REPORT TYPE: Interim Progress Report for CDFA agreement 14-0144-SA

PROJECT TITLE: Characterization and inhibition of *Xylella fastidiosa* proteins secreted by the Type II secretion system and their secretion machinery.

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Caroline Roper, Ph.D.

Department of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA, 92521,mcroper@ucr.edu

Cooperator: Dr. Bruce Kirkpatrick Department of Plant Pathology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, bckirkpatrick@ucdavis.edu

Cooperator: Dr. John Labavitch Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, jmlabavitch@ucdavis.edu

Cooperator: Dr. Daniel Cosgrove

Department of Biology, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16801, dcosgrove@psu.edu

Reporting Period: The results reported here are from work conducted July 2014 to Present.

INTRODUCTION

Xylella fastidiosa (Xf) is a xylem-limited bacterial pathogen that is the causal agent of Pierce's Disease (PD) of grapevine (Hopkins and Purcell, 2002, Chatterjee et al., 2008, Purcell and Hopkins, 1996). In order to systemically colonize the xylem, Xf must be able to move efficiently from one xylem vessel element to adjacent vessels. These xylem vessels are connected by pit membranes, which are porous primary cell wall interfaces that are composed of cellulose microfibrils embedded in a meshwork of pectin and hemicellulose (Buchanan, 2000, Sun et al., 2011). The pore sizes of these pit membranes range from 5 to 20 nm, and serve to prevent the movement of air embolisms and pathogens within the xylem (Mollenhauer & Hopkins, 1974, Buchanan, 2000). Indeed, these small pore sizes do prevent the passive movement of Xf between xylem vessels given that the size of the bacterium is 250-500 x 1,000-4,000 nm (Perez-Donoso et al., 2010, Mollenhauer & Hopkins, 1974). In order to move from one vessel to another, it has been shown through genomic and experimental evidence that Xf utilizes Cell Wall-Degrading Enzymes (CWDEs), including a polygalacturonase (PG) and at least one β -1,4 Endoglucanase (EGase), to break down the pit membrane's network (Roper et al., 2007, Perez-Donoso et al., 2010). Furthermore, PG is necessary for pathogenicity in grape and has become a primary target for Xf inhibition studies (Roper et al, 2007). However, PG alone is not sufficient for pathogenicity in grape and Xf requires both PG and an EGase for pit membrane degradation (Perez-Donoso et al., 2010). Therefore, elucidating the role of EGases in pit membrane degradation is critical for understanding systemic movement within the xylem. The Xf genome contains three genes that encode canonical EGases: eql (PD2061) rlpA (PD1236) and engXCA2 (PD1851). A fourth annotated EGase, engXCA1 (PD 1856), putatively encodes a modular hybrid protein that contains both an EGase domain and an expansin domain (Simpson et al., 2000). Expansins are primarily plant proteins that function to non-enzymatically loosen the cell wall during development (e.g., cell elongation, fruit ripening). Recently, expansing have been found in several plant-associated bacteria, most of which have a significant xylem-dwelling phase in their lifestyle (Nikolaidis et al., 2014). It is predicted that these EGases and PG are delivered into the xylem by the Type II Secretion System (T2SS). Preliminary data demonstrate that X. fastidiosa with a deficient T2SS display a non-pathogenic phenotype similar to that of the Xf pg/A mutant that is deficient in production of PG, suggesting that the T2SS is essential for Xf pathogenicity. Therefore, our central hypothesis is that Xf utilizes other CWDEs and an endoglucanase/expansin hybrid protein in concert with PG to breach the pit membranes and that the majority of these are secreted by the Type II Secretion System. We are determining the role that each of these components plays in pit membrane degradation and systemic movement, and subsequently if they are good candidates for potential inhibition to limit Pierce's Disease development.

OBJECTIVES

- 1. Characterization of *Xf* host cell wall degrading enzymes and an endoglucanase/expansin protein.
- 2. Inhibition of *Xf* endoglucanases and the endoglucanase/expansin using endoglucanaseinhibiting proteins.
- 3. Characterization of the Xf Type II secretion system.
- 4. Inhibition of the Xf Type II secretion system.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Objective 1: Characterization of *Xf* host cell wall degrading enzymes and an endoglucanase/expansin.

