

# INTERIM REPORT FOR CDFA AGREEMENT NUMBER 15-0218-SA: CHARACTERIZATION OF THE LIPOPOLYSACCHARIDE-MEDIATED RESPONSE TO *XYLELLA FASTIDIOSA* INFECTION IN GRAPEVINE.

## Principal Investigator:

Caroline Roper, Ph.D.  
Department of Plant Pathology and  
Microbiology  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521  
mcroper@ucr.edu

## Co-principal Investigator:

Dario Cantu, Ph.D.  
Department of Viticulture and  
Enology  
University of California  
Davis, California 95616  
dacantu@ucdavis.edu

## Cooperator:

Hailing Jin, Ph.D.  
Department of Plant Pathology and  
Microbiology  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521  
hailing.jin@ucr.edu

**Reporting Period:** The results reported here are from work conducted July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017.


## ABSTRACT

*Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*) is a gram-negative, fastidious xylem-limited bacterium that causes scorching diseases in many economically important plant species like Pierce's Disease (PD) of grapevine. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) covers most the cell surface of most Gram-negative bacteria and is a well-described pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) that elicits host basal defense responses in plants. In our aim to understand how LPS mediates host-pathogen interaction in PD, we performed transcriptome profiling and histological analysis of grapevines inoculated with either *Xf*, containing a wild type LPS molecule, or *wzy* mutant, containing a truncated LPS with no O antigen. Also, we investigated grapevine tolerance to *Xf* by priming plants with LPS and then challenging with *Xf*. RNA-seq and histological analysis show the grapevine defense system can recognize a truncated LPS molecule, resulting in a strong oxidative burst that was absent or muted in plants inoculated with wild type *X. fastidiosa*. In addition, pre-treatment of vines with purified LPSs confer tolerance to a subsequent *X. fastidiosa* challenge. Finally, we present the chemical structure of the *Xf* wild type O antigen.

## INTRODUCTION

*Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*), a Gram-negative fastidious bacterium, is the causal agent of Pierce's disease (PD) of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*) and several other economically important diseases (Chatterjee *et al.*, 2008; Varela, 2001). *Xf* is limited to the xylem tissue of the plant host and is transmitted by xylem-feeding insects, mainly sharpshooters. Extensive xylem vessel blockage occurs in infected vines (Sun *et al.*, 2013), and symptoms include leaf scorch, raisining of berries, stunting, and vine death. PD has devastated some viticulture areas in California,

Our ongoing study confirms that lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is a major virulence factor for *Xf*. LPS comprises approximately 75% of the Gram-negative bacterial cell surface, making it the most dominant macromolecule displayed on the cell surface (Caroff & Karibian, 2003; Foppen *et al.*, 2010; Madigan, 2012). LPS is a tripartite glycolipid that is generally comprised of a highly-conserved lipid A, an oligosaccharide core, and a variable O antigen polysaccharide (Whitfield, 1995) (**Fig. 1**). We demonstrated that compositional alterations to the outermost portion of the LPS, the O antigen, significantly affected the adhesive properties of *Xf*, consequently



affecting biofilm formation and virulence (Clifford *et al.*, 2013). Depletion of the 2-linked rhamnose in the O antigen locks *Xf* in the initial surface attachment phase and prevents biofilm maturation (Clifford *et al.*, 2013). In addition, we demonstrated that truncation of the LPS molecule severely compromises insect acquisition of *Xf*

(Rapicavoli *et al.*, 2015). We coupled these studies with quantification of the electrostatic properties of the sharpshooter foregut to better understand the interface between the *Xf* cell and the insect. This project tested our additional hypothesis that the *Xf* LPS molecule acts as a Pathogen-Associated Molecular Pattern, and the long chain O antigen serves to shield *Xf* from host recognition, thereby modulating the host's perception of *Xf* infection (Rapicavoli *et al.*, Submitted).


Contrary to the role of LPS in promoting bacterial survival *in planta*, the immune systems of plants have also evolved to recognize the LPS structure and mount a basal defense response to counteract bacterial invasion (Dow *et al.*, 2000; Newman *et al.*, 2000). LPS is considered a Pathogen-Associated Molecular Pattern (PAMP). PAMPs, also known as Microbe-Associated Molecular Patterns (MAMPs), are conserved molecular signatures that are often structural components of the pathogen (ie. LPS, flagellin, fungal chitin, etc.). These PAMPs are recognized by the host as "non-self" and can be potent elicitors of basal defense responses. This line of defense against invading pathogens is referred to as PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) and represents the initial layer of defense against pathogen ingress (Nicaise *et al.*, 2009). PTI is well studied in both mammalian and plant hosts. However, little is known about the mechanisms involved in perception of LPS in grapevine, particularly the *Xf* LPS PAMP. *Xf* is introduced by its insect vector directly into the xylem; a non-living tissue, which cannot mount a defense response on its own. However, in other systems, profound changes do occur in the adjacent living parenchyma cells upon infection, suggesting that these cells communicate with the xylem and can recognize the presence of a pathogen (Hilaire *et al.*, 2001). The plant immune system can recognize several regions of the LPS structure, including the conserved lipid A and core polysaccharide components (Newman *et al.*, 2007; Silipo *et al.*, 2005). Bacteria can also circumvent the host's immune system by altering the structure of their LPS molecule. Clearly, *Xf* has evolved a mechanism to circumvent the host basal defense response as it successfully colonizes and causes serious disease in grapevine. Our working hypothesis is that during the compatible interaction between *Xf* and a susceptible grapevine host, the bacterium's long chain, rhamnose-rich O antigen shields the conserved lipid A and core-oligosaccharide regions of the LPS molecule from being recognized by the grapevine immune system, providing an opportunity for it to subvert basal defense responses and establish itself in the host.

