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ABSTRACT

Xylella fastidiosa (Xf) produces an unsaturated fatty acid signal molecule called diffusible signal factor (DSF) that modulates gene expression in cells as they reach high numbers in plants. By increasing the expression of a variety of afimbrial adhesins while decreasing the expression of pili involved in twitching motility as well as extracellular enzymes involved in degrading pit membranes and hence movement between vessels, DSF accumulation suppresses virulence of Xf in grape. We thus are exploring different ways to elevate DSF levels in plants to achieve disease control via “pathogen confusion.” Plants expressing rpfF from Xf produce low levels of DSF and are highly resistant to Pierce’s disease (PD). Chloroplast targeting of RpfF substantially increased DSF production. Xf moved much less rapidly in rpfF-transformed grape, colonized many fewer xylem vessels, and achieved a much lower population size indicating that elevated DSF levels suppressed movement within the plant. As exogenous sources of DSF applied in various ways to grape suppressed pathogen mobility and hence virulence we have further studied the chemical identity of DSF. Preliminary evidence suggests that DSF is comprised of three closely related fatty acid molecules. One component is 2-Z-tetradecenoic acid (hereafter called C14-cis) while a second compound termed C12-cis is apparently also produced. The chemical identity of a third component is as yet undetermined. We are currently determining the relative activity of these forms of DSF and if such molecules cooperate in regulating gene expression in Xf. Preliminary evidence suggests that C12-cis may preferentially participate in processes related to insect colonization compared to plant virulence, hinting that the various forms of DSF may preferentially affect different behaviors of Xf. Some reduction in disease severity was observed in grape scions grafted to DSF-producing rootstocks suggesting that DSF produced by rootstocks can somewhat move to scions and confer disease control. The control of disease was substantially less than that seen in transformed scions however. Naturally-occurring endophytic bacteria within grape are being assessed for DSF production; only about 1% of the endophytic bacteria in grape produce DSF and these are being tested for their ability to move within plants after inoculation. As studies of pathogen confusion will be greatly facilitated by having an improved bioassay for the DSF produced by Xf, we have been developing several immunological and biochemical means to assay for the presence of DSF using Xf itself as a bioindicator. Bioassays based on immunological detection of the cell surface adhesion XadA and EPS as well as by quantifying mRNA associated with these genes in Xf have been developed. Gene expression in Xf exposed to various levels of DSF can also be directly assessed using phoA reporter gene fusions. RpfF- mutants of Xf that do not produce DSF adhere much less tenaciously to grape vessels than do WT strains and we thus are developing assays to more rapidly screen transgenic plants for their resistance to PD as well the efficacy of chemical analogs of DSF to induce resistance.

LAYPERSON SUMMARY

Xylella fastidiosa (Xf) produces an unsaturated fatty acid signal molecule called diffusible signal factor (DSF). Accumulation of DSF in Xf cells, which presumably normally occurs as cells become numerous within xylem vessels, causes a change in many genes in Xf, but the overall effect is to suppress the virulence of Xf in plants. We have investigated DSF-mediated cell-cell signaling in Xf with the aim of developing cell-cell signaling disruption (pathogen confusion) as a means of controlling Pierce’s disease (PD). We have investigated both the role of DSF-production by Xf on its behavior within plants, the manner in which other bacterial strains affect such cell signaling, the extent to which other endophytes could modulate density-dependent behaviors and virulence in Xf by interfering with cell-cell signaling, performed genetic transformation of grape to express DSF, and explored other means to alter DSF abundance in plants to achieve PD control. Elevating DSF levels in plants should reduce movement of Xf in the plant. We have found naturally-occurring bacterial endophyte strains that can produce large amounts of DSF; we are testing them for their ability to move within plants and to alter the abundance of DSF sufficiently to reduce the virulence of Xf. Given that DSF overabundance appears to mediate an attenuation of virulence in Xf enzymes involved in degrading pit membranes and hence movement between vessels, DSF accumulation suppresses virulence of Xf in grape. We thus are exploring different ways to elevate DSF levels in plants to achieve disease control via “pathogen confusion.” Plants expressing rpfF from Xf produce low levels of DSF and are highly resistant to Pierce’s disease (PD). Chloroplast targeting of RpfF substantially increased DSF production. Xf moved much less rapidly in rpfF-transformed grape, colonized many fewer xylem vessels, and achieved a much lower population size indicating that elevated DSF levels suppressed movement within the plant. As exogenous sources of DSF applied in various ways to grape suppressed pathogen mobility and hence virulence we have further studied the chemical identity of DSF. Preliminary evidence suggests that DSF is comprised of three closely related fatty acid molecules. One component is 2-Z-tetradecenoic acid (hereafter called C14-cis) while a second compound termed C12-cis is apparently also produced. The chemical identity of a third component is as yet undetermined. We are currently determining the relative activity of these forms of DSF and if such molecules cooperate in regulating gene expression in Xf. Preliminary evidence suggests that C12-cis may preferentially participate in processes related to insect colonization compared to plant virulence, hinting that the various forms of DSF may preferentially affect different behaviors of Xf. Some reduction in disease severity was observed in grape scions grafted to DSF-producing rootstocks suggesting that DSF produced by rootstocks can somewhat move to scions and confer disease control. The control of disease was substantially less than that seen in transformed scions however. Naturally-occurring endophytic bacteria within grape are being assessed for DSF production; only about 1% of the endophytic bacteria in grape produce DSF and these are being tested for their ability to move within plants after inoculation. As studies of pathogen confusion will be greatly facilitated by having an improved bioassay for the DSF produced by Xf, we have been developing several immunological and biochemical means to assay for the presence of DSF using Xf itself as a bioindicator. Bioassays based on immunological detection of the cell surface adhesion XadA and EPS as well as by quantifying mRNA associated with these genes in Xf have been developed. Gene expression in Xf exposed to various levels of DSF can also be directly assessed using phoA reporter gene fusions. RpfF- mutants of Xf that do not produce DSF adhere much less tenaciously to grape vessels than do WT strains and we thus are developing assays to more rapidly screen transgenic plants for their resistance to PD as well the efficacy of chemical analogs of DSF to induce resistance.
we have transformed grape with the rpfF gene of Xf to enable DSF production in plants; such grape plants produce at least some DSF and are much less susceptible to disease. Higher levels of expression of DSF have been obtained in plants by targeting the biosynthetic enzymes to the chloroplast. Some reduction in disease severity was observed in grape scions grafted to DSF-producing rootstocks suggesting that DSF produced by rootstocks can somewhat move to scions and confer disease control. The chemical composition of DSF itself is being determined so that synthetic forms of this signal molecule can be made and applied to plants in various ways. We have found that the adherence of Xf to grape tissue is much more tenacious in the presence of DSF, and we thus are developing assays to more rapidly screen transgenic plants for their resistance to PD as well the efficacy of chemical analogs of DSF to induce resistance.