It was previously determined that Xf EngXCA2, which is one of the four putative X. fastidiosa EGaseencoding genes is a major contributor to the pit membrane dissolution and the synergistic effects of the PG and the EngXCA2 were sufficient to increase pit membrane pore size (Perez-Donoso et al., 2010). Indeed, recombinant EngXCA2 was capable of digesting carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) and xyloglucan (XyG) polymers, which both contain β -1,4-linked glucan backbones and are representative of substrates Xf would likely encounter in grapevine primary cell walls (Roper, 2006; Perez-Donoso et al., 2010). Given the role EngXCA2 plays in pit membrane degradation, we hypothesize that other predicted EGases produced by Xf may impact pit membrane integrity as well. The egl gene is predicted to encode a β -1,4 EGase belonging to the glycoside hydrolase family 5 as indicated in the CAZy (Carbohydrate Active Enzyme) database. Glycoside hydrolase family 5 proteins hydrolyze glycosidic bonds between two carbohydrates or a carbohydrate and non-carbohydrate moiety and have activities ranging from EGases to mannanases. *rlpA* putatively encodes a lipoprotein containing a Barwin-related EGase domain belonging to the glycoside hydrolase family 45. This family contains proteins with only EGase activity. The last gene annotated as an EGase is engXCA1, which encodes an EGase/expansin hybrid putatively involved in plant cell wall disassembly. This is of particular interest because expansins are primarily found in the plant kingdom and are non-enzymatic proteins that function to loosen the cell wall during plant growth without enzymatic digestion of the wall (Cosgrove, 2000). Expansins facilitate cell wall loosening by binding to their target polysaccharide and disrupting the weak bonds between the glycans and the cellulose microfibrils, allowing turgor pressure from within the cell to expand the cell wall (Cosgrove, 2000). Expansin-like proteins with similar structure and function were later found in a few bacterial species that associate with plants likely as a result of cross-kingdom horizontal gene transfer (Nikolaidis et al., 2014). These bacterial expansins are thought to enhance the activity of bacterial CWDEs by loosening the cell wall, thereby promoting wall breakdown, colonization and virulence. Interestingly, orthologs of at least one bacterial expansin (EXLX1) are found in several plant pathogens, including Xylella, Xanthomonas, Ralstonia and Erwinia species (Kerff et al., 2008, Georgelis et al., 2014). While these are phylogenetically diverse bacteria, they all share the commonality that they spend the majority of their lives in the xylem tissue of plants. It is hypothesized that they are involved in host colonization (Kerff et al., 2008). In the Xf pathosystem, they could potentially weaken the wall and more readily expose carbohydrate targets for digestion by the suite of other Xf CWDEs.

Characterization of the Xf EGase/Expansin hybrid protein.

The gene *engXCA1* was cloned from the *X. fastidiosa* Temecula 1 genome into the pET200 Directional TOPO expression vector (Fig. 1A). The plasmid construct (pET200::*engXCA1*) was then transformed into the *E. coli* strain BL21 Star, and recombinant protein expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG for six hours at 37°C. The bacterial cells were lysed using the B-PER lysis reagent containing lysozyme and DNasel (ThermoFisher) and the lysate was run on an SDS-polyacrylamide gel (Fig. 1B). The lysate was analyzed by Western Blot using a monoclonal α -His-tag primary antibody and a polyclonal alkaline phosphatase (AP) secondary antibody (Fig. 1C). The Western Blot was developed using an AP development kit (Bio Rad), and the protein sequence was confirmed by Mass Spectrometry. Analysis of the soluble and insoluble lysate fractions determined that expression at 37°C did not favor soluble recombinant protein, so conditions were optimized to facilitate the presence of recombinant protein in the soluble fraction. The samples were incubated for four hours at either 25°C or 18°C in the presence of 0.1 mM IPTG, and GelQuant.NET software provided by biochemlabsolutions.com was used to calculate the band intensity for the soluble fraction relative to the insoluble fraction (Fig. 1D). After

incubation at 25°C, the soluble fraction contained 7.3% of the total recombinant protein, while incubation at 18°C yielded 22.7% of the total recombinant protein in the soluble fraction.