To explore the role of LPS as a shield against basal defense responses in grapevine, we investigated elicitation of an oxidative burst, an early marker of basal defense responses, *ex vivo* in *V. vinifera* 'Cabernet Sauvignon' leaf disks exposed to either wild type *Xf* or *wzy* mutant cells. When we examined ROS production in response to whole cells, *wzy* mutant cells (in which lipid A-core is exposed) induced a stronger and more prolonged oxidative burst in grapevine leaf disks than did wild type *Xf*. Specifically, ROS production peaked at around 12 minutes and lasted nearly 90 minutes. Wild type *Xf* cells (in which lipid A-core would be shielded by O antigen) failed to produce a sharp peak as compared with the *wzy* mutant, and ROS production plateaued much sooner (around 60 minutes) (data not shown). To determine if the intensity of the *wzy*-induced ROS burst in the xylem had direct antimicrobial activity against *X. fastidiosa*, we performed an H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> survival assay. Previously, we demonstrated that the *wzy* mutant was more sensitive to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> stress, but survival rates in an oxidative environment were not quantified in that study. We chose a final concentration of 100µM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> based on the lower threshold of ROS detected by the DAB staining method (DAB staining detects H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the range of 100µM – 10mM). In addition, to mirror the kinetics of peak ROS production seen *in vivo*, we exposed the cells to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for ten minutes. Due to the increased sensitivity of the mutant cells to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, we hypothesized that the *wzy* mutant-induced oxidative burst is lethal to *wzy* mutant cells. Indeed, only 10.06% of *wzy* mutant cells survived, compared with 50.21% of wild type cells (**Fig. 2**).

In addition to the role of LPS in promoting bacterial infection, pre-treatment of plants with LPS can prime the defense system resulting in an enhanced response to subsequent pathogen attack. This defense-related memory is called "priming" and stimulates the plant to initiate a faster and/or stronger response against future invading pathogens (Conrath, 2011). Pepper leaves pre-treated with LPS isolated from incompatible (non-virulent) xanthomonads had enhanced expression of several pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins after being challenged with virulent *X. campestris* pv. *vesicatoria* (Newman *et al.*, 2000). We hypothesized that pre-treatment with LPS isolated from *Xf* would result in an increase in the grapevine's tolerance to *Xf* by stimulating the host basal defense response. Our *ex vivo* data showing that both wild type and *wzy* mutant LPS elicit an oxidative burst, an early marker of defense that can potentiate into systemic resistance, in grapevine leaf disks support this hypothesis. To determine if the primed state affects the development of PD symptoms, we documented disease progress in plants that were pre-treated with either wild type or *wzy* LPS and then challenged with *Xf* either 4 or 24 hours later.

Notably, we observed a decrease in PD severity in vines pre-treated with *Xf* LPS and then challenged with *Xf* (Fig. 3).


**Figure 2. Hydrogen peroxide survival :** incubated with 100 $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 10 min, mutant cells were significantly lower than only 10.06% of *wzy* mutant cells survive biological replications.



**Figure 3. Pierce's Disease symptom severity in grapevines primed with purified *X. fastidiosa* LPS.** Average disease ratings of *V. vinifera* 'Cabernet Sauvignon' grapevines pre-treated with wild type or *wzy* mutant LPS (50 $\mu$ g/mL), then challenged at 4 h or 24 h post-LPS treatment with live *X. fastidiosa* cells. Disease ratings were taken at 12 weeks post-challenge. The LPS pre-treated plants are significantly attenuated in symptom development, compared with plants that did not receive pre-treatment. Graph represents the mean of 24 samples per treatment. Bars indicate standard error of the mean. Treatments with different letters over the bars were statistically different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

We are testing our working hypothesis that the grapevine is recognizing the conserved core/lipid A portions of the *Xf* LPS molecule and that the long chain O antigen serves to camouflage the rest of the LPS PAMP (the core-lipid A complex) from being recognized by the host innate immune system, allowing *Xf* to circumvent the innate immune response and successfully colonize the host. We have completed the global RNA-seq-based transcriptome profiling facet of this project where we sequenced the transcriptomes of grapevines treated with wild type, *wzy* mutant cells, or 1XPBS buffer. PTI usually causes major transcriptional reprogramming of the plant cells within hours after perception (Dow *et al.*, 2000; Tao *et al.*, 2003), so our initial experiments were targeted toward early time points during the infection process (0, 8, and 24 hours post-inoculation). Thus far, the RNA-seq data demonstrate that the grapevine is activating defense responses that are distinct to each treatment and time point (Fig. 4A). For example, enrichment analysis of *wzy*-responsive genes at 8 hpi identified predominant biological processes associated with cellular responses to biotic stimulus and oxidative stress (Fig. 4B). This included a significant increase in the production of thioredoxins, glutaredoxins, and other ROS-scavenging enzymes involved in antioxidant defense. In addition, there was high expression of genes involved in the production of phytoalexins (e.g. stilbene synthase), antimicrobial peptides (e.g. thaumatin), and PR genes. In