INTRODUCTION

We have found that the virulence of Xylella fastidiosa (Xf) is strongly regulated in a cell density-dependent fashion by accumulation of a signal molecule called diffusible signal factor (DSF) encoded by rpfF and involving signal transduction that requires other rpf genes. We now have shown that Xf makes a DSF molecule that is recognized by Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris (Xcc) but slightly different than the DSF of Xcc (Figure 1).
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Our on-going work suggests that it also makes other, closely related signal molecules as well. In striking contrast to that of Xcc, rpfF- mutants of Xf blocked in production of DSF, exhibit dramatically increased virulence to plants, however, they are unable to be spread from plant to plant by their insect vectors since they do not form a biofilm within the insect. These observations of increased virulence of DFS-deficient mutants of Xf are consistent with the role of this density-dependent signaling system as suppressing virulence of Xf at high cell densities. Our observations of colonization of grapevines by gfp-tagged Xf are consistent with such a model. We found that Xf normally colonizes grapevine xylem extensively (many vessels colonized but with only a few cells in each vessel), and only a minority of vessels are blocked by Xf. Importantly, rpfF-mutants of Xf plug many more vessels than the wild-type strain. We thus believe that Xf has evolved as an endophyte that colonizes the xylem; blockage of xylem would reduce its ability to multiply since xylem sap flow would cease and thus the DSF-mediated virulence system in Xf constrains virulence. That is, Xf would benefit from extensive movement throughout the plant where it would partially colonize xylem vessels but would have evolved not to grow too excessively within a vessel, thereby plugging it and hence blocking the flow of necessary nutrients in the xylem sap. Given that the DSF signal molecule greatly influences the behavior of Xf we are investigating various ways by which this pathogen can be “confused” by altering the local concentration of the signal molecule in plants to disrupt disease and/or transmission. We thus are further exploring how DSF-mediated signaling occurs in the bacterium as well as ways to alter DSF levels in the plant. Our work has shown that the targets of Rpf regulation are genes encoding extracellular polysaccharides, cellulases, proteases and pectinases necessary for colonizing the xylem and spreading from vessel to vessel as well as adhesins that modulate movement. Our earlier work revealed that several other bacterial species can both positively and negatively interact with the DSF-mediated cell-cell signaling in Xf. In this period we have extensively investigated both the role of DFS-production by Xf on its behavior within plants, the patterns of gene regulation mediated by DSF, the frequency with which other endophytes can produce signal molecules perceived by Xf, have further characterized the behavior of Xf in grape genetically transformed to produce DSF, and explored other means to alter DSF abundance in plants to achieve Pierce’s disease (PD) control. We have particularly emphasized the development of various methods by which DSF abundance in plants can be assessed so that we can make more rapid progress in testing various ways to modulate DSF levels in plants, and have also developed more rapid means by which the behavior of Xf in plants can be assessed at does not require the multi-month PD assay. Lastly, we have developed better methods to assess DSF-mediated changes in phenotypes in Xf.

COMBINED OBJECTIVES

1. Evaluate plants with enhanced production of DSF for disease control
2. Determine if DSF is transferable within plants – eg. whether DSF production in rootstocks can confer resistance to PD in the scion
3. Evaluate enhanced DSF-producing endophytic bacteria for control of PD
4. Investigate DSF-overproducing strains of Xf as biocontrol agents for PD and whether Xf strains previously identified with biocontrol potential exhibit an elevated production of DSF
5. Determine if resistance to PD is associated with low rates of degradation of DSF by plants
6. Determine those plant factors that confer induction of virulence genes in Xf and whether susceptibility to PD is due to differences in induction of virulence factors in the pathogen by the plant
7. Identification and characterization of low molecular weight signaling molecule (DSF) central to behavior of X. fastidiosa
8. Design and synthesize low molecular weight compounds capable of interfering with signal molecule function in Xf
9. Using novel, improved biosensors for the DSF produced by Xf, identify naturally-occurring endophytic bacteria which produce Xf DSF, and evaluate them for biological control of PD after inoculation into plants in various ways.
10. Evaluate plants with enhanced production of DSF conferred by co-expressing RpfB, an ancillary protein to DSF biosynthesis, along with the DSF synthase RpfF for disease control as both scions and as rootstocks.
11. Optimize the ability of DSF-producing in rootstocks to confer resistance to PD in the scion.
12. Determine the movement and stability of synthetic DSF and chemical analogs of DSF applied to plants in various ways to improve disease control.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Production of DSF in transgenic plants for disease control.
We have expressed the rpfF gene in several different plant species to investigate whether DSF excess can lead to reduced disease caused by Xf. Grape (Freedom) has been transformed at the Ralph M. Parsons Foundation Plant Transformation Facility at the University of California at Davis with a non-targetted rpfF construct. These plants produced only very low levels of DSF but are MUCH less susceptible to PD (Figure 2). While Xf spread throughout non-transformed plants causing disease on petioles located great distances from the point of inoculation, disease was observed only very close to the point of inoculation in rpfF-expressing plants. We measured the movement of Xf in these plants by measuring both the population size of Xf in stems and petioles at different distances from the point of inoculation, as well as to observe the fraction of vessels to which a gfp-marked strain of Xf had moved using fluorescence microscopy (Figure 3). Xf was greatly limited in its movement in plants producing DSF as evidenced by both a lower population size at sites distal to the point of inoculation and a lower incidence of vessel colonization at all points; both would contribute to low disease severity.
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**Figure 2.** Disease severity (# symptomatic leaves/plant) on Freedom grape transformed with the rpfF gene encoding DSF production and inoculated with Xf.
We have recently transformed grape (Thompson seedless) with an *rpfF* gene that has been modified to direct the protein product to the chloroplast where fatty acid synthesis (and DSF synthesis) should be much enhanced compared to its production in the cytosol, the presumed location of RpfF in the current transformed plants. Our preliminary results with an improved DSF bioindicator (described below) revealed that DSF could be detected in several of the transgenic targeted RpfF lines (*Figure 4*) – this is in contrast to the non-targeted plants where levels of DSF are apparently too low to detect with this biosensor.