Using the optimized induction conditions (18°C, 0.1 mM IPTG, 4 hours) and increasing the total volume of bacterial cells from 10 ml to 40 ml allowed for the expression of a sufficient quantity of soluble recombinant EngXCA1 protein to proceed with protein purification. The recombinant protein was purified via column chromatography using Ni-NTA resin (ThermoFisher), following the product instructions. Elution of the protein was monitored by absorbance readings at 280 nm. A sufficient quantity of recombinant protein was eluted from the column and subsequently dialyzed using a Slide-A-Lyzer cassette (ThermoFisher) in PBS. After concentrating the protein, an absorbance reading at 280 nm was taken to determine the concentration, only to discover that no protein remained after dialysis

and subsequent concentration. Dialysis membranes are made of regenerated cellulose, thus, it is likely that the recombinant EGase may be binding to the membrane. Currently, alternative purification and dialysis methods are being pursued.

Previous attempts to determine endoglucanase activity for EngXCA1 using a radial diffusion assay as outlined by Kasana et al. (2008) were inconclusive as the negative controls also showed some zones of hydrolysis. It is possible that the zones of hydrolysis in the negative controls are false positives for reasons outlined by Johnsen and Krause (2014). Due to these difficulties and the fact that radial diffusion assays are not very sensitive, we will be focusing our efforts on determining endoglucanase activity via reducing sugar assays instead. The reducing sugar assay, as outlined by Gross KC (1982), is more sensitive than the radial diffusion assay, and the methodology has already been used to show that another *Xf* endoglucanase, EngXCA2, has endoglucanase activity (Roper, 2006).

Endoglucanase activity of EngXCA1 will be determined using the soluble fraction of the cell lysate in a reducing sugar assay (Gross, 1982). 0.02% CMC or XyG substrate will be dissolved in 0.1 M sodium acetate (pH 5.0). 3 ml of the substrate solution will be incubated with 0.5 ml of the cell lysate containing the recombinant endoglucanase. 0.5 ml aliquots will be taken at time = 0 and every hour for 6 hours, and the reactions will be stopped with 1 ml of 0.1 M sodium borate (pH 10.0). 200 µl of 1% 2-cyanoacetamide will be added and the samples will be boiled for 10 minutes. The absorbance for each sample will be determined spectrophotometrically at 276 nm.

We will also assess expansin activity of the recombinant protein (i.e., its ability to promote the extension of plant tissues that are subjected to stress) in close collaboration with the Cosgrove Laboratory (Penn State University). The cell wall elongation assay will then be performed using an extensioneter apparatus as described by Cosgrove, D. J. (1989), and expansin activity will be determined by measuring the extension of wall specimens over a 2-h period.

Assessment of the biological contribution of the Xf EGase/Expansin and other Xf

endoglucanases to pathogenicity and host colonization. To test the role of the *Xf* EGase/expansin *in planta*, we constructed a deletion mutant ($\Delta engXCA1$) in the *Xf* Temecula 1 strain using established mutagenesis techniques and confirmed the mutant via PCR (Matsumoto et al., 2009). We mechanically inoculated the Temecula 1 wild-type and the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant into grapevine (Cabernet Sauvignon variety) using the pin-prick method (Hill and Purcell, 1995). Grapevines inoculated with 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS) were used as negative controls. Both the wild-type and the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant were inoculated into 10 plants each and the experiment was repeated three times (30 plants/treatment). This entire experiment was replicated in two grape varieties, Chardonnay and Cabernet Sauvignon.

Disease ratings for all plants were recorded using a scale of 0 - 5 where 0 = healthy, 5 = dead, and 1 - 4 are increasing degrees of leaf scorching as described by Guilhabert and Kirkpatrick (2005). Interestingly, the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant strain is less virulent than the wild-type parent strain (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the percentage of plants inoculated with the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant strain rating 2 or higher on the disease index was significantly less than the percentage of plants inoculated with wild-type Xf rating 2 or higher over a 14-week period (Fig. 3). This indicates that the onset of disease in plants inoculated with the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant is significantly delayed relative to plants inoculated with wild-type Xf. This experiment was also repeated in Chardonnay and a similar disease development trend was also observed (*data not shown*). It is very interesting that despite colonizing the plants to the same levels as wild type Xf, the onset and severity of PD symptoms is delayed in plants inoculated with the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant.