contrast, wild type-responsive genes at this time point were enriched primarily in responses to abiotic or general stresses (i.e., drought, oxidative, temperature, and wounding stresses) and were not directly related to immune responses (**Fig. 4B**). Notably, by 24 h post-inoculation, overall transcriptional profiles of both *wzy* and wild type-inoculated vines shifted dramatically. Grape genes in *wzy* mutant-inoculated vines were no longer enriched for immune-specific responses, and we speculate that this is due to the effective O antigen-modulated oxidative burst. In contrast, genes of wild type-inoculated plants were strongly enriched for immune responses (**Fig. 4C**). We hypothesize that at 8 h, the high molecular weight O antigen is still effectively shielding wild type cells, therefore causing a delay in plant immune recognition. However, by 24 h post-inoculation, the production of ethylene-induced plant cell wall modifications, compounded by progressing bacterial colonization and the potential release of DAMPs via bacterial enzymatic degradation of plant cell walls, has triggered grapevine immune responses, and the plant is now fighting an active infection. This indicates that the O antigen does, indeed, serve to shield the cells from host recognition, allowing them to establish an infection (Rapicavoli *et al.*, *Submitted*).



**Figure 4. Grapevine responses to early infections by *wzy* mutant and wild type *X. fastidiosa*.** (A) Up-regulated grape genes ( $P < 0.05$ ) in response to *wzy* mutant or wild type bacteria at 8 and 24 hours post-inoculation (hpi) when compared to the wounded control (c). Genes are classified into nine groups (I - IX) based on their expression pattern. The colors in the heat map represent the Z score of the normal counts per gene, and black boxes represent gene groups in each treatment that exhibited the most pronounced differences in expression at each time point. (B) Enriched grape functional pathways ( $P < 0.05$ ) among genes up-regulated during *wzy* (Group I) or wt (Group IV) infections at 8 hpi. (C) Enriched grape functional subcategories ( $P < 0.05$ ) among genes up-regulated during *wzy* (Group II) or wt (Group V) infections at 24 hpi. Colored stacked bars represent individual pathways. Red boxes highlight functions of interest (\*) that are enriched in one treatment, but not enriched in the other at each time point.

## OBJECTIVES

1. Examination of the temporal response to *Xf* lipopolysaccharide
2. Examination of *Xf* lipopolysaccharide-mediated defense priming in grapevine
3. Linking *Xf* lipopolysaccharide structure to function

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


### Objective 1: Examination of the temporal response to *Xf* lipopolysaccharide.

In addition to initiating PTI, PAMPs are known to induce systemic resistance (Erbs & Newman, 2003; Mishina & Zeier, 2007). Moreover, when used as a pre-treatment, LPS can systemically elevate resistance to bacterial pathogens in *A. thaliana* (Mishina & Zeier, 2007), a phenomenon known as defense priming. It has been documented that a pathogen does not necessarily have to cause HR to elicit systemic resistance in the form of systemic acquired resistance (Mishina & Zeier, 2007). There is substantial experimental evidence indicating that *Xf* must achieve systemic colonization in the xylem to elicit PD symptoms. In fact, mutants that stay localized at the original point of infection do not cause disease (Roper *et al.*, 2005), and those that can move more rapidly throughout the xylem are hypervirulent (Newman *et al.*, 2004, Guilhabert & Kirkpatrick, 2005). Because we have observed a decrease in PD symptom severity following exposure to *Xf* LPS, we hypothesize that LPS may be involved in eliciting a downstream systemic defense response that prevents movement of *Xf* within the xylem network. This objective tests this hypothesis and further explores the spatial persistence of the observed tolerance to PD in grapevines exposed to wild type vs. *wzy* mutant cells using transcriptional profiling of petioles distal to the initial inoculation site. In addition, we examined the temporal persistence of the elicited defense response by testing later time points in the infection process than in our initial study. This will provide much sought after information about which defense pathways, and possibly defense-related hormones, are induced by the *Xf* LPS PAMP in grapevine and, most importantly, may identify facets of those pathways that can be manipulated for PD control.

### **Objective 1a. Transcriptome profiling.**

The application of transcriptome profiling approaches using next generation RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) allows us to profile the expression of nearly all genes in a tissue simultaneously and monitor the activation or suppression of specific defense pathways at the genome scale. In this Objective, we shifted our focus to characterize the grapevine transcriptional response at systemic locations distal to the POI and at longer time points than our previous study where we looked at early time points of 0, 8, and 24 hours post-inoculation. This tests our hypotheses that (i) truncated *Xf* O antigen is more readily perceived by the grapevine immune system, allowing the plant to mount an effective defense response to *Xf* and (ii) that the initial perception of the truncated LPS, belonging to the *wzy* mutant, is propagated into a prolonged and systemic response.