We also have inoculated the chloroplast-targeted rpfF plants with a gfp-marked strain of *Xf* to assess differences in its movement within the DSF-producing and normal Thompson seedless grape using fluorescence microscopy. Ten Transgenic Chloroplast-targeted rpfF Thompson seedless plants, (which was a mix of several transformed lines) and ten non-transformed Thompson seedless were stem inoculate with a gfp-marked wild type *Xf*. At eight weeks after inoculation one cm stem segments were sampled at 10, 60, 120, cm distal from the point of inoculation, and *Xf* populations were determined by culturing and CFU/gr populations were estimated via dilution plating. While the population size of *Xf* in the rpfF-transformed lines were similar to that in untransformed lines near the point of inoculation, population sizes were about 10-
Population size of \(X_f\) in wild type Thompson seedless grape (squares) or transgenic rpfF-expressing grape (circles) at different distances from the point of inoculation.

Microscopy was also carrying out at the same sampling sites to assess the frequency with which xylem vessels were colonized by \(X_f\). We recorded as positive any vessel harboring \(X_f\) irrespective of whether they harbored few cells or many cells. An average of five stem cross sections were examined for each sampling distance from the point of inoculation on each plant (Figure 6). The proportion of vessels of the rpfF-transformed grape that were colonized by \(X_f\) was only about 50% that in non-transgenic lines, suggesting that the movement of the pathogen through the plant was inhibited by expression of rpfF and thus production of DSF in the plant. It was also noteworthy that the incidence of vessel colonization varied greatly between transgenic lines evaluated, with some lines having a similar incidence of colonization as the wild-type line while others having very little colonization.
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**Figure 5.** Population size of \(X_f\) in wild type Thompson seedless grape (squares) or transgenic rpfF-expressing grape (circles) at different distances from the point of inoculation.
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**Figure 6:** Average of \(X_f\)-infected vessels per grape stem cross section in transgenic rpfF-transformed Thompson grape (red circle) and in non-transformed Thompson seedless in (green squares).
Further support for the possibility that more than one fatty acid signal molecule is made by RpfF was obtained by the use of a Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) method to assess the fatty acids produced by Xf. In this method, acidified ethyl acetate extracts of culture supernatants of a wild-type Xf strain and an RpfF- mutant and a RpfB mutant were subjected to TLC and fatty acids visualized by iodine vapors. Interestingly, three different fatty acids were visualized in the wild type strain, while these were largely missing in an RpfF- mutant, with only very small amounts of two other putative fatty acids present (Figure 7). It also was of interest to see that the RpfB mutant produced an altered pattern of putative fatty acids, with the major chemical species produced by the WT strain missing, and much larger amounts of one of the other species produced. The top-most spot observed in extracts of a WT strain of Xf co-migrates with C14-cis, a chemical form of DSF that we have previously characterized. Interestingly, the middle band found in the WT strain, which migrated similarly to the topmost, and most abundant band seen in the rpfB mutant, co-migrates with C12-cis. It is noteworthy, that a re-examination of the DSF species produced by *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. campestris using different methods have revealed that this species also produces C12-cis. The most prominent, lower, band seen in the WT strain is not observed in the rpfB mutant. This supports the model that RpfB, a putative long-chain fatty acyl CoA ligase, serves to produce suitable substrates for RpfF, the DFS synthase. Although RpfB is not required for DSF synthesis in Xf, it presumably aids in DSF synthesis by encoding long chain fatty acyl CoA ligase which might increase availability of the appropriate substrates for DSF synthesis by RpfF. It is interesting to note that rpfB mutants have an altered behavior compared to rpfF mutants and WT strains of Xf. While rpfF mutants are hypervirulent in grape, rpfB mutants were nearly as virulent as WT strain. In contrast, while rpfF mutants are non-transmittable by sharpshooters, the rpfB mutants exhibit only a slight decrease in their transmission (Figure 8) suggesting that they are retained by sharpshooters more efficiently than the rpfF mutant. Given that the rpfB mutant appears to make C12-cis but not C14-cis, this suggests that C12-cis is sufficient to enable signaling that leads to insect transmission but does not greatly affect virulence. We expect that co-expression of RpfB and RpfF in the chloroplast will further enhance the DSF levels in plants. We have produced transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants with such a construct and find evidence of high levels of DSF production. Pathogenicity assays with the rpfF mutant of Xcc indicated that the transgenic plants expressing both rpfB and rpfF transgenic plants can better complement the virulence of the non pathogenic rpfF mutant of Xcc. Based on this and other data, we are expressing both RpfF and RpfB simultaneously in transgenic for optimum production of suitable DSF molecules. We thus are preparing genetic constructs to transform grape with these two genes to further enhance DSF production.