Figure 2: Disease progress of the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant and the wild-type strains over 14 weeks. The $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant strain lags behind the wild-type strain in Pierce's Disease symptom development. 1X PBS served as the negative control. Data are the means of three independent assays with ten replicates each. Bars represent the standard error of the mean.



Figure 3: Percentage of plants rating a 2 or higher on the Pierce's Disease scale. The percentage of plants inoculated with the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant strain that rated 2 or higher was consistently less than the percentage of plants inoculated with the wild-type strain over a period of 14 weeks. Data are the means of three independent assays with ten replicates each. Bars represent the standard error of the mean.

We quantified Xf populations in the plants by isolating Xf from the petioles at the point of inoculation (POI) (11 weeks post-inoculation) and \geq 37 cm above the POI (12 weeks post-inoculation) to determine the ability of the EGase mutants to systemically colonize the host (Fig. 4). The statistical differences between wild-type and $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant populations at both POI and \geq 37 cm above the POI were determined by ANOVA. While there was a significant difference in colonization at the POI (P = 0.027), the difference in colonization at \geq 37 cm above the POI was statistically insignificant (P = 0.155), indicating that the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant is not impaired in movement 37 cm above the POI. We will test distances further from the POI this summer. A similar trend was also observed in experiments conducted with the Chardonnay variety (*data not shown*).



Figure 4: In planta populations of the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant relative to the Temecula 1 wild-type strain. **A)** In planta populations of the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant are significantly lower than those of the wild-type strain at the point of inoculation (POI) quantified at 11 weeks post-inoculation. **B)** In planta populations of the $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant are not significantly different from those of the wild-type strain at \geq 37 cm above the point of inoculation at 12 weeks post-inoculation. Data are the means of three independent assays with ten replications each. Bars represent the standard error of the mean.

In these experiments, we inoculated at the base of a single shoot and assessed bacterial colonization via bacterial isolation at the petiole closest to the POI and bacterial movement via bacterial isolation at the petiole that is 37 cm above the POI (Fig. 5A). However, in a natural scenario, sharpshooters prefer to feed on new green growth and the bacteria migrate in a basipetal direction against the flow of sap. We speculate that EngXCA1 may play a role in this basipetal movement. To test this, we have developed a different strategy that allows us to assess bacterial movement in both the acropetal and basipetal directions (Fig. 5B). In this experimental design, two shoots, an upper and a lower shoot, are allowed to grow from the same woody stem. The upper shoot will be inoculated at the third internode from the base of the shoot, and bacterial colonization will be assessed via bacterial isolation at the petiole closest to the POI, as done previously. Bacterial movement will be assessed in the acropetal direction by isolating bacteria from the petiole at the tenth node from the POI. Bacterial movement will be assessed in the basipetal direction by isolating bacteria from the petiole at the tenth node from the petiole at the first node on the lower shoot. All bacterial isolations will be taken when the wildtype-inoculated plants rate between 2 - 3 on the Pierce's Disease Rating Index (Guilhabert and Kirkpatrick, 2005).



Figure 5: Experimental designs for grapevine inoculation assays. **A)** Original experimental design where a single shoot was inoculated near the base. Bacterial isolations were performed using the petiole at the POI to assess Xf colonization and using the petiole at 37 cm above the POI to assess Xf movement. **B)** Modified experimental design where two shoots are grown from the same woody stem and the upper shoot is inoculated at the third internode. Bacterial isolations will be performed using the petiole at the POI to assess Xf colonization, using the petiole at 10 nodes above the POI to assess Xf movement in the acropetal direction, and using the petiole at the first node of the lower shoot to assess Xf movement in the basipetal direction. The inoculation site is denoted by an "X" on the shoot.

We have also constructed the engXCA1 +/- complement by inserting the engXCA1 gene and its native promoter into a neutral site in the Xf chromosome in the Xf $\Delta engXCA1$ mutant strain (Matsumoto et al., 2009). This complement will be used in all grapevine assays to show restoration of the wildtype phenotype.

