IDENTIFICATION AND WHOLE EXTRACTION OF *HOMOLADISCA COAGULATA-VIRUS01 (HoCV-01* FROM TEXAS GLASSY-WINGED SHARPSHOOTER POPULATIONS

Principal Investigator:	Co-Principal Investigator:	Researchers:	
Blake Bextine	Wayne Hunter	Patrick Marshall	Daymon Hail
Department of Biology	USDA-ARS	Department of Biology	Department of Biology
University of Texas – Tyler	Fort Pierce, FL 34945	University of Texas-Tyler	University of Texas-Tyler
Tyler, TX 75799	wayne.hunter@ars.usda.gov	Tyler, TX 75799	Tyler, TX 75799
bbextine@uttyler.edu		mmarshall@uttyler.edu	daymon.hail@gmail.com

Reporting Period: The results reported here are from work conducted November 2008 through September 2009.

ABSTRACT

The glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) is an invasive pest and important vector of *Xylella fastidiosa (Xf)*, a xylem-limiting bacteria that causes Pierce's disease (PD) in grapes as well as other agricultural diseases. The primary method of managing the spread of *Xf* is controlling its insect vector populations. Methods such as chemical control are not target specific and lead to problems such as residue contamination, injury to non-target organisms, and insecticide resistance. Identifying agents that can impact GWSS populations is the goal of a biological control strategy. In this study, we have identified and extracted whole GWSS *Virus 01 (HoCV-01)* from populations of GWSS collected in Texas. *HoCV-01* is a novel virus that harbors pathogenic potential with regard to GWSS. Future plans for *HoCV-01* include reintroduction into GWSS that are more susceptible to control methods such as insecticides. This would decrease the amount of insecticide needed to produce a desired mortality rate in insect populations.

LAYPERSON SUMMARY

The glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) is the most economically important insect with respect to the spread of *Xylella fastidiosa (Xf)*, the causal agent of Pierce's disease (PD). Therefore control of this insect is of paramount importance to the management of the disease. While insecticides have been used successfully to reduce the economic impact of this disease system, alternate methods of population insect control are needed to continue management in the future. Biological control offers alternatives to chemical control that can be effective in negatively impacting insect population without harmful environmental effects or concern for insecticide resistance. In this work, we molecularly describe a virus that shows promise as a tool for biological control. While this virus does not cause significant acute mortality, it may reduce the fitness of insects to a point where other control methods would be more effective. We suggest that viral infection will make insects more sensitive to insecticide treatment, resulting in lower LD50 rates for achievement of significant control. This means that lower levels of insecticide can be used effectively.

INTRODUCTION

The glassy-winged sharpshooter (GWSS) is the major vector of *Xylella fastidiosa (Xf)* Wells in the southern USA (Adlerz 1980; Blua et al., 1999). The plant pathogenic bacterium, *Xf*, has caused economic losses to several agricultural industries in North America and is associated with many plant diseases such as Pierce's disease (PD), and oleander leaf scorch. PD of grapevine has become a well recognized *Xylella*-related disease; the vector profile is well known and the epidemiology of the disease is well documented (Hopkins et al., 2002). The introduction of GWSS into new areas is directly related to increased occurrence of PD in vineyards (Perring et al., 2001). Therefore, the management of PD depends heavily on the ability to control its vectors, especially GWSS.

Methods of vector manipulation such as chemical control with the use of insecticides are not target specific and lead to problems such as residue contamination, injury to non-target organisms, and insecticide resistance. The search for more benevolent pest management strategies has led to the use of biocontrol agents such as fungi and parasitoids. However, by utilizing viruses that currently reside in GWSS populations, a viral bio-control that is even more precise may be developed (Hunnicutt et al., 2006).

HoCV-01 is a member of the genus *Cripavirus* and family *Dicistroviridae* (Hunnicutt et al., 2006). It is a novel virus that harbors pathogenic potential with regard to GWSS. The focus of this study was the identification and extraction of whole *HoCV-01* found in populations of GWSS collected in Texas. Once identification was complete, the genome was sequenced and checked for variation which may produce an increase in virulence. Following sequencing, whole *HoCV-01* was extracted in order to reintroduce it into GWSS populations.

OBJECTIVES

- 1. Identify HoCV-01 in populations of GWSS collected in Texas.
- 2. Sequence viral capsid protein and check for variation between strain found in Texas and strain found in California.
- 3. Extract and purify whole HoCV-01 with intent to reintroduce into uninfected populations of GWSS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

RNA Extraction. GWSS bodies were collected in microcentrifuge tubes and homogenized. GWSS RNA was separated from the solution and purified using a Qiagen RNeasy kit (Qiagen[™], Germantown, MD).

