods two and three (aim for 5-10 egg masses per date if possible), return egg masses to the lab and rear out and identify parasitoid species that are present.

RESULTS
Based on discussions with our CDFA cooperators, we have made several changes in project objectives, experimental design, and methodologies because of low levels of GWSS at several initial monitoring sites, changes in the species / strains of parasitoids CDFA has reared and released, the number of parasitoids they have been able to produce over this past year (this has been a very difficult year as far as rearing GWSS egg masses which are the cornerstone of the rearing program), and what makes practical sense within an applied management program (Shea et al. 2002) given advances in our knowledge regarding *Gonatocerus* species and the new strain of *A. epos* from Minnesota (see below).

To briefly summarize our research activity to date, we have monitored parasitoid activity at a total of 13 sites in southern California. Three sites were dropped because GWSS and parasitoid activity were too low (Mecca 1 and 2, BC = UC Riverside Biological Control grove), two were dropped when the grove was sold and the grower turned off the water for over a month resulting in about one-half of the trees dying (Temecula 2 and 3), two were dropped because the organic grower did not control weeds and let the Argentine ant population get completely out of control resulting in a crash in GWSS egg mass levels (Temecula 1 and 4), and one was dropped when we decided to switch to lemon blocks at all sites (Crafton Hills was navel orange). At present, we have six sampling / parasitoid release sites, three in the coastal area (Irvine, Mission Viejo, San Juan Capistrano) and three in the interior area (Corona, UC Riverside Field 7H, and Temecula).

2005 Parasitoid data
In 2005, we made a total of 98 collections from 13 different field sites. Out of a total of 2,647 parasitoids recovered, 61.9% (1,639) were *G. walkeryonesi*, 29.5% (782) *G. ashmeadi*, 4.5% (120) *Ufens* spp. (either *U. principalis* or *U. ceratus*), 2.5% (66) *G. novifasciatus*, 1.2% G. sp. (32) (identity could not be determined due to specimen condition), and 0.3% (8) were *G. triguttatus*. This latter species was collected only once at a single site.

2006 Parasitoid data
A total of 3,610 *G. morrilli* have been released in 2006 at four of our release sites (UC Riverside Agricultural Operations, Irvine, Mission Viejo, and San Juan Capistrano). Due to limitations in how many of these parasitoids can be reared for release, we have not yet released this species at the Corona or Temecula sites.

*A. epos* has proven difficult for CDFA to rear and we have also experienced problems rearing this species in one of the two colonies at UC Riverside (it is doing very well on the second floor insectary room but not at all well on the third floor quarantine room). Additional work is needed to determine why this species is difficult to rear in some cases but not others. To date, we have made only a single release of *A. epos* at each of two release sites (180 wasps on 5/17/06 at Agricultural Operations, 300 wasps on 5/25/06 at Irvine).

We are only part way through our 2006 survey at the six parasitoid release sites (parasitoids are still being reared out from egg masses collected in early September) but so far we have recovered 595 parasitoids in total. Within the three interior sites, our best site by far is Agricultural Operations and both the Corona and Temecula sites are yielding few GWSS egg masses with minimal parasitoid diversity (we have recovered only *G. ashmeadi* at these two sites). A key finding is a single
Among the three coastal sites, all three sites started out quite strong (good GWSS egg mass and parasitoid recovery) but Argentine ants have taken over at the Irvine site despite our instituting a very aggressive ant baiting program. This site is an organic lemon grove and thus we were restricted to using boric acid bait stations as the only organically approved treatment. A bait station was placed under each of 31 sample trees and despite baiting continuously for 16 weeks so far, we have not caused a significant reduction in Argentine ant levels (compared to the level of ants in 16 untreated control trees at the end of the block). Argentine ants feed on GWSS egg masses and disturb nymphs and adults. GWSS egg mass levels have dropped at this site and because non-organic treatments cannot be used (e.g., a very effective chlorpyrifos ground spray), we may have to abandon this site.

The coastal parasitoid data is an interesting contrast to data from the interior region. G. ashmeadi (only 5.7% of 350 parasitoids recovered so far) is present on the coast but the most common species are G. walkerjonesi (67.4%) and G. morgani (25.1%). We have also seen low numbers of G. novifasciatus (6.0%) and Ufens spp. (1.7%) on the coast.