Additionally, a deletion mutant of another *Xf* endoglucanase ($\Delta engXCA2$) has been constructed and transformed into the *Xf* Temecula 1 wildtype strain. This mutant will be tested in grapevine assays assessing symptom development and bacterial colonization and movement. EngXCA2 has previously been characterized experimentally as an endoglucanase capable of degrading both cellulose and xyloglucan (Roper, 2006). It has also been implicated in the degradation of pit membranes when combined with a polygalacturonase, indicating that this endoglucanase may be required for systemic colonization of the grapevine host (Perez-Donoso et al., 2010). Therefore, the *in planta* data to be collected after inoculation with this mutant should provide concrete evidence for the role of this endoglucanase in systemic colonization.

Objective 2: Inhibition of *Xf* endoglucanases and the endoglucanase/expansin using endoglucanase inhibiting proteins. Because the combined action of a PG and an *Xf* EGase was required to digest pit membranes, both are logical targets for inhibition. PG is a major pathogenicity factor for *Xf* and grapevines expressing a pear PGIP were more tolerant to *Xf* infection (Aguero et al., 2005). Several plant proteins have also been identified and characterized as xyloglucan-specific EGase inhibiting proteins (XEGIPs) that could potentially inhibit *Xf* EGases. These include XEGIPs from tomato and tobacco (Naqvi et al., 2005, Qin et al., 2003). We propose to assess the ability of the tobacco and tomato XEGIPs to inhibit the degradative ability of the *Xf* EGases and the EGase/expansin. Currently, we are working on expressing and purifying these *Xf* EGases and assessing their activity as stated in Objective 1. Once these studies have been completed, we will test for inhibition using a radial diffusion assay performed in agarose containing either CMC or XyG as a substrate with increasing concentrations of each XEGIP. In addition, we will quantify the generation of reducing sugars produced by the *Xf* EGases or EGase/expansin alone or in combination with each of the XEGIPs (Naqvi et al., 2005)

Objective 3: Characterization of the *Xf* **Type II secretion system.** The T2SS is composed of twelvefifteen different proteins depending on the species that is involved either structurally or mechanistically involved in the function of the T2SS. These proteins are encoded in a single operon and the *Xf* genome contains a similar operon similar strongly suggesting a functional T2SS (Jha et al., 2005). The T2SS can be divided into four different subassemblies that are 1) the pseudopilus; 2) the outer membrane complex; 3) the inner membrane platform and 4) the secretion ATPase. The pseudopilus is composed primarily of the major pseudopilin protein, G (XpsG), and also contains the minor pseudopilins, S, H, I, J and K (XpsH, I, J and K). The XpsE ATPase harnesses the energy that drives secretion through the T2SS via hydrolysis of ATP. Proteins destined for secretion by the T2SS are first delivered to the periplasm via the Sec or Tat-dependent secretion pathway where they are folded (Slonczewski, 2014). The T2SS then uses a pilus-like piston to push proteins through the T2 channel. This piston action is a function of the cyclic assembly and disassembly of pilin subunits (primarily XpsG).

We have created a mutation in the *xpsE* gene, encoding the putative ATPase that powers the T2SS. Grapevines inoculated with the *xpsE* mutant never developed PD symptoms and remained healthy, a phenotype similar to the *Xf* pglA mutant (Fig. 6). Thus, we have compelling preliminary data indicating that *Xf* has a functional T2SS system and the proteins secreted by T2SS are critical for the infection process.



Figure 6: The Xf T2SS is necessary for PD development in grapevine. **A)** the $\Delta xpsE$ mutant does not incite PD symptoms in V. vinifera cv. Chardonnay grapevines. Disease severity was based on a visual disease scale from 0 (no disease) to 5 (dead). **B)** Representative images of plants from the virulence assay are shown here, 1=Wild type-inoculated, 2= $\Delta xpsE$ -inoculated, 3= 1X PBS buffer-inoculated. Plants shown are 11 weeks post-inoculation.