RT-PCR & Gel Electrophoresis. Each 1µL GWSS RNA sample was combined with 10µL 2X Reaction Mix (Invitrogen Molecular Probes[™], Eugene, OR), 0.4µL forward primer, 0.4µL reverse primer, 0.4µL Platinum® Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen Molecular Probes[™], Eugene, OR), and 8µL DEPC H₂O in solution. Samples were then subjected to a Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) using an iCycler Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). 2µL of each GWSS DNA sample was subjected to gel electrophoresis using 2µL ladder, 2µL loading dye per sample, and a 1% agarose gel containing 100mL TAE buffer and 1g agarose gel. Gels were subjected to 100V and 400A for 50 min. and checked under Ultraviolet light in a Bio Doc-It Imaging System (Cole-Parmer[™], Hanwell, London).

Sequencing PCR & Ethanol Precipitation. Sequencing was done on sight in the Bextine Molecular Biology Laboratory at The University of Texas at Tyler. An amount of 2μ L of each GWSS DNA sample was combined with 2μ L Nano-pure H₂O, 2μ L primer (only the forward or the reverse primer were used in this step), 4μ L GenomeLabTM DTCS Quick Start Mix (GenomeLabTM, Fullerton, CA), and taken through a Sequencing Polymerase Chain Reaction, or Sequencing PCR in an iCycler Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Each sample was then combined with 2μ L 3M NaOAc, 2μ L 100nM EDTA, 1μ L 20mg/mL Glycogen, vortexed thoroughly, and subjected to an ethanol precipitation. During the ethanol precipitation, sample DNA was purified for sequencing with separate washes of ice cold 95% and 70% ethanol. The resulting pellet of purified DNA was mixed with 40μ L Sample Loading Solution, vortexed, and transferred to a sequencing plate.

DNA Sequencing. DNA samples were sequenced using a CEQ 8000 Genetic Analysis System (Beckman Coulter Inc., Fullerton, CA). Prior to loading the samples into the sequencer, each sample was combined with one drop of Mineral Oil. Data was analyzed using Bioedit® Sequence Alignment Editor (Ibis Biosciences, Carlsbad, CA).

Whole Virus Extraction. Infected GWSS bodies were placed in a mortar and pestle and homogenized in 100mL of phosphate buffer containing 0.02mg DETCA. The homogenate was then transferred to 50mL centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 1600rpm for 20 minutes in an Eppendorf 5804R Centrifuge (Eppendorf , Hamburg, Germany). The resulting supernatant was split into two ultra-centrifuge tubes, combined with more phosphate buffer with DETCA, vortexed, and ultra-centrifuged at 22,000rpm for 16 hours in a Sorvall[®] RC-5B Refrigerated Superspeed Centrifuge (DuPont Instruments, Wilmington, DE). Following ultra-centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was dissolved with 5mL phosphate buffer with 0.4% Na-deoxycholic acid and 4% Brij 52. The resulting solution was centrifuged and 1600rpm for 15 minutes, passed through a 0.45µm filter, and collected into large Eppindorf tubes. The unrefined *HoCV-01* solution was placed in a dialysis membrane, placed in a large beaker containing a stir-bar and ddH₂0, and placed in a refrigerator at 4°C. The ddH₂0 was changed out ever five-six hours until a white precipitate could be seen in the dialysis membrane. The purified *HoCV-01* solution was collected into micro-centrifuge tubes and stored at -80°C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

HoCV-01 was detected in GWSS populations collected in Texas. Sequence comparison of the Texas strain of *HoCV-01* against the sequenced California strain (Hunnicutt et al., 2006) shows some variation. The percent similarity between the strains is **98.8%**.

Base pair 828, Cytosine in the California strain, is a Thymine in the consensus strain (**Figure 1**). This changes the amino acid translation from Serine (polar side chain) into Leucine (non-polar side chain). Also, due to a Guanine insertion in the consensus strain at base pair 904 (possibly a deletion in the California strain), variation downstream in the amino acid chain was observed (**Figure 1**).

The presence of variation between the Texas *HoCV-01* sequence and the California *HoCV-01* sequence is a possible indication that the Texas strain may exhibit increased virulence. The Guanine insertion at base pair 904 caused variation in all downstream amino acid translation. This could lead to changes in protein folding and ultimately changes in protein function. Altered protein functions may cause an increase in virulence in the Texas *HoCV-01* strain.