*Figure 7.* Fatty acids resolved by TLC from a RpfF mutant of Xf (left lane) a RpfB mutant (center lane) and a wild type strain of Xf (right lane). Fatty acids were visualized after exposure to iodine vapor.
**Figure 8.** Retention of wild type and \(rpfB\) mutant strains of \(Xf\) by leafhopper vectors as indicated by their transmission rates on successive plant transfers. Individual leafhoppers were transferred to new grapevines every 4 days after acquiring the bacteria on source vines infected with \(rpfB\) mutant or the wild type. Circles represent the wild type transmission rates, squares the \(rpfB\) mutant; solid symbols include rates only for insects that transmitted to at least one plant in the serial transfer, empty symbols represent total proportion of plants infected.

Studies of movement of \(Xf\) in plants

Our studies have suggested strongly that adhesion of \(Xf\) to plant tissues inhibits movement of the pathogen through the plant, and hence tends to reduce the virulence of the pathogen. \(RpfF\)-mutants of \(Xf\) that do not produce DSF adhere to glass surfaces and to each other much less effectively than WT strain that produce DSF. This is consistent with the apparent \(rpfF\)-regulation of adhesins such as HxfA, HxfB and XadA etc. To better correlate levels of DSF in the plant and the stickiness of the \(Xf\) cells we have developed a practical assay to measure and compare stickiness of \(Xf\) cells in grapes infected with \(Xf\) \(gfp\)-\(Wt\) and \(Xf\) mutants. In this assay, the release of cells of \(Xf\) from stems and petioles tissue from grape infected with \(Xf\) wild type Tem and \(gfp-rpfF\) mutant were compared. Tissues from infected Thompson seedless grapes were surface sterilized with 70% alcohol, and 30% bleach. From the sterile tissues, 5mm stem or petiole segments were cut and placed individually in sterile buffer and shaken gently for 20 minute. After 20 minutes the number of cells released from the cut end of the segment were estimated by dilution plating on PWG. To determine the total number of cells in a given sample (the number of cells that potentially could have been released by washing) the washed segment was macerated and \(Xf\) populations again evaluated by dilution plating. Total cell populations were calculated by summing the cells removed by washing and those retained in the segment. The ratio of easily released cells to the total cells recovered in the samples was termed the release efficiency. In both stems and petioles the release efficiency of the \(rpfF\) mutant was much higher than that of the WT strain (Figure 9). This very striking difference in the adhesiveness of the \(Xf\) cells experiencing different levels of DSF in the plant suggest that this release efficiency assay will be valuable for rapidly assessing the susceptibility of grapes treated in various ways. For example, the adhesion of cells could be measured within a couple of weeks after inoculation of WT \(Xf\) cells into transgenic plants harboring various constructs designed to confer DSF production in plants, or in plants treated with DSF producing bacteria or topical application of chemicals with DSF-like activity. Such an assay would be far more quick than assays in which disease symptoms must be scored after several months of incubation, and could be employed during those times of the year such as the fall and winter when disease symptoms are difficult to produce in the greenhouse.
While the movement of \( Xf \) has been recognized as an important trait necessary for disease, the process is still poorly understood. Other studies we have performed in our lab are strongly supportive of a model of progressive and sequential colonization of a large number of xylem vessels by \( Xf \) after inoculation of a single vessel. Furthermore, we believe that the process of movement of \( Xf \) through plants is a stochastic one which is characterized by growth in a given xylem vessel into which it is introduced followed by “active escape” of at most a few cells into adjacent uncolonized vessels, and then further multiplication of the cells which starts the process anew. We thus are exploiting the use of mixtures of phenotypically identical strains of \( Xf \) differing by only one or two genes to better understand the process of progressive movement of \( Xf \) through plants. We hypothesize that anatomical features of plants (nature of pit membranes and other barriers to vessel to vessel movement in the stem) limit the number of \( Xf \) cells that can transit from one vessel to another and are major factors conferring resistance in plants. It would be expected that the stochastic (random) processes that would tend to segregate cells of one strain from another in the process of progressive movement would increase the degree of segregation with distance from the point of inoculation (with increasing numbers of vessels the cells had to traverse to get from one part of the stem to another given that each vessel in grape is an average of only about 10 cm long). That is, \( Xf \) must move from one xylem vessel to another dozens or hundreds of times to be able to move longitudinally down a vine as well as laterally across the vine to achieve the extensive colonization of the stem that are typical of diseased vines. If, at each step in this movement process only a few cells are transferred then, by chance, xylem cells distal to the inoculation point will receive by chance only one of the two genotypes of the pathogen. Thus, for a given plant inoculated with a mixture of cells, the proportion of one strain compared to the other would either increase or decrease along a predictable trajectory given the stringency of the “bottleneck” that it faced while moving from one vessel to another. Our efforts to test this model of movement of \( Xf \) through grape tissue has been hindered by the fact that isogenic strains of this pathogen that differ even slightly in virulence (ability to move within the plant) result in one strain predominating at distal parts of the plant, presumably due to a slightly higher likelihood of successful movement to adjacent xylem vessels at each step in the colonization process. For example, WT cells of \( Xf \) always moved further and at a higher frequency than \( rpfB \) mutants. Likewise, random Tn5-mutants of \( Xf \) generated by the Kirkpatrick lab also were inferior to the WT strain and were not recovered when mixtures were inoculated into grape. We now have identified \( phoA \) mutants as having identical behavior in grape as the WT strain, enabling us to examine the process of spatial segregation of cells of \( Xf \) during colonization of grape. The population size of the WT strain of \( Xf \) was similar to that of the \( phoA \) mutant whether inoculated singly or in a mixture (Figure 10). More importantly, the proportion of cells of the two strains that were recovered from different locations within a given plant differed greatly between plants (Figure 11). For example, eight plants were inoculated with an equal mixture of the WT and \( phoA \) mutant, yet in some plants all of the cells recovered from locations either 10 cm or 120 cm from the point of inoculation were either one strain or the other; seldom was a mixture of both strain found, and a similar fraction of the plants harbored one strain or the other, suggesting that the two strains had an equal likelihood to move within the pant, but that stochastic processes determined the movement. We hypothesize that resistant grape varieties harbor anatomical differences from susceptible varieties that limit the movement of \( Xf \) from vessel to vessel. Such plant would thus present a more extreme “bottleneck” to \( Xf \) at each movement event and hence we would expect a more rapid segregation of mixtures of \( Xf \) at a given point away from inoculation. We thus are currently further exploring the spatial dependence of this segregation process in different grape varieties that differ in resistance to PD. Not only should this provide considerable insight into the process of movement which, while central to the disease process, remains very poorly understood, but it should also provide new tools for screening grape germplasm for resistance to \( Xf \).
Figure 10. Population size of a WT strain of Xf (green) or of a PhoA mutant (orange) at various distance from the point of inoculation when inoculated single (left panel) or in equal mixtures (right panel).