We hypothesize that the non-pathogenic phenotype of the $\Delta xpsE$ mutant is due largely to the inability to secrete host CWDEs. Indeed, we have indirect experimental evidence that Xf utilizes the T2SS to secrete PG. This is based on an assay performed on the defined growth medium, XFM. When XFM is supplemented with pectin as the sole carbon source, this induces production of copious amounts of the carbohydrate-based exopolysaccharide (EPS) (Killiny & Almeida, 2009). Pectin is a complex carbohydrate comprised in its simplest form of repeating galacturonic acid residues. Therefore, when grown on XFM with pectin as the sole carbon source, we hypothesize that Xf must first digest the pectin source utilizing its endo-polygalacturonase (Roper et al., 2007) and likely other pectin-digesting

enzymes that eventually disassemble the pectin polymer into individual galacturonic acid residues that can then feed into various metabolic processes within the bacterium, such as EPS production.

In support of our hypothesis that PG, and potentially other CWDEs, are secreted through the T2SS, we demonstrate that the $\Delta xpsE$ mutant produces visibly less EPS on XFM+pectin medium resulting in a much less mucoid phenotype (Fig. 7A). Furthermore, when wild type *Xf* and $\Delta xpsE$ are grown on XFM+galacturonic acid (i.e., the monomeric sugar that makes up the pectin polymer) or on XFM+glucose, both strains produce similar amounts of EPS (Fig. 7B, C). We infer from this that, indeed, breakdown of the pectin substrate is necessary to produce EPS and when the T2SS is disrupted this prevents secretion of PG and the subsequent breakdown of pectin.



Figure 7: EPS assay with either the *Xf* wildtype Temecula 1 strain or the *Xf* $\Delta xpsE$ mutant strain grown on XFM minimal media containing a single carbon source. **A)** XFM containing pectin as the single carbon source. The *Xf* wildtype strain is able to produce more EPS than the $\Delta xpsE$ mutant strain. **B)** XFM containing galacturonic acid as the single carbon source, and **C)** XFM containing glucose as the single carbon source. No discernable differences in growth of the *Xf* wildtype strain and the *Xf* $\Delta xpsE$ mutant strain were detected on either of these media types.

Additionally, we will be quantitatively determining the amount of EPS produced by both the *Xf* wildtype Temecula 1 strain and the $\Delta xpsE$ mutant strain via a total carbohydrate assay. Both mutant and wildtype *Xf* will be grown on solid PD3 media and transferred to liquid XFM minimal media containing pectin, galacturonic acid, or glucose as the only carbon source. After incubation, the bacterial cells will be centrifuged and an aliquot of the supernatant will be mixed with 95% ethanol and frozen at -80°C. The samples will be centrifuged again, and the pellet will be washed twice with 70% ethanol, and resuspended in water. An aliquot of each sample will be added to a respective glass tube and mixed with 5% phenol and concentrated sulfuric acid. Absorbance readings at 488 nm will be taken for each sample and compared to a glucose standard curve.

Objective 4: Inhibition of the *Xf* **Type II secretion system.**

Proteins destined for secretion by the T2SS are first exported to the periplasm by the Sec or Tat pathways. *Xf* appears to only possess the Sec-dependent secretion pathway. Disruption of the T2SS by small molecule inhibitors was demonstrated in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, and could be used to inhibit the *Xf* Sec-dependent pathway (Moir et al., 2011). A chemical compound library will be screened for Sec-inhibitory molecules, including those compounds used by Moir et al. (2011). Inhibition of the Sec-dependent pathway will be confirmed by monitoring the

secretion of a CWDE using a polyclonal antibody raised against EngXCA2 and analyzed via Western Blot and ELISA.

PUBLICATIONS PRODUCED AND PRESENTATIONS MADE

Publications

Roper, C., Kirkpatrick, B., Labavitch, J. and Cosgrove, D. 2014. Characterization and inhibition of *Xylella fastidiosa* proteins secreted by the Type II secretion system and their secretion machinery. California Department of Food and Agriculture. p.74-81. Proceedings of the Pierce's Disease Research Symposium, Sacramento, CA.

Presentations

Roper, C. 2014. Characterization and inhibition of *Xylella fastidiosa* proteins secreted by the Type II secretion system and their secretion machinery. Presented as an Oral Presentation at the Annual Pierce's Disease Symposium, Sacramento, CA.

Ingel, B., Kirkpatrick, B., Labavitch, J., Cosgrove, D. and Roper, C. 2014. Characterization and inhibition of *Xylella fastidiosa* proteins secreted by the Type II secretion system and their secretion machinery. Presented as a Poster at the Annual Pierce's Disease Symposium, Sacramento, CA.