In the summer of 2015, individual vines were inoculated with either wild type *Xf*, the *wzy* mutant, or with 1X PBS buffer (Clifford *et al.*, 2013). We inoculated three vines for each treatment. The cells were delivered mechanically by inoculating a 40 $\mu$ l drop of a 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml bacterial cell suspension into the main stem near the base of the plant. Petioles were harvested at two different locations on the plant: at the POI (local) and 5 nodes above the POI (systemic). We harvested at 4 different time points post-inoculation: time 0 = petiole harvested just before pre-treatment, 48 hours, 1 week, and 4 weeks post-inoculation. All harvested petioles were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, prior to RNA extraction. RNA was extracted from the harvested petioles and sequencing libraries were generated from the polyadenylated plant messenger RNA and sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. Transcript expression levels were determined by alignment of the sequencing reads using the STAR aligner (Dobin *et al.*, 2013) onto the PN40024 grape genome reference. Unmapped reads were *de novo* assembled using Trinity (Grabherr *et al.*, 2011) to identify transcripts that were not present in the reference genome. Statistical inference using DESeq2 (Anders & Huber, 2010) was applied to determine with confidence the subset of genes that were up- or down-regulated by LPS treatment (Cantu *et al.*, 2011b). Grape genes with significant differential expression were grouped into 26 clusters according to their patterns of expression across time points (**Fig. 5**). Local tissue of *wzy*-infected plants induced genes enriched in cell wall metabolism pathways, specifically pectin modification, at 4 weeks post-inoculation (**Fig. 5A**). This is a stark contrast with wild type-inoculated vines, in which these pathways were up-regulated as early as 8 h post-inoculation. This likely explains why this pathway is not enriched in local tissue of wild type-inoculated vines at these later time points. The induction of SA-mediated signaling pathways in *wzy*-inoculated vines was further supported by the presence of 4 genes, including two Enhanced disease susceptibility 1 (EDS1) genes, *VIT\_17s0000g07370* and *VIT\_17s0000g07420*. EDS genes are known defense genes associated with the SA pathway and have been implicated in grapevine defenses against powdery mildew. The consistent enrichment and up-regulation of SA-associated genes (and thus, the maintenance of the signal), including the presence of PR-1 and other salicylic acid-responsive genes at 8 h post-inoculation, strongly suggests that the plant is preventing the development of infections by *wzy* cells via an SA-dependent pathway. In wild type vines, consistent enrichment of JA-associated genes was further supported by the presence of 9 genes functioning in the metabolism of alpha-linolenic acid, which serves as an important precursor in the biosynthesis of JA (**Fig. 5A**).



**Figure 5. Transcriptomic analysis of late grapevine responses to *X. fastidiosa* wild type and *wzy* mutant strains in local and systemic tissue.** Enriched grape functional pathways ( $P < 0.05$ ) in differentially expressed (DE) gene clusters representing local (A) or systemic (B) responses to *Xf* inoculation. Only enriched pathways related to grapevine immune responses and that were unique to wild type (wt) or *wzy* mutant inoculations are depicted. Colored stacked bars represent individual pathways. (C) Patterns of expression of gene clusters enriched in functional pathways with biological relevance. Lines represent the medoids for each cluster. Dots represent expression fold changes of each medoid (log<sub>2</sub>) at a given time point post-inoculation (in order: 48 h, 1 week, and 4 weeks) when compared to the wounded control.

Enrichment analyses of *wzy*-responsive genes in systemic tissue included drought stress response pathways, namely genes enriched in ABA signaling (seen at 48 h post-inoculation) (Fig. 5B). Subsequently at 1 week post-inoculation, the enrichment of lignin metabolism genes is likely part of the vine's stepwise response to this abiotic stress. This is in contrast with wild type-inoculated vines in which these pathways were enriched at 8 h post-inoculation. Enrichment analysis of wild type-responsive genes in systemic tissue included regulation and signaling pathways, including MAPK and G protein signaling (Fig. 5B). Furthermore, genes enriched in ERF transcription factors were up-regulated at 4 weeks post-inoculation, demonstrating that activation of ethylene-mediated signaling is perpetuated during the infection process. Notably, beginning at 1 week, genes enriched in JA-mediated signaling pathways were up-regulated in systemic tissue, and expression continued to increase at 4 weeks post-inoculation. This consistent enrichment and up-regulation provides further support for the role of JA in grapevine responses to wild type *Xf*. Our findings establish that this phytohormone pathway is initiated within the first 24 h post-inoculation, and the signal is consistently maintained in both local and systemic tissue. A total of 7 genes enriched in callose biosynthesis were up-regulated at 4 weeks post-inoculation, in response to wild type cells, which is over half of the total callose-related genes in the genome. The consistent up-regulation of

these genes (beginning at 24 h post-inoculation) establishes this structural barrier as an important plant defense response to *Xf* infection. Overall, the RNAseq data strongly indicate that during the days and weeks post-inoculation with *wzy* mutant cells, grapevines are no longer fighting an active infection. We hypothesize that the intense *wzy*-induced oxidative burst during the first 24 h post-inoculation, in combination with other pathogenesis-related responses, had a profound antimicrobial effect on invading *wzy* cells. These responses likely eliminated a large majority of *wzy* mutant populations, and the plant no longer sensed these cells as a biotic threat. In contrast, following recognition of wild type *X. fastidiosa* cells at 24 h post-inoculation, grapevines began responding to an active threat and initiated defense responses, such as the production of phytoalexins and other antimicrobial compounds. Furthermore, these vines were actively trying to prevent systemic spread of the pathogen through the production of structural barriers, such as tyloses and callose.