Figure 11. Proportion of cells recovered at 10 cm (left panel) or 120 cm (right panel) from the point of inoculation from individual plants that were inoculated with an equal mixture of a WT strain of Xf and a PhoA mutant (abscissa) that were the WT strain.

Graft transmissibility of DSF. To test whether DSF is mobile within the plant we are performing grafting experiments in which DSF-producing Freedom grape transformed with the rpfF of Xf are used as rootstocks to which normal Cabernet Sauvignon grape were green-grafted as a scion. As a control, normal Freedom was also used as a rootstock. These plants were inoculated with Xf to test whether normal scions on DSF-producing rootstocks have a lower susceptibility to Xf colonization as a rootstock scions. Initial estimates of disease severity indicate that there were about 30% less symptomatic leaves of the normal Cabernet scion when grafted onto a rpfF-expressing rootstock compared with plants on a normal Freedom rootstock (Figure 12). Thus, like in the studies of the rpfF-expressing tobacco, it appears that DSF production in the scion is more efficacious for disease control than is the expression of rpfF in the rootstock. We are repeating these experiments and will be inoculating the plants in a variety of ways to determine the efficiency of disease control from rootstock modification. We are repeating these grafting experiment both with the non-targeted rpfF Freedom as a rootstock as well as the chloroplast-targeted rpfF Thomson seedless as a rootstock. Pesticide injury suffered by these plants in our greenhouses during the summer of 2010 have delayed the completion of these studies since the plants were severely stunted and the grafts had to be redone.
Disease control with endophytic bacteria. The severity of PD is reduced when DSF-producing bacteria such as rpfF-expressing E. coli and E. herbicola and certain Xanthomonas strains are co-inoculated with Xf into grape. Importantly, the control of disease by DSF-producing bacteria was associated with their ability to produce DSF since strains that were blocked in ability to produce DSF were greatly reduced in their ability to suppress disease, indicating that elevated DSF in the plants was the cause of disease suppression. We have been successful in producing large quantities of DSF in endophytes such as Erwinia herbicola and also in lab strains of E. coli. Unfortunately, these bacterial strains do not move within grape after inoculation, thereby restricting their ability to interact with Xf except at sites of co-inoculation. Presumably to achieve control of PD by endophytic bacteria where Xf might be inoculated at any point in the plant by insect vectors it will be important to utilize endophytic bacteria that can colonize much of the plant in order that DSF be present at all locations within the plant. Naturally-occurring endophytic bacteria that produced the DSF sensed by Xf and which might move extensively within the plant would presumably be particularly effective as such biological control agents, but until now it was impossible to identify such strains. We recently were able to transform a putative efficient endophyte of plants, Rizobium etli G12 with both the Xcc and Xf rpfF (DSF biosynthetic gene) and have obtained some production of DSF in this strain. This DSF-producing endophyte has been inoculated into grape to determine both its ability to move and multiply within grape as well as its ability to interfere with the disease process. The R. etli strain G12 was found to move within grape tissue after inoculation into either the stem or the leaves. When measured four weeks after inoculation by puncture inoculation into one site in the stem measurable populations of R. etli were seen as far as 50 cm away from the point of inoculation. While the population size away from the point of inoculation were relatively low in this short time interval since inoculation, this strain clearly has the ability to move within grape. The evidence we have obtained so far, however, suggests that this bacterium moves relatively slowly in grape, and thus such a strain would have to be inoculated into grape substantially in advance of the pathogen in order to achieve high levels of disease control. In addition, the DSF-producing R. etli strain is recombinant, and hence may face regulatory scrutiny before it might be used in production viticulture. For that reason we have initiated a study of naturally-occurring bacterial endophytes for their ability to produce DSF. This objective was possible since much effort devoted in the last two years has resulted in the development of better biosensors for the DSF produced by Xf (C14-cis and related molecules) (discussed below). We now have several highly sensitive assays for Xf/DSF. The DSF produced by Xcc and that made by Xf are slightly different and the Xcc-based biosensor for DSF is MUCH more responsive to the Xcc DSF than to the C14-cis produced by Xf; while the Xcc-biosensor can detect as little as about 100 nM of Xcc DSF it can detect C14-cis only in concentrations above about 1 mM. We thus previously could not easily the production of molecules in bacterial endophytes that were similar to the DSF made by Xf. Our new biosensor, however has allowed us to screen large numbers of bacteria for Xf/DSF production.