AGA	10 II Faccagac <mark>t</mark> cgo	20 	30	40 GACACCATGG	50	60	70	80
1000	y <mark>acc</mark> agac <mark>t</mark> cgc			MetG gacacca <mark>t</mark> gg	luThrHisSe	rHis Glu Pro aca <mark>t</mark> gagccc	IleAsnThrA: a <mark>t</mark> aaacacca	snIleAs aca <mark>t</mark> aga
ACR	L10							
Thr acg	PheGluGluLys Leguggagaag PheGlu¢luLys	sArgGluIle j <mark>ege</mark> gaaa <mark>t</mark> e	ThrHisPheT acccac <mark>tt</mark> ca	hrGluAspAs <mark>cagagga<mark>t</mark>ga</mark>	pArgValLeu <mark>cogog<mark>t</mark>acto</mark>	Thr AspAla V a <mark>ccgacgc</mark> ag	alThr GluI gacagaaa	eThrSer tacttca
			230					
GlnT agt	yrGlyAspGluI a <mark>t</mark> ggagacgag yrGlyAspGluI	ProArgGluH Ccacgagaac	isSerVallle a <mark>tagtgtaat</mark>	eSerPheLeu a <mark>tco</mark> ttt <mark>o</mark> tt	GlnArgProG <mark>caaagacc</mark> gg	luLysIleAl agaaaa <mark>tege</mark>	ThrValThr acag <mark>t</mark> gacg	TrpThrT <mark>tggact</mark> a
	310							
Ası taa	CTTGGTATCCT nLeuValSerLe cttggtatcctl nLeuValSerLe	euProllePro g <mark>ccaattcc</mark>	oSerSerVall a <mark>tcgtctgtg</mark>	LeuThrThrM Lea <mark>scgact</mark> a	etTyrArgG1 <mark>tgtac</mark> agaga	uLysLeuArg gaage <mark>t</mark> gaga	GlyPheGlyL gg <mark>cttt</mark> gg <mark>cc</mark>	euLeuAr <mark>tgette</mark> g
CTG	410	420	430	440	450	460	470	480
Leu(ctg	SinPheAsnSer caa <mark>ttcaactc</mark> a SinPheAsnSer	GlnProPhe acagece <mark>tt</mark> e	Gln <mark>AlaGlyA</mark> <mark>caagc<mark>t</mark>ggga</mark>	rgLeuIleAl g <mark>attgattge</mark>	aThrTyrIle) g <mark>aca</mark> tacatt	ProValProA ccag <mark>ttcct</mark> g	laTyrLeuLe <mark>cctatc</mark> tttt	uGln Arg a <mark>c</mark> aaaga
	510							
		ThrSerLeuP						
	cacaagac <mark>t</mark> ga euThrArgLeuT	acc <mark>tcgttac</mark>	c <mark>taacgtga</mark> t	tattgacatt	ag <mark>t</mark> aagcaga	<mark>ctgaatgt</mark> aa	tatcacatta	ccc <mark>t</mark> acg
SerLe	Cacaagactge euThrArgLeu7 610	for the second s	ctaacgtgat roAsnValli 630	tattgacatt eIleAspIle 640	ag <mark>t</mark> aagcaga Ser Lys GlnTi 650	<mark>ctgaatgt</mark> aa hrGluCysAsi 660	tatcacatta nIleThrLeu 670	ecctacg ProTyrV 680
TGA Asj tga	cacaagac <mark>t</mark> ga euThr ÅrgLe u7 610	620 620 620 620 620 620 620 620 620 620	ctaacgtgat roAsnValli 630 TTGGGGCTTG pTrpGlyLeu ttgggggcttg	640 640 FICACCTTT CCACCTTT PheAspLeuT	ag <mark>t</mark> aagcaga SerLysGlnT 650 CGCTCTATAG rpValTyrSe ggg <mark>tctat</mark> ag	660 660 CCCCCCCAGT FProLeuSer	670 670 AGTGCCTCTT SerAlaSerS agtgcgtctt	CCCCACG ProTyrV 680
TGA TGA Asj Lga Asj	610 GIO GIO GIO GIO GIO GIO GIO GIO	CCCCAGCACA CCCCCAGCACA CCCCACA CCCCAGCACA CCCCAGCACA CCCCACA CCCCACA CCCCACACA CCCCACACA CCCCACACA CCCCACACA CCCCACACA CCCCACACA CCCCACACACA CCCCACACACA CCCCACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	CLAACYLGAL 630 FGGGGCCCCC pTrpGlyLeu UggggGCLG pTrpGlyLeu	Aligacali eIleAspIle 640 TCCACCIII PheAspLeuT Cogacoli PheAspLeuT 740	ag <mark>laageaga</mark> Ser Lys GinTi 650 GGCTCPATAC rpValTyrSe ggglclalag rpValTyrSe 750	r gal glaa 660 reccerent ProLeuSer ccccleagt rProLeuSer ProLeuSer 760	Alcacalla nIleThrLeu 670 Actecerc SerAlaSerS aglgoglott SerAlaSerS 770	ecclacg ProTyrV 680 II. CTCACAC erGInTh cloagac erGInTh 780 II
SerLe TCA Asj Lga Asj	cacaagacuga auThr ArgLeu 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	CCCCACCACA FhrSerLeuP: CCCCACCACA VGIyGIyAs JCCCCACCACA VGIyGIyAs JCCCCACCACA VGIYGIYAS 720 CCCACCCTCC VGIACCCTCC	CLAACY GAL 630 630 FCFCCCCCCC pTrpGlyLeui Connector pTrpGlyLeui Connector Connec	Aligacali eIleAspIle 640 IleAspLeuT PheAspLeuT Cogacotte PheAspLeuT 740 IleAspLeuT 740 IleAspLeuT	aglaagcaga SerLysGinTi 650 CCCCCTATAC rpValTyrSe ggglclalag rpValTyrSe 750 CTTACTACA	r galya 660 cccctcACT ProLeuSer cccctcagt rProLeuSer 760 760 cccgaAAAGA	AC COCOLON AC CCC CCC AC CCC CCC Ser A LaSerS agl gogl ott Ser A LaSerS 770 1 CCCAMAAGC age age age	ecclace ProTyrV 680 cTCACAC erGInTr ctCaCaCa erGInTr 780 11 780 11