CONCLUSIONS
Despite 2005 releases of G. fasciatus and G. triguttatus, limited numbers of these species have been recovered. The latter species is still produced and released as some success in recoveries has occurred in past years. The CDFA facility in Riverside has ceased producing and releasing G. fasciatus as no recoveries have been recorded in the past two years. We find it interesting that we are recovering so many G. walkerjonesi in the coastal region whereas a common impression held by many is that G. ashmeadi predominates in California. We believe this is because many biological control researchers have worked in the Riverside and other interior areas but relatively few have studied GWSS egg parasitoids in coastal regions. It is not surprising that species levels vary with geographic region and climate. We are very encouraged by recovering G. morgilli from field samples only 35 days after it was first released and believe it is much too early to be discouraged by our not yet recovering Anagrus epos. It will be important to continue these studies an additional year once greater numbers of A. epos have been released in the field. In particular, we are hoping A. epos may show up early in the year on first generation eggs of GWSS when Gonatocerus egg mass parasitism is generally quite low.

As a consequence of these and similar studies undertaken by the CDFA, a greater investment is being made toward the production, release, and monitoring of G. morgilli. This species is currently the second most produced biological control agent by the CDFA in both of its production facilities and it is being released over a range of environments including urban, organic, coastal, and inland locations.
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ABSTRACT
The purpose of the work planned in this project is to determine whether the “Minnesota strain” of the mymarid, Anagrus epos Girault, we have in culture on the glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) is the same species as A. epos strains previously released in California, how it compares with other “A. epos” strains, and whether there are other strains of “A. epos” that should be imported for biological control of GWSS. Without understanding what species we have and how the Minnesota strain is related to similar strains, it is difficult to know how to proceed in selecting strains of this species to culture for mass-rearing and release in California for GWSS control. Concurrently, we will evaluate field releases and establishment of the Minnesota A. epos strain at release sites in southern California.

INTRODUCTION
Anagrus epos is a common and seemingly widespread egg parasitoid of leafhoppers (Cicadellidae) in North America. It was first described from a collection in Illinois in 1911 (Girault 1911). Location records for this species also include Colorado, Kentucky, New Mexico, and New York in the U. S. as well as Baja California and Sonora in Mexico (Triapitsyn 1998). While commonly collected as a parasitoid of grape leafhopper species (Erythroneura spp.), a recent collection of A. epos from the egg mass of the sharpshooter genus Cuerna in Minnesota was the first time this species had been collected from a sharpshooter species (Hoddle & Triapitsyn 2004). Wasps from this collection have been reared continuously since June 2004 in the University of California Riverside (UCR) Quarantine facility on eggs of the glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS). This species is particularly promising for application in the biological control of GWSS because it is a gregarious species and fourteen or more wasps emerge from each egg. Another apparent advantage of this species is that it will also parasitize the eggs of several other leafhopper species (R. Krugner, unpublished data), thus allowing it to expand its numbers even at times of the year when GWSS eggs are not present. We also expect this strain may do quite well in the colder regions of central and northern California based on where it was collected.

Like many minute parasitoids, identification to species in this group is exceedingly difficult because of the lack of adult morphological features. Species identifications have been made using light microscopy to determine the presence of key morphological features for A. epos. A recent taxonomic revision of the genus Anagrus associated with vineyards in North America (Triapitsyn 1998) has shown that: 1) more species are present than previously thought; 2) some species have a very wide geographic distribution; and 3) relatively few morphological characters are available for distinguishing these species, leaving several authors to think that A. epos is not a single species but a complex of different species (e.g., Pickett et al. 1987). The morphological characters that are used for differentiating closely related Anagrus spp. can be variable and thus, species limits are often difficult to assess without supporting data from their biology and from DNA sequences. Due to limitations on what is practical (economically) to rear and mass-release and also because of restrictions on importing and releasing exotic parasitoids in California without understanding their taxonomy, we feel we must better understand this species complex. We intend to use three approaches to determine the species identity of different A. epos populations: (1) reassess key morphological features using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to determine if subtle morphological differences exist between A. epos populations which could indicate species differences (Dr. Triapitsyn will conduct this work); (2) conduct mating compatibility studies to determine if different populations of A. epos are reproductively isolated, or if mating occurs, whether offspring from different strains are viable, thereby defining species groups on the basis of successful interbreeding (Ph.D. student John Lytle working with Dr. Morse); (3) determine if molecular differences exist between A. epos populations collected from different regions by comparing mitochondrial and ribosomal DNA sequences.