Both the older Xcc and new Xf-DSF specific biosensors developed in our lab were used to screen natural bacterial endophytes recovered from bluegree sharpshooter(BGSS) insect heads and grape plants. We are executing this part of the project using two approaches; the first approach is building our own endophytes library using mainly endophytes isolated from insect head and wild grapes. The second approach involved screening an existing large grape endophyte library which was kindly made available to us by Dr. B. Kirkpatrick.

BGSS adults and nymphs were surface sterilized, macerated, and diluted onto 10% TSA. The emerging colonies were harvested and transferred to KB plates where they were over-sprayed with either of the two biosensors and GFP fluorescence monitored.

Our initial results reveal that 0.9% of the endophytes recovered from either insect mouthparts or from grape xylem produce either a DSF detected by the Xcc-specific DSF biosensor, or the Xf/DSF-specific biosensor or both. More that twice as many
strain produce a DSF detected by the Xcc-specific DSF biosensor, suggesting that the DSF produced by Xf is not as common as that produced by other bacteria such as environmental Xanthomonas strains etc. Many more strains remain to be tested and a relatively large library of DS-producing bacteria is anticipated. This collection of DSF-producing bacteria will then be assessed for their ability to grow and move within grape plants as well as their ability to reduce symptoms of PD when co-inoculated and pre-inoculated into grape before Xf.

Development of an Xcc biosensor efficient in detecting Xf DSF. For many of the objectives of this project, an improved bioindicator for DSF for Xf would be very valuable. Such a biosensor will be needed to accurately screen transgenic plants for DSF production as well as to screen to endophytic bacteria capable of DSF production. Likewise, the direct application of DSF to plants as well as the application of analogs of DSF for disease control will be greatly expedited by use of direct assays for DSF abundance in treated tissues. Until now, we have been using an Xcc-based biosensor in which the Xcc endoglucanase gene is linked to a GFP reporter gene. Previous studies have shown that this biosensor is able to detect the DSF made by Xf but that it detects Xf DSF with a much lower efficiency then the Xanthomonas DSF since the two molecules apparently differ slightly. In this reporting period we have made considerable progress in the construction and characterization of Xanthomonas campestris -based Xf-DSF specific biosensors. In order to be able to sense Xf DSF we constructed two independent Xcc -based DSF biosensors specific to the DSF produced by Xf RpfF and which is sensed by Xf RpfC. In the first sensor, we replaced the RpfF-DSF detection system of Xcc with that of homologous components from Xf. An Xcc mutant in which both rpfF and rpfC was deleted was transformed with a pBBR1MCS-2 based plasmid harboring Xf rpfC and rpfF genes. In a previous study, Xf rpfC was introduced into an Xcc rpfC mutant resulting in the repression of DSF production (the Xcc rpfC mutant itself is a DSF hyper-producer) but not of EPS production and protease activity. Based on that observation it was concluded that the Xf RpfC is capable of interacting with the Xcc RpfF to control its DSF production activity, but that the Xf rpfC was not capable of interacting with the Xcc RpfG to initiate down-stream signal transduction. In that sensor the Xc rpfG was left intact. Xf RpfG, RpfC and RpfF are presumed to function in concert to mediate signal transduction in the following manner: Xf RpfF synthesize DSF, and we have shown that it produces DSF molecules recognizable by Xcc RpfC and in other bacterial hosts (E. coli, E. herbicola R. etli etc.). RpfC, upon interaction with DSF, is thought to phosphorelay to RpfG. RpfG is a cyclic di-GMP phosphodiesterase. Since cyclic di-GMP plays a regulatory role in many bacteria species, it is expected that the Xf rpfGCF system, capable of reducing the levels of cyclic di-GMP, will affect the expression of genes regulated by cyclic di-GMP in any host which its gene expression relays upon cyclic di-GMP. In Xc, DSF and cyclic di-GMP were shown to regulate the expression of many virulence genes including the cellulase gene engXCA. Therefore, the functionality of the Xf rpfGCF system was determined in Xcc based on the activity of an engXCA'::gfp transcriptional fusion. Introduction of Xf rpfG to the Xcc rpfC mutant, did not affect the GFP fluorescence signal produced by the engXCA'::gfp fusion suggesting that Xf rpfG was in its inactive state. Introduction of Xf rpfG and rpfC together however, resulted in a two-fold increase in the engXCA promoter activity. This strain, although it harbors Xf rpfC, exhibited a slight increase in gfp fluorescence in response to only high levels (100 µM) of 2-Z-tetradecenoic acid, the putative DSF molecule produced by Xf. In order to address this puzzling observation, Xf rpfF was subsequently introduced to this strain. Upon addition of Xf rpfF the engXCA promoter activity decreased to background level and was similar to that of the strain harboring Xf rpfG only, suggesting that it represses the RpfC-RpfG interaction. Addition of 0.1-100 µM 2-Z-tetradecenoic acid, de-repressed RpfC-RpfG interaction allowing gfp to be expressed in a dose-response manner (Figure 13).

![Figure 13](image-url)  
**Figure 13.** GFP fluorescence exhibited by a mutant strain of Xcc lacking its own rpfC and rpfF genes but into which the various rpf genes from Xf noted on the top of each column were added. GFP fluorescence in the presence or absence of added DSF were then visualized. Note that this biosensor yields gfp fluorescence specifically in the presence of DSF when the Xf rpfG, rpfC and rpfF genes have been added.
The DSF production activity of Xf RpfF in this strain was tested - yielding no observed DSF production. Assuming that Xf RpfC, like Xcc RpfC, represses DSF production, the DSF production activity of Xf RpfF was further tested in the absence of Xf RpfC or both Xf RpfG and RpfC. In both cases, activity was restored suggesting that Xf RpfC interact with RpfF and controls its DSF production activity.