SerLe TCA Asj Ege Asj CCC Ala Scc Ala	cacaagac uga auThr ArgLeu 610 1114ACAAGTGU 1224 1224 1224 1224 1224 1224 1224 122	CCLCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	CLAACY GAL 630 630 FCECCOLC pTrpGlyLeu CGGGGCCG pTrpGlyLeu CGGGGCCG CGGGCCG CGGGGCCG CGGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGGCC CGGGCC CGGGCC CGGGCC CGGGCC CGGGCC CGGGCC CGGGCC CGGGCC CGGGCC CGGGCC CGGGCC CGG CGGGCC CGG CGGGCC CGG CGGCC CGG CGGCC CGG CGGCC CGGCC CGG CGGCC CGGCC CGGCC CGGCC CGGCC CGGCC CGGCC CGGCC CGGCC CGC CGGCC CGC CGCC CGCCCC CGCCCCCCCC	Allgacall eIleAspIle 640 II TCEACCTTT PheAspLeuT Cogacette PheAspLeuT 740 II. CGCACACATC ogGaacaalc hrGinGinSe	aglaagcaga SerLysGinTi 650 GGGTCTATAG rpValTyrSe ggglclalag rpValTyrSe 750 750 CTAGTCACA rLeuValThr rLeuValThr	660 A COLONIC CONTRACT CCCCCCCCCC CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	ACCOLLANCE ACCOLL	coctacy ProTyrV 680 crCAGAC erGinTh CtCagac erGinTh 780 1
SerLe TGAT Ast Egge Ast GCC Ala GCC Ala GCC Ala Sec Ala Sec GCC Ala Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec Sec	cacaagacuga auThr ArgLeu 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	CCCCACCACA FhrSerLeuP: CCCCACCACA YGIYGIYAS JCCCCACCACA YGIYGIYAS JCCCCACCACA YGIYGIYAS TCCCCCCCCCACACACA CCTACCCCTCC ValArgLeu S20 CCTCCCCCCCACACACACACACACACACACACACACACA	Claacy gat roAsnValle 630 FrpGlyLeu Clangegol g pTrpGlyLeu Clangegol g pTrpGlyLeu Clangegol g Claacy class Claacy claacy class Claacy c	Aligacali eIleAspIle 640 FiceAcciii PheAspLeuT Cogacette PheAspLeuT 740 FiceAccaic hrGinGinSe 0gcaccaic hrGinGinSe 840 CGCCCAAGGA GaleGinGiy cgcccaagga	agtaagcaga SerLysGinTi 650 GGCTCTATAC rpValTyrSe gggtctatag rpValTyrSe 750 GTTAGTCACA CLEUValThr gttagtcaca rLeuValThr 850 GGAAAGCAGA GJyLysG n ggaaagcaa	Glandy an hrGluCysAs 660 ICCCCTCACH PPOLEUSER CCCCCCACH FPOLEUSER CCCCCCCCCCC I ICCCCCCCCCCCC 860 ICCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	ACCONTINUES AND ACTION	coclacy ProTyrV 680 cTCACAC erGinTh cCcagac erGinTh 780 ii. 780 ii. 780 ii. 780 ii. 780 ii. 880 ii. 880 ii.
CCCC CCCC Allo Allo CCCCC Allo CCCCC Allo CCCCCC Allo CCCCCC Allo CCCCCC Allo	cacaagacig auThr ArgLeu 610 TTAACAACTG DeuThrSerG DeuThrSerG DeuThrSerG 710 TACCTCCACAA TyrLeuAspAss accicgacaa TyrLeuAspAss accicgacaa TyrLeuAspAss 810 TACATCTACT LyThrSerSerG Jacaiciagu	CCCCACCACA FhrSerLeuP: CCCCACCACACA UCCCCACCACACA UCCCCACCACACA UCCCCCCCC	CLARCY GAL roAsnValle 630 roEccord pTrpGlyLeu Cugugotta pTrpGlyLeu Cugugotta ClyAlaPto	Aligacali eIleAspIle 640 II TCEACCTTT PheAspLeuT Cogacette PheAspLeuT 740 II. CGCCACAATC NrGINGINSe 840 II. CGCCCAGGA gAlaGINGIy cgcccaaGga gAlaGInGly 940	aglaagcaga SerLysGinTi 650 GGCCTATAC rpValTyrSe ggglclalag rpValTyrSe 750 CTACTACA TyGCCACA rLeuValThr gllaglcaca rLeuValThr GGAAACC/GI GIYLysGinTi gGaaagcaa GIYLysGinTi	Gland gland hrGluCysAsi 660 cccctCAGT rProLeuSer: cccctCagt rProLeuSer: 760 CCCCCCAGT cocctCagt cocctCagt cocctCagt cagto cagto cagto broleuSer: 760 CCCCCCAGT CAGCCCGCCCCC hrAlaGluLysM 860 CAGCCGGCCCCC hrAlaGlySet agocggot chrAlaGlySet 960	ACTORECTOR ACTORECTOR SETALASETS ACTORECTOR SETALASETS ACTORECTOR SETALASETS ACTORECTOR TOCTANATOR	ecclacy ProTyrV 680 erGinTh ctoagac erGinTh 780