RESEARCH RELEVANCE STATEMENT

Our goal is to first understand the roles each of the EGases produced by *Xf* has in pit membrane degradation, as well as the role of the T2SS in secreting these CWDEs. Ultimately, we speculate that inhibition of the EGases and/or the T2SS will significantly reduce the ability of *Xf* to systemically colonize its grapevine host. Preliminary results indicate that the EGase/expansin hybrid protein (EngXCA1) maintains endoglucanase activity. These experiments will be repeated with additional negative controls, followed by reducing sugar assays to confirm these findings. EngXCA1 also appears to play a role in virulence, and could possibly be an elicitor of the host defense response. These studies will be repeated with the addition of the *engXCA1/engXCA1+* complement strain to confirm these results. In addition, an *Xf* strain with a deficient T2SS ($\Delta xpsE$) displayed reduced virulence than unmodified *Xf*, lending credence to the hypothesis that the T2SS secretes CWDEs such as PG and EngXCA2 that are necessary for systemic colonization. We speculate that further characterization of these EGases and the T2SS will elucidate significant targets for controlling Pierce's Disease.

LAYPERSON SUMMARY

Xylella fastidiosa relies on degradation of the plant cell wall to move within the grapevine. This is accomplished by the cooperation of at least two classes of enzymes that target different components of the complex scaffold of the plant cell wall. A major goal of this research is to further elucidate the factors that lead to disassembly of the plant cell wall, thereby, allowing the bacteria to systemically colonize the plant. Systemic colonization is highly correlated with Pierce's Disease development and preventing movement of the bacteria is critical to devising successful control strategies. We propose that characterizing and inhibiting *Xf* enzymes that facilitate movement throughout the plant and/or the secretion machinery responsible for delivering those *Xf* enzymes into the grapevines water pipes will provide a comprehensive approach to restriction of disease development.

STATUS OF FUNDS

The funding for this project is largely going towards supporting a Ph.D. graduate student, Mr. Brian Ingel. This project is the main focus of his Ph.D. dissertation. We anticipate spending the remainder of the salary, supply, services and greenhouse recharge money associated with this project as it progresses.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY STATEMENT

Thus far, there is no Intellectual Property associated with this project.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aguero CB, Uratsu SL, Greve C, Powell, A. L.,Labavitch, J. M.,Meredith, C. P, Dandekar, A. M., 2005. Evaluation of tolerance to Pierce's disease and Botrytis in transgenic plants of *Vitis vinifera* L. expressing the pear PGIP gene. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **6**, 43-51.
- 2. Buchanan BB, Gruissem, W., and Jones, R.L., 2000. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Plants. *American Society of Plant Physiologists. Maryland.* Chapter 2: The Cell Wall, 52-100.
- 3. Chatterjee S, Almeida RPP, Lindow S, 2008. Living in two worlds: The plant and insect lifestyles of *Xylella fastidiosa*. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **46**, 243-71.
- 4. Cosgrove DJ, 1989. Characterization of long term extension of isolated cell walls from growing cucumber hypocotyls. *Planta* **177**, 121-30.
- 5. Cosgrove DJ, 2000. Loosening of plant cell walls by expansins. Nature 407, 321-6.
- 6. Georgelis N, Nikolaidis N, Cosgrove DJ, 2014. Biochemical analysis of expansin-like proteins from microbes. *Carbohydr Polym* **100**, 17-23.
- 7. Gross KC, 1982. A Rapid and Sensitive Spectrophotometric Method for Assaying Polygalacturonase Using 2-Cyanoacetamide. *Hortscience* **17**, 491-494.
- 8. Guilhabert MR, Kirkpatrick BC, 2005. Identification of *Xylella fastidiosa* antivirulence genes: hemagglutinin adhesins contribute to *X. fastidiosa* biofilm maturation and colonization and attenuate virulence. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **18**, 856-868.
- Johnsen HR, Krause K, 2014. Cellulase Activity Screening Using Pure Carboxymethylcellulose: Application to Soluble Cellulolytic Samples and to Plant Tissue Prints. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 15, 830-838.
- 10. Hill BL, Purcell AH, 1995. Acquisition and retention of *Xylella fastidiosa* by an efficient vector, *Graphocephala atropunctata*. *Phytopathology* **85**, 209-212.
- 11. Hopkins DL, Purcell AH, 2002. *Xylella fastidiosa*: Cause of Pierce's disease of grapevine and other emergent diseases. *Plant Disease* **86**, 1056-66.
- 12. Jha G, Rajeshwari R, Sonti RV, 2005. Bacterial type two secretion system secreted proteins: double-edged swords for plant pathogens. *Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions* **18**, 891-8.
- Kasana RC, Salwan R, Dhar H, Dutt S, Gulati A, 2008. A rapid and easy method for the detection of microbial cellulases on agar plates using Gram's lodine. *Current Microbiology* 57, 503-507
- 14. Kerff F, Amoroso A, Herman R, Sauvage, E., Petrella, S., Filee, P., Charlier, P., Joris, B., Tabuchi, A., Nikolaidis, N., Cosgrove, D. J., 2008. Crystal structure and activity of *Bacillus subtilis* YoaJ (EXLX1), a bacterial expansin that promotes root colonization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* U S A **105**, 16876-81.
- 15. Killiny N, Almeida RP, 2009. Host structural carbohydrate induces vector transmission of a bacterial plant pathogen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**, 22416-20.
- 16. Matsumoto A, Young GM, Igo MM, 2009. Chromosome-Based Genetic Complementation System for *Xylella fastidiosa*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **75**, 1679-87.