A second Xcc-based Xf DSF sensor was constructed that is composed of an Xcc rpfF and rpfC double mutant into which Xf rpfF and rpfG and a hybrid rpfC allele composed of the predicted trans-membrane domain of Xf RpfC and the cytoplasm domain of the Xcc RpfC has been added. The eng’::gfp transcriptional fusion in Xcc that was described above was inactive in the absence of DSF but was strongly induced by ≥0.1 µM 2-Z- tetradecenoic acid. We compared the activity of these two Xf-DSF specific biosensors to the activity of our original Xcc-DSF specific biosensor (Xcc rpfF mutant harboring an eng’::gfp reporting fusion) in response to diluted DSF extracts obtained from Xcc and from Xf. We named the three different sensors based on their DSF sensing element, Xcc-rpfC, Xf-RpfC and Xf-Xcc chimeric RpfC. While both of the Xf-DSF specific biosensors (Xf-RpfC and Xf-Xcc-RpfC) did not respond at all to the diluted DSF extract obtained from Xcc culture, the Xcc-DSF specific strain (Xcc-RpfC) exhibited a clear dose-dependent behavior to an elevated extract strength (Figure 14 left). When exposed to DSF extract obtained from Xf cultures, Xf-RpfC and Xf-Xcc-RpfC clearly responded to increased levels of Xf DSF while the Xcc-RpfC biosensor barely responded to the lowest dilution tested (Figure 14 right).

Figure 14. GFP fluorescence of the Xf DSF specific biosensors (red and dark blue) of the Xcc-specific DSF biosensor (black) to increasing concentrations of DSF from culture extracts of Xcc (left panel) or from Xf (right panel).

To test the specificity of the DSF biosensors we compared the activity of those sensors to a panel of pure DSF analogues. Inspection of the intensity of the respond (GFP level) by fluorescence microscopy revealed that Xcc-RpfC is activated in a stronger manner by DSF-like molecules with shorter acyl chain lengths (C11-cis, C12-cis and C13-cis) than by DSF homologs with either shorter or longer acyl chain lengths (Figure 15). In contrast, the Xf DSF-specific biosensors Xf-RpfC and Xf-Xcc-RpfC responded more strongly to C13-cis and C14-cis then to shorter chain fatty acids (Figure 17). Given that C14-cis is produced by Xf it appears that the RpfC-based biosensors respond more strongly to the DSF molecule to which the cell has evolved to respond. Thus the two Xf DSF specific DSF biosensors, particularly the Xf-Xcc RpfC biosensor is much more responsive to C14-cis, and hence will be far more useful that the original Xcc RpfC biosensor for assessing DSF levels in plants and bacterial cultures.
Identification of additional DSF molecules. Since we were successful in developing a DSF biosensor that is much more responsive to the DSF produced by \(X_f\) (compared to the original DSF biosensor that was much more responsive to \(X_{cc}\) DSF than to \(X_f\) DSF) we have continued studies to determine the structures of the various molecules made by \(X_f\) that are involved in rpfF-mediated signaling. It is clear that \(X_f\) produces C14-cis and that this molecule is active in regulating the behavior of \(X_f\). As shown in Figure 9 preliminary evidence has been obtained, that like \(X_{cc}\), \(X_f\) may produce more than one related signal molecule. That is, DSF may not be a single molecule, but instead may be a family of closely related molecules. We expect that the molecules will be closely related to each other structurally, but they might have different effects on the cell. The \(X_f\)-RpfC sensor was used to quantify biologically active fractions of crude extracts of \(X_f\) cultures of a wild type strain (500-series of fractions) and from an \(X_f\) rpfC mutant (900-series of fractions) that were separated on the basis of polarity by HPLC. Fractions No. 504 and 901 (not assayed here) had previously been shown to contain C14-cis and thus perhaps other fatty acids and therefore, were sub-fractionated here. In both extracts, a more polar fraction (fractions 507 and 905) was found to contain an active compound as assayed by the \(X_f\)-\(X_{cc}\) RpfC biosensor. Fraction 507 was further fractionated and its sub-fraction 2.2 was found to be active (Figure 16). Thus more than one molecule besides C14-cis with biological activity appears to be active in both extracts. Work is underway to chemically characterize these additional biologically active compounds.

Development of reporter gene systems for us in \(X_f\). The study of the response of \(X_f\) to DSF as well as other studies of its response to other plant compounds etc. would be greatly enhanced by the ability to easily monitor gene expression via the use of reporter genes. Previous attempts to establish \(gfp\) or \(inaZ\)-based transcriptional fusions in \(X_f\) failed, presumably due to its incapability to express foreign genes properly (a phenomena though to be related to \(X_f\) peculiar genetic codon usage). We have now successfully developed a reporter gene system by utilizing \(X_f\) endogenous \(phoA\) gene (encoding alkaline phosphatase) as a reporter gene. \(X_f\) \(phoA\) was cloned under the control of \(E.\ coli lacZ\) promoter and its activity was
confirmed in *E. coli*. Six different promoter::*phoA* transcriptional fusions were established, each harboring an *Xf* promoter of a gene associated with virulence (hxfA, hxfB, pglA, pilB and rpfF) and as a control, the 16S-rRNA gene promoter (Figure 17). Three of these constructs, cloned in the broad host range vector pBBR1MCS-5 were transformed to an *Xf* phoA mutant along with the promoterless *phoA* vector that serves here as a negative control. *Xf* PhoA relative level in these four strains (*Xf* Δ*phoA* harboring hxfA’::*phoA*, hxfB’::*phoA*, 16S-rRNA’::*phoA* or promoterless::*phoA*) which reflects promoter activity, was determined following four days of growth on PWG plates supplemented with 15 µg/ml gentamicin (to force maintenance of the plasmids). Activity of the promoterless::*phoA* construct was subtracted from the activity of the promoter::*phoA* fusions. As expected, induction of the 16S-rRNA promoter was always the strongest; hxfA promoter induction was ca. 20-fold higher than that of hxfB but ca. 10-folds lower than that of the 16S-rRNA promoter (Figure 18). These results suggest that the *phoA* reporter gene system will be quite valuable for assessing gene expression in vitro.