#### **Objective 1b. Histological examination of grapevines inoculated with *Xf* wild type or the O antigen mutant.**

To corroborate the enrichment of plant cell wall metabolic pathways seen in the transcriptomic data, we performed histological examination of stem tissue in grapevines inoculated with *Xf* wild type or *wzy* mutant or 1XPBS control. Vascular occlusions are commonly produced by plants in response to infection with vascular pathogens. Tyloses are outgrowths of the xylem parenchyma cell into the vessel lumen and are abundant in PD-susceptible grapevines. In fact, in susceptible grape genotypes, tyloses can occur in over 60% of the vessels in a transverse section of vascular tissue (Sun *et al.*, 2013). Tylose formation is considered a late response to *Xf*. Thus, we examined tylose formation in grapevines at 18 weeks post-inoculation with wild type or *wzy* mutant *X. fastidiosa* cells, compared with 1X PBS control vines. *Wzy* mutant-inoculated vines rated a 2 or below, representing a few leaves exhibiting marginal necrosis; Wild type-inoculated vines rated over 3, representing over half of the vine exhibiting foliar necrosis; and 1X PBS controls rated 0, showing no PD symptoms (**Fig. 6 panel A**). We observed pronounced differences in the abundance of tyloses in response to wild type vs *wzy* mutant-inoculated plants. In wild type-inoculated vines, tyloses were present in nearly all xylem vessels (**Fig. 7 panel B**), and vessels were often completely occluded with multiple tyloses (**Fig. 6 panel C**). In contrast, *wzy* mutant-inoculated vines contained very few tyloses. In the case where a tylose was present, it was often one large tylose that only partially occluded the vessel. All control vines, inoculated with 1X PBS, were free of occlusions. In addition to tyloses, the plant vascular tissue can initiate additional reinforcement of the cell walls to limit bacterial growth in infected plants. This includes callose and suberin deposition. Light microscopy of infected stems revealed widespread deposition of callose in the phloem tissue of *Xf* wild type-infected plants (**Fig. 7, arrow**), suggesting communication between the xylem and phloem regarding the presence of *X. fastidiosa*. This is the first evidence of callose production in grapevine in response to *Xf*. In addition, we also provide the first evidence of a pronounced deposition of suberin, associated specifically with tylose-occluded vessels (**Fig. 7\***). In contrast, *wzy* mutant-infected plants showed little to no evidence of either callose or suberin in the vascular tissue, and these plants looked similar to 1X PBS control plants.

#### **Objective 1c. Global sRNA profiling.**

This portion of the study is being conducted in close collaboration with Dr. Hailing Jin (UCR), a renowned expert in the field of plant sRNAs and their role in plant defense against pathogen attack. We propose to characterize the endogenous grapevine sRNAs that are elicited by *Xf* invasion in an LPS-mediated fashion. Our goal is to identify sRNAs in grapevines that are up-regulated during *Xf* invasion. More specifically, we are focusing our study on sRNAs that are a part of propagating the defense response elicited by the *Xf* LPS PAMP. sRNAs have been shown to be long range signals involved in plant defense against pathogens (Sarkies & Miska, 2014) and can cross graft unions (Goldschmidt, 2014). We envision that, in a future study, the identified sRNA(s) could potentially be exploited for disease control by transforming rootstocks to produce the sRNA for delivery into the scion. Construction and sequencing of sRNA libraries: We have isolated sRNAs from the petioles harvested from the same plants that were inoculated in Obj. 1a, using an optimized Trizole extraction protocol that allows for isolation of mRNA as well as of sRNAs, for RNA-seq and small RNA-seq analyses, respectively (Cantu *et al.*, 2010). sRNA libraries were produced using the TruSeq Small RNA Sample Preparation Kit and subjected to multiplex sequencing using an Illumina HiSeq2500 platform. Adapters were trimmed using CLC Genomics Workbench. Approximately 116 million RNA reads with length ranging from 18 to 26nt were obtained. In all samples, reads showed a similar and expected pattern of size distribution with peaks at 21 and 24 nt. These reads corresponded to an average of 1 million of unique small RNA sequences per sample. Protein coding gene targets in the *V. vinifera* PN40024 genome could be identified unambiguously for 20% of the small RNA sequences. An average of 4,557 gene targets per sample were identified. The small RNA sequences included 134 of the known *Vitis* microRNAs. As recently reported by Kullán *et al.* (2015).

<http://bmcgenomics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12864-015-1610-5>), the vvi-miR166 family was the most abundant representing about 94% of the total expression counts. These results show that we can successfully extract, sequence and annotate small RNAs from grape petioles. Further work will be carried out to identify small RNAs that accumulate differentially in plants inoculated with the different *Xf* strains.



**Figure 6. Tylose development in PD-infected grapevines.** Images represent grapevines at 18 weeks post-inoculation, treated with wild type *X. fastidiosa* cells, *wzy* mutant cells, or 1X PBS buffer. **(A)** Representative images of PD progress prior to histological examination. **(B)** Micrograph showing tylose production in cross sections of grapevine xylem (brightfield Toluidine Blue O). **(C)** Close-up of xylem vessels showing complete occlusion with multiple tyloses (\*) in wild type-inoculated vines. A few small tyloses also occurred in these vines (closed arrowheads). Tyloses were largely absent in the xylem vessels of *wzy* mutant-inoculated vines. No tyloses were present in the stems of 1X PBS-inoculated vines.