- 17. Moir DT, Di M, Wong E, *et al.*, 2011. Development and application of a cellular, gain-of-signal, bioluminescent reporter screen for inhibitors of type II secretion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Burkholderia pseudomallei. J Biomol Screen* **16**, 694-705.
- 18. Mollenhauer HH, Hopkins DL, 1974. Ultrastructural study of Pierce's disease bacterium in grape xylem tissue. *J Bacteriol* **119**, 612-8.
- 19. Naqvi SM, Harper A, Carter C, Ren, G., Guirgis, A., York, W. S., Thornburg, R. W., 2005. Nectarin IV, a potent endoglucanase inhibitor secreted into the nectar of ornamental tobacco plants. Isolation, cloning, and characterization. *Plant Physiol* **139**, 1389-400.
- Nikolaidis N, Doran N, Cosgrove DJ, 2014. Plant expansins in bacteria and fungi: evolution by horizontal gene transfer and independent domain fusion. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **31**, 376-86.
- Perez-Donoso AG, Sun Q, Roper MC, Greve LC, Kirkpatrick B, Labavitch JM, 2010. Cell Wall-Degrading Enzymes Enlarge the Pore Size of Intervessel Pit Membranes in Healthy and *Xylella fastidiosa*-Infected Grapevines. *Plant Physiology* **152**, 1748-59.
- 22. Purcell AH, Hopkins DL, 1996. Fastidious xylem-limited bacterial plant pathogens. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **34**, 131-51.
- 23. Qin Q, Bergmann CW, Rose JK, *et al.*, 2003. Characterization of a tomato protein that inhibits a xyloglucan-specific endoglucanase. *Plant Journal* **34**, 327-38.
- 24. Roper MC, 2006. The characterization and role of *Xylella fastidiosa* plant cell wall degrading enzymes and exopolysaccharide in Pierce's disease of grapevine. *Ph.D. Thesis* University of California, Davis, CA.
- 25. Roper MC, Greve LC, Warren JG, Labavitch JM, Kirkpatrick BC, 2007. *Xylella fastidiosa* requires polygalacturonase for colonization and pathogenicity in Vitis vinifera grapevines. *Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions* **20**, 411-9.
- 26. Simpson AJ, Reinach FC, Arruda P, *et al.*, 2000. The genome sequence of the plant pathogen *Xylella fastidiosa*. The Xylella fastidiosa Consortium of the Organization for Nucleotide Sequencing and Analysis. *Nature* **406**, 151-9.
- 27. Slonczewski J.L. and Foster, J.W., 2014. Microbiology: An Evolving Science. W.W. Norton and Company, New York, NY.
- Sun Q., Greve LC, Labavitch JM 2011. Polysaccharide compositions of intervessel pit membranes contribute to Pierce's Disease resistance of grapevines. *Plant Physiology* 155, 1976-87.