![Figure 17. Expression vector harboring phoA from Xf that is fused to promoters from several virulence genes in Xf.](image)

![Figure 18. PhoA activity measured in Xf harboring fusions of a promoterless phoA reporter gene with the promoters of the genes noted on the abscissa.](image)

We have made much effort in this reporting period to developing methods to use *Xf* itself to detect DSF. Among the several genes that we know to be most strongly regulated by DSF include pil genes involved in twitching motility, several genes such as fimA and hxfA and HxfB which are involved in cell-surface adhesion, and gum genes involved in production of EPS. We thus have examined the phenotypes of an rpfF- mutant and WT strain of *Xf* exposed to different amounts of DSF to determine if it can be used to bioassay for the presence of DSF. Initial results are encouraging. For example, cells of the rpfF- mutant which are not adherent, and thus which do not form cell-cell aggregations became much more adherent to each other when DSF was added to shaken broth cultures. The increased adherence is readily visualized as an enhanced ring of cell-cell aggregates that forms at the liquid-air interface of shaken cultures (Figure 19). Thus it appears that we may be able to assess the concentration of DSF in samples using a cell adhesion assay using *Xf* cells, although both assays are time consuming and somewhat qualitative.

In addition to estimating the transcriptional expression of genes known to be regulated in response to accumulation of DSF, we are also exploring ways of measuring the amounts of gene products (proteins) or EPS that are made in response to the presence of DSF. For example, we are exploring whether we can detect EPS production by *Xf* both in culture and in plants.
by use of antibodies that recognize the EPS of \( Xf \). Such antibodies have recently been described by the group of Bruce Kirkpatrick. Our initial results suggest that DSF-deficient RpfF- mutants of \( Xf \) exhibit little or no EPS production as monitored by use of fluorescently-labeled antibodies directed against EPS. A gfp-marked RpfF- strain of \( Xf \) could be used as a DSF detector both in culture and \textit{in planta} by examining co-localization of constitutive GFP fluorescence and red fluorescence when a red-fluorophore-labeled anti-EPS antibody is applied to a sample; GFP fluorescent cells that were not also labeled with the antibody stain would indicate lack of DSF availability while cells that were both GFP and red fluorescent would indicate the presence of DSF.

We have also explored the use of immunofluorescence to detect other DSF-regulated proteins in \( Xf \). Antibodies to XadA were provided by Dr. Alessandra Souza who had developed this tool to detect a homologous protein in CVC strains of \( Xf \). The antibodies cross-reacted strongly to the XadA from grape strains of \( Xf \). In preliminary experiments we find that cells of an rpfF mutant of \( Xf \) harbor very little XadA when grown on XFM minimal medium without added DSF, but that significant amounts of XadA is detected with the antibody when DFS-containing extracts from an rpfC mutant of \( Xf \) were added (\textbf{Figure 19}). These are very exciting results in that it suggests that such a biosensor would be very useful within plants to monitor the temporal and spatial patterns of DSF production within plants, as well as allow us to monitor the dispersal and stability of DSF that has been applied to plants, or of DSF which has been produced by transgenic plants themselves. We also observed a very curious effect of DSF on XadA; while some of this protein is cell-associated, a much lower proportion of this protein is released to the outside of the cell when cells are exposed to DSF (\textbf{Figure 20}). Quite importantly, the proportion of XadA that is retained by the cell is strongly influenced by the amount of DSF that the cell is exposed to. Addition of DSF from an extract of a DSF-producing strain of \( Xf \) reduced its secreted portion in both the wild type and the rpfF mutant (\textbf{Figure 20}). The DSF-dependent retention of XadA is being exploited as a measure of DSF content of samples in further analysis of DSF analogs as well as further reexamination of related DSF molecules that are being made by \( Xf \) and other bacteria.

\textbf{Figure 19} Cells of rpfF- mutant of \( Xf \) grown on a minimal medium and then stained with Syto-9 (green) and probed with rhodamine-labeled anti-XadA antibody (red). Cells were grown in XFM minimal medium without added DSF extract (top) or with added DSF-containing cell culture extract (Bottom).
Since we have shown that DSF accumulation within plants is a major signal used by Xf to change its gene expression patterns and since DFS-mediated changes all lead to a reduction in virulence in this pathogen we have shown proof of principle that disease control can be achieved by a process of “pathogen confusion”. Several methods of altering DSF levels in plants, including direct introduction of DSF producing bacteria into plants, and direct application of DSF itself to plants appear promising as means to reduce PD. Transgenic DSF-producing plants appear particularly promising and studies indicate that such plants provide at least partial protection when serving as a rootstock instead of a scion. Based on work done on other plant species in which a chloroplast-targeted DFS synthase has provided much higher levels of DSF production, we are hopeful that such a construct in grape will provide even higher levels of PD control in our current studies. While the principle of disease control by altering DSF levels has been demonstrated, this work addresses the feasibility of how achieve this goal, and what are the most practical means to achieve disease control by pathogen confusion. Our continuing work will address which method is both most practical and efficacious. The tools we have developed to better detect the specific DSF molecules made by Xf will be very useful in our on-going research to test the most efficacious and practical means to alter DSF levels in plants to achieve disease control. These tools are being used to screen for more efficacious naturally-occurring DSF producing bacterial endophytes, as well as to identify chemical compounds that more strongly induce changes in behavior of Xf.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Funding for the three projects described here was provided by the CDFA Pierce’s Disease and Glassy-winged Sharpshooter Board.