**Objective 2: Examination of *Xf* lipopolysaccharide-mediated defense priming in grapevine.** Pre-treatment of plants with LPS can prime the defense system resulting in an enhanced response to subsequent pathogen attack. This phenomenon is referred to as priming and stimulates the plant to initiate a more rapid and robust response against future invading pathogens (Conrath, 2011). In this objective, we hypothesize that pre-treatment with LPS isolated from *XfO* antigen mutants results in a difference in the grapevine's tolerance to *Xf* by stimulating the host basal defense response.

#### **Objective 2a. Temporal persistence of LPS-mediated defense priming.**

We inoculated 20 grapevines/treatment/time point with 50 µg/ml of either wild type or *wzy* mutant LPS re-suspended in diH<sub>2</sub>O. Vines inoculated with diH<sub>2</sub>O alone served as the negative controls for the experiment. Based on our previous greenhouse trials, we have found that 50 µg/ml is a suitable concentration to elicit an oxidative burst and to potentiate defense priming in grapevines. This is also in agreement with studies performed in *A. thaliana* (Zeidler *et al.* 2004). Thus, we used the same LPS concentration for this Objective. The LPS was delivered by needle-inoculating the LPS preparation into the main stem at the base of the plant. We then challenged 15 of the vines for each treatment by inoculating with a suspension of live wild type *Xf* cells in 1X PBS at either 4 hours, 24 hours, 48 hours, 1 week, or 4 weeks post-LPS treatment. The remaining 5 vines/treatment/time point were inoculated with 1X PBS to serve as negative controls. We included the additional later time points (48 h, 1 wk, and 4 wk) because we also wanted to establish the duration of the priming effect following treatment with LPS. These inoculations were performed using the pin-prick method as previously described (Hill & Purcell, 1995). The live wild type cells were inoculated near the point of the original LPS inoculation. Plants were visually examined for PD symptom development throughout the infection process and rated on an arbitrary disease rating scale of 0-5 where 0=healthy and 5=dead or dying (Guilhabert & Kirkpatrick, 2005). Data was consistent with the previous year for the 4 and 24 h time points, but we did not see significant attenuation of PD symptoms in the remaining later points. This indicates that the primed state may be transient,

and it is possible that these plants may need repeated applications of LPS throughout the trial to help maintain the primed state. We plan to conduct a future experiment examining the efficacy of repeated applications of LPS on the development of PD. Furthermore, enumeration of bacterial populations in both local (POI) and systemic (5 nodes above POI) tissue at 4 weeks post-challenge with *Xf* cells was consistent with the previous year, in which titer was not significantly different between treatments. Because we do see a difference in disease progress in the earlier time points, there may be differences in other host defense responses, such as the production of tyloses and other host-derived vascular obstructions.

### **Objective 2b. Examination of persistence of defense priming through dormancy.**


In the fall of 2015, we pruned back all the grapevines inoculated in this Objective and allowed them to go dormant. We examined the temporal phenology of these grapevines throughout the winter months and into the spring of 2016. The premise of this part of the objective relates to the normal phenology of a grapevine, which is impacted by infection with pathogens. Typically, grapevines severely infected with PD will have abnormal leaf emergence the following spring and will remain stunted throughout the growing season. We hypothesized that the grapevines that did not receive LPS pre-treatment would have poor leaf emergence and be severely stunted. Conversely, we hypothesized that grapevines pre-treated or “primed” with LPS would have better growth and vigor as compared to those that did not receive pre-treatment. We had originally planned to score the grapevines in the spring on a scale of 1-3 where 1=vigorous leaf emergence; 2= delayed leaf emergence and 3=poor/no leaf emergence. Once the negative control plants (those challenged with only 1X PBS) had passed the phenological stage of leaf emergence and exhibited PD symptoms, we had planned to revert to rating them on the established PD symptom (described in Obj. 2a). While the vines produced new shoots following the winter months, we did not observe the difference in leaf emergence or vigor between the treatments. It is possible that the vines were pruned too severely, removing most of *X. fastidiosa* inoculum.

### **Objective 3: Linking *Xf* lipopolysaccharide structure to function.**

We endeavored to obtain structural data for both wild type and the truncated *wzy* mutant LPS, particularly the structure of O-chain by using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. These experiments were conducted in close collaboration with the Complex Carbohydrate Research Center (CCRC) at the University of Georgia, Athens GA. Through glycosyl composition analysis (trimethylsilyl methyl glycosides-TMS, alditol acetates-AA) (York, 1985) of the LPS and composition and linkage analysis (Partially Methylated Alditol Acetates-PMMAA (Ciucanu & Kerek, 1984)) of O-specific polysaccharide, the CCRC has confirmed that the *Xf* wild type high molecular weight O antigen is comprised primarily of 2-linked rhamnose, verifying previously reported *Xf* LPS compositions (Clifford *et al.*, 2013). They have also confirmed that the *wzy* mutant LPS is lacking the high molecular weight O antigen present in wild type cells and appears to be capped with a single rhamnose residue. The CCRC has recently completed extensive isolation and purification of core and O-chain polysaccharides. Knowledge of the structure of the LPS is critical to understanding which portions contain the elicitor activity. The carbohydrate portion of LPS (core+O-chain) was released from lipid A by mild acid hydrolysis, and the O-chain was purified by size exclusion and other chromatography techniques. A structure of the polymer was determined via NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry, and absolute configuration of sugars (D-, L-) in the polymer was determined by GC-MS (Gerwig *et al.*, 1978).

