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Objectives:

1) Identification and characterization of low molecular weight signaling molecule (DSF) central to behavior of \( X.\ fastidiosa \)

2) Design and synthesize low molecular weight compounds capable of interfering with signal molecule function in \( X.\ fastidiosa \)

3) Evaluate efficacy of signal analogs for control of disease and insect transmission of \( X.\ fastidiosa \)

Summary of research accomplishments:
The movement of \( Xylella\ fastidiosa \) in plants and insect transmission is controlled by a small diffusible signal factor (DSF) that accumulates when cells are at high cell densities. Pathogen behavior can be dramatically changed and disease reduced by altering the abundance of DSF in plants in a form of “pathogen confusion”. To enable new strategies of pathogen confusion we have chemically characterized the DSF produced by grape strains of \( X.\ fastidiosa \) under the control of the \( rpfF \) gene as 2-Z-tetradecenoic acid (hereafter called C14-cis). The DSF is structurally related to, but distinct from, the DSF made by \( Xanthomonas\ campestris\ pv.\ campestris\ (Xcc) \). While an \( Xcc\ eng:gf\) based biosensor for DSF can detect as little as about 1 uM of DSF produced by \( Xcc \), more than about 100 uM of C14-cis is required for detection.
Biological assays for the presence of C14-cis are being developed in *X. fastidiosa*. As the expression of genes conferring type IV pili and thus twitching are suppressed while those involved in EPS production and production of various cell adhesins are induced in the presence of DSF in *X. fastidiosa*, we are developing *X. fastidiosa*-based bioassays for C14-cis using an *rpfF* mutant of *X. fastidiosa* that cannot produce DSF but which can respond to exogenous C14-cis. Twitching motility of the *rpfF* mutant was suppressed in the presence of as little as 1 uM exogenous C14 cis while cell-cell adhesiveness and cell-surface adhesiveness was enhanced. Preliminary results indicate that *X. fastidiosa* responds to C14-cis concentrations that are at least 10-fold less than that of the DSF produced by *Xcc* suggesting that indicating that the responsiveness of different DSF-producing bacteria is likely species specific; eg. they respond best to the DSF that they produce. Further bioassays based on immunological detection of cell surface adhesins or EPS as well as by quantifying mRNA associated with these genes in *X. fastidiosa* are being developed. Initial results suggest that the responsiveness of *X. fastidiosa* to C14-cis is dependent on the physiological state of cells; young, actively-growing cells appear to respond much less than older cells. Tests of fractionated cell extracts of wild-type cultures of *X. fastidiosa* suggest that other fatty acids produced by *X. fastidiosa* such as a branched chain, C13 fatty acid may also confer changes in expression of genes such as *hxfA* and *fimA*. We are currently exploring the relative activity of such molecules with C14 cis and also determining if such molecules cooperate in regulating gene expression in *X. fastidiosa*. Sufficiently large amounts of C14-cis, as well as the Sodium salt of this fatty acid which is highly water soluble, have been produced and have been used as topical and injected treatments of grape that have subsequently been challenge inoculated with *X. fastidiosa* for tests of disease control. We have designed and synthesized some DSF-analogs and will soon test them for their ability to alter pathogen gene expression and behavior in culture as well as control disease.

**Introduction:**

Research in the Lindow lab has provided considerable evidence for a diffusible signal factor (DSF) encoded by *rpfF*, which was considered likely to be a fatty acid derivative, that operates in quorum sensing and biofilm initiation in *Xylella fastidiosa* (**Xf**). **Xf rpfF**-mutants, blocked in production of DSF, exhibit increased virulence to plants, however, they are unable to be spread from plant to plant by their insect vectors. We found that **Xf** colonizes grapevine xylem extensively, with many vessels harboring relatively few **Xf** cells and only a minority blocked by **Xf**. We thus believe that **Xf** has evolved as an endophyte that colonizes the xylem; blockage of xylem would reduce its ability to multiply and thus the DSF-mediated virulence system in **Xf** constrains virulence when cell density increases to high levels in the plant. Preliminary data indicate that DSF perception is central to the expression of a large number of genes in **Xf**, including those that are involved in virulence to plants as well as acquisition by insect vectors. DSF accumulation results in the expression of several fimbrial and afimbrial adhesins, resulting in the cells becoming “sticky” in the plant. DSF accumulation also results in the suppression of expression of extracellular enzymes such as polygalacturonases and endoglucanases that are required for erosion of pit membranes and hence movement through the plant. As the pathogen apparently acquires substantial nutrition from the degradation products of the pit membranes, DSF thus suppresses the multiplication in vessels as cell numbers, and hence DSF, accumulate. **Xf** thus appears to coordinate its behavior in a plant to have both an “exploratory” phase (non-sticky cells highly expressing pit membrane-macerating enzymes) that enable it to spread widely through the plant but not be easily acquired and transmitted by insect vectors, that occurs until
cells start to become locally abundant. This phase is followed by an “acquisition phase” (sticky cells that no longer express extracellular enzymes) in a subset of the cells that are maximally transmitted by insects. Thus, because the plant lifestyle (as an endophyte) conflicts with its ability to adhere to insects and be transmitted the pathogen apparently takes on a “bi-polar” lifestyle of two different physiologies that are adapted for plant invasion and insect transmission, respectively. DSF serves as the switch coordinate the plant lifestyle and convert cells into the insect acquisition phase.