Figure 1. Sequence comparison with protein translation of the California *HoCV-01* strain and the Texas HoCV-1 strain. Note the circled areas which indicate variation between the two strains.

CONCLUSIONS

The presence of *HoCV-01* in populations of GWSS collected in Texas is crucial in developing an ideal viral bio-control and pest management strategy. The possible increase in virulence in the Texas strain is also an indication of the pathogenic potential of *HoCV-01*. This novel virus has been extracted and purified. In a following study, purified *HoCV-01* will be reintroduced to a population of uninfected GWSS in order to determine increases in population weakness or mortality that may result. The results of this experiment are crucial in further understanding the insect vector, GWSS. The management of PD depends heavily upon the ability to control its insect vectors.

REFERENCES CITED

Adlerz, W. C. 1980. Ecological observations on two leafhoppers that transmit the Pierce's disease bacterium. Proc. Fla. State Hortic. Soc. 93:115-120.

- Blua, M. J., Phillips, P. A., and Redak, R. A. 1999. A new sharpshooter threatens both crops and ornamentals. Calif. Agric. 53 (2):22-25.
- Hopkins, D. L., and Purcell, A. H. 2002. *Xylella fastidiosa*: Cause of Pierce's Disease of Grapevines and Other Emergent Diseases. Amer. Phytopath. Soc. 86 (10):1056-1064.
- Hunnicutt, L. E., Hunter, W. B., Cave, R. D., Powell, C. A., and Mozoruk, J. J. 2006. Genome sequence and molecular characterization of *Homalodisca coagulata virus-1*, a novel virus discovered in the glassy-winged sharpshooter (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae). Virology. 350 (1): 67-78.
- Perring, T. M., C. A. Farrar, and M. J. Blua. 2001. Glassy-winged sharpshooter host impacts Pierce's disease in Temecula Valley vineyards. Calif. Agric. 55: 13-18.

FUNDING AGENCIES

Funding for this project was provided by the Texas Pierce's Disease Research and Education Program, and the USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.