To describe structural properties of O antigen in wild type and *wzy* mutant LPS, the polysaccharide moiety (O antigen + core) was liberated from LPS (lipid A) and resolved based on molecular size. Comparative analysis of SEC profiles indicated different distributions of polysaccharides in both strains. In the wild type strain, most of the polysaccharide (40.8% total column load) was eluted in Fraction III (average molecular mass of approximately 10-20kD) and a remainder (24.8% of total column load) in Fraction IV. In contrast, most of the *wzy* polysaccharide (55.0% total PS column load) was eluted in Fraction IV (average molecular mass below 10kDa), which was only present in low quantity in the wild type parent. This fraction likely represented different molecular size forms of core oligosaccharide or truncated core-O antigen polysaccharide. Fraction I that was eluted in void (Vo) column was due to traces of unhydrolyzed, intact LPS. Monosaccharide analysis, including the determination of absolute configurations of O antigen polysaccharides from the wild type strain (SEC fraction III), confirmed the presence of L-rhamnose and D-xylose in an 8:1 molar ratio. Based on methylation analysis and 1D/2D NMR data, we present the first evidence that the major polysaccharide present in *Xf* wild type O antigen is a linear  $\alpha$ 1-2 linked rhamnan. We also have evidence that *Xf* wild type cells maintain a heterogeneous population of O polysaccharides. Combining all analytical data, a repeat unit of the second polymer consists of  $\alpha$ -L-rhamnan

backbone substituted with either two or one  $\beta$ -d-Xyl residues. Additional analysis will need to be conducted to determine if these substitutions are autonomous LPS molecules on the cell surface or if they are linked to the same core oligosaccharide as the primary linear  $\alpha$ 1-2 linked rhamnan structure.



**Figure 7. Callose and suberin deposition in PD-infected grapevines.** Images represent grapevines at 18 weeks post-inoculation, treated with wild type *X. fastidiosa* cells, *wzy* mutant cells, or 1X PBS buffer. Wild type-inoculated plants exhibited widespread callose deposition in the phloem tissue (appears as blue color, indicated by arrow). In addition, there was pronounced deposition of suberin in xylem vessels (indicated by gold color), especially in vessels with multiple tyloses (\*). No callose or suberin was present in the stems of 1X PBS-inoculated vines.

## PUBLICATIONS PRODUCED AND PRESENTATIONS MADE

### Publications:

Rapicavoli, J. N., Blanco-Ulate, B., Figueroa-Balderas, R., Morales-Cruz, A., Cantu, D., and Roper, M. C. *Xylella fastidiosa* O antigen delays plant immune recognition of a phytopathogenic bacterium. *Submitted*.

Rapicavoli, J. N., Kinsinger, N., Perring, T. M., Backus, E. A., Shugart, H. J., Walker, S., & Roper, M. C. (2015). O Antigen Modulates Insect Vector Acquisition of the Bacterial Plant Pathogen *Xylella fastidiosa*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 81(23): 8145-8154 (**AEM Spotlight and Journal Cover Photo**)

### Oral Presentations:

Jeannette Rapicavoli. "O antigen functions as a shield during the *Xylella fastidiosa*-grapevine interaction." American Phytopathological Society Annual Meeting 2016, Tampa, FL (August 2016) – **Special Session Presentation, I.E. Melhus Award Graduate Student Symposium**

Jeannette Rapicavoli. "Zeta potential: utilizing surface charge to explore host-pathogen interactions." Center for Plant Cell Biology Symposium, UC Riverside (December 2015) – **Selected for best Tech Talk Award**

### Poster Presentations:

Jeannette N. Rapicavoli, Barbara Blanco-Ulate, Rosa Figueroa-Balderas, Abraham Morales-Cruz, Dario Cantu, and M. Caroline Roper. Contribution of cell surface carbohydrates to the *Xylella fastidiosa*-grapevine interaction. (2016). International Society for Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions Congress, Portland, OR – **IS-MPMI Shimamoto Travel Award**

Jeannette N Rapicavoli, Nichola Kinsinger, Thomas M. Perring, Crystal M. Johnston, Sharon Walker, and M. Caroline Roper. Lipopolysaccharide modulates the vector-pathogen interface of the bacterial phytopathogen, *Xylella fastidiosa*. (2015). American Phytopathological Society Annual Meeting, Pasadena, CA.

## RESEARCH RELEVANCE STATEMENT

RNA-seq and histological analysis show the grapevine defense system can recognize a truncated LPS molecule, resulting in a strong oxidative burst and a small production of tyloses. Grapevines produce many tyloses, phytoalexins and other antimicrobial compounds when inoculated with *Xf* wild type. In addition, PD symptoms are attenuated when grapevines are challenged with *Xf* 4 hrs. and 24 hrs. after LPS treatment, showing that the LPS molecule can prime defenses against *Xf*. Finally, we present the first evidence that the major polysaccharide present in *Xf* wild type O antigen is a linear  $\alpha$ 1-2 linked rhamnan. We show *Xf* high molecular O antigen is a critical virulence factor in PD. Our results provide unprecedented insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying host-pathogen interaction in Pierce's Disease.

## LAYPERSON SUMMARY

Successful plant pathogens must overcome plant immune responses to establish themselves and cause disease. Unlike many prominent bacterial phytopathogens, *Xylella fastidiosa* (*Xf*) does not possess quintessential Type III-secreted effectors that perform this function. Although there has been extensive research identifying *Xf* virulence factors, the mechanisms utilized by this pathogen to combat plant immune responses have remained largely obscure. We demonstrate that *Xf* utilizes the prominent O antigen surface carbohydrate to shield bacterial cell surface elicitors from the grapevine immune system, effectively delaying immune recognition. By altering O antigen structure, we identified unique grapevine transcriptional and phenotypic responses activated during *Xf* infection. These results provide novel insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying this host-pathogen interaction.

## STATUS OF FUNDS

The funding for this project is going towards supporting a graduate student, Claudia Castro in the Roper laboratory. In the Cantu laboratory, funds for this project are supporting the salary and benefits for a postdoctoral researcher. We anticipate spending the remainder of the salary, supply, services and greenhouse recharge funds associated with this project.

## INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

To date, there is no intellectual property associated with this project.

## REFERENCES CITED

Anders S., et al. (2010). *Genome Biol* 11, R106. Bergman, M., et al. (2006). *Nat Rev Microbiol* 4, 151-159. Blanco-Ulate B., et al. (2014). *Front Plant Sci* 5. Blanco-Ulate B., et al. (2013). *Front Plant Sci* 4, 142. Cantu D., et al. (2008). *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 105, 859-864. Cantu D., et al. (2009). *Plant Physiology* 150, 1434-1449. Cantu D., et al. (2011a). *PLoS One* 6. Cantu D., et al. (2011b). *BMC Genomics* 12. Cantu D., et al. (2010). *BMC Genomics* 11, 408. Caroff, et al. (2003). *Carbohydr Res* 338, 2431-2447. Chatterjee S., et al. (2008). *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 46, 243-271. Choi H.K., et al. (2013). *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 26, 643-57. Ciucanu, I. et al. (1984). *Carbohydrate Research* 131, 209-17. Clifford J.C., et al. 2013. *Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions*, In press. Conrath, U. (2011). *Trends Plant Sci* 16, 524-531. Desaki Y., et al. (2006). *Plant Cell Physiol* 47, 1530-1540. Dobin A., et al. (2013). *Bioinformatics* 29, 15-21. Dow, M., et al. (2000). *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 38, 241-261. Duerr, C. U., et al. (2009). *Plos Pathog* 5. Erbs, G. & Newman, M. A. (2003). *Mol Plant Pathol* 4, 421-425. Grabherr, M.G., et al. (2011). *Nature Biotechnology* 29, 644-52. Guerry, P., Szymanski et al. (2002). *Infection and Immunity* 70, 787-793. Guilhabert, M. R. & Kirkpatrick, B. C. (2005). *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 18, 856-868. Guo, H. J., et al. (2005). *Appl Environ Microb* 71, 7995-8001. Hilaire, E., et al. (2001). *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 14, 1411-1419. Katiyar-Agarwal, S., Jin, H., (2010). *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 48, 225-46. Lerouge, I. & Vanderleyden, J. (2002). *Fems Microbiol Rev* 26, 17-47. Meyer, A., et al. (2001). *Planta* 213, 214-22. Newman, K. L., et al. (2004). *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 101, 1737-1742. Newman, M. A., et al. (2000). *Mol Plant Pathol* 1, 25-31. Newman, M. A., et al. (2002). *Plant J* 29, 487-495. Newman, M. A., et al. (2007). *J Endotoxin Res* 13, 69-84. Nicaise, V., et al. (2009). *Plant Physiology* 150, 1638-1647. Rapicavoli, J. N., et al. (2015). *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 81(23), 8145-8154. Roper, M. C., et al. (2007). *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73, 7252-7258. Sarkies, P et al. (2014). *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 15, 525-35. Silipo, A., et al. (2005). *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 280, 33660-33668. Seo, J.K., et al. (2013). *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 26, 617-25. Sun Q, S. Y., et al.. (2013). *Plant Physiol*. Epub ahead of print. Valvano, M. A. (2003). *Front Biosci* 8, S452-S471. Wang, X. Y. & Quinn, P. J. (2010). *Prog Lipid Res* 49, 97-107. Weiberg, A et al. (2014). *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 52, 495-516. Whitfield, C. & Larue, K. (2008). *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* 15, 121-123. Zeidler, D., et al. (2004). *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 101, 15811-15816. Zhao, H., et al. (2013). *Molecular Plant*, 6: 301-310.

## FUNDING AGENCIES

Funding for this project was provided by the CDFA Pierce's Disease and Glassy-winged Sharpshooter Board.