Our earlier work demonstrated that the severity of Pierce’s disease is reduced when the levels of DSF are increased in the plant in various ways. For example, the severity of Pierce’s disease is greatly reduced when DSF-producing bacteria are co-inoculated with Xf into grape or when DSF expression is enhanced in Xf itself. In a direct approach to altering DSF levels in plants we have transformed grape with the rpfF gene from Xf. Large numbers of clonal rpfF-expressing grapes have been produced and inoculated with Xf to test for susceptibility to Pierce’s disease. In very exciting results, the DSF-expressing grape are MUCH less susceptible to Pierce’s disease. The severity of disease was reduced over 10-fold compared to non-transformed plants. While Xf spread throughout non-transformed plants causing disease on petioles located great distances from the point of inoculation, disease was observed only very close to the point of inoculation in rpfF-expressing plants. A major goal of this proposal is to determine the structure of Xf DSF so that it and analogs can be evaluated in a strategy of control of diseases caused by Xf that rely on “pathogen confusion”. Synthetic DSF and analogs will be made and tested for efficacy in controlling Pierce’s disease by introducing these materials on or into the plant in various ways.

Summary of results:

Objective 1. Characterization of DSF. We determined the conditions that led to optimum production of DSF by Xf and surrogate hosts. An rpfC mutant of Xf that is de-repressed for DSF production was cultured in defined media for the harvest of signal molecules. We found that an RpfC- mutant of Xf produces about 11-fold more DSF than a wild type strain and that optimum production is on solidified media after growth for 10 days or more. We also expressed rpfF from Xf in E. coli and Erwinia herbicola strain 299R under strong promoters. The yield of DSF as detected in Xcc from these surrogate hosts was much larger than even from the rpfC mutant of Xf because of the much larger number of cells that could be produced in culture. We obtained more than 100-fold more DSF than normally produced by a comparable number of Xf cells in such surrogate hosts, and found that E. herbicola is a superior surrogate host compared to E. coli.

The scheme depicted in Figure 1 was used to isolate and characterize the DSF from Xf. Initial characterization of DSF was made from the large amounts of DSF produced in surrogate hosts. DSF was extracted from culture media using ethyl acetate partitioning. Among several fractions from separations of
materials made from these crude extracts made by flash column chromatography, the fraction containing organic acids showed higher activity in an Xcc DSF bioassay than other fractions above the background. The Xf/DSF isolated from reverse phase HPLC of the active fraction showed NMR spectral data consistent with a fatty acid containing one site of unsaturation. The DEPT 135 indicates that this is a straight chain acid with no branching. Spectral data suggest the Xf/DSF has a molecular formula of C14H26O2. The methyl ester was synthesized for GCMS analysis. The methyl ester has a molecular formula of C15H28O2 which means the Xf/DSF has a formula of C14H26O2. DSF was then extracted from Xf and used to verify that the compounds made by Xf and the surrogate hosts are the same. X. fastidiosa was grown on periwinkle wilt (PW) gel in solid culture. From 200 plates (~4 L volume), we were able to obtain 0.8 mg of the Xf/DSF. The gel medium was cut into 0.4 x 0.4 cm squares and sonicated with twice the volume of Ethyla acetate. Extracts were purified by flash column chromatography and HPLC as described above. The isolable active compound (DSF) from Xf was identified as 2-Z-tetradecenoic acid (hereafter called C14-cis). Isolates from an rpfF mutant of X. fastidiosa strain did not produce C14-cis. The putative Xf/DSF was synthesized using a Still-Gennari olefination followed by saponification (Figure 2). The spectral data for the acid isolated from E. herbicola match those obtained for the synthetic 2-Z-tetradecenoic acid.

Figure 2. Synthesis of C14-cis

Based on the finding that the DSF from the E. coli and E. herbicola surrogate hosts harboring X. fastidiosa rpfF, and that isolated from rpfC mutants of X. fastidiosa were the same and that all matched that the synthetic material, we tentatively conclude that DSF from X. fastidiosa is C14-cis (Figure 3). The putative DSF from X. fastidiosa differs somewhat from the DSF made by Xcc in that it has a longer, but unbranched acyl chain (Figure 4).

Figure 3. Putative structure of C14-cis, the DSF made by X. fastidiosa that can be detected in Xcc.

Figure 4. Structure of DSF made by Xanthomonas campestris.

The biological activity of C14 cis was assessed using the Xcc based biosensor Xcc 8523 (pKLN55). In this biosensor gfp fluorescence conferred by cells harboring an eng:gfp reporter
gene fusion that is responsive to Xcc DSF is measured. While the Xcc-based biosensor for DSF can detect as little as about 1 uM of DSF produced by Xcc, more than about 100 uM of C14-cis is required for detection. (Figure 5). It is important to note that the biological activity of C14-cis was much less than that of that of Xcc DSF; this was expected as earlier work had revealed that while the Xcc biosensor could detect DSF from X. fastidiosa the signal was much lower than from a corresponding amount of cells of Xcc. It is also clear that the trans form of the C14 enoic acid has no biological activity in this assay in Xcc (Figure 5).

Biological assays for the activity of C14-cis are also being developed in X. fastidiosa to ensure that the C14-cis molecule detected in Xcc is also biologically active in X. fastidiosa. As the expression of genes conferring type IV pili and thus twitching are suppressed while those involved in EPS production and production of various cell adhesins are induced in the presence of DSF in X. fastidiosa, we are developing bioassays for C14-cis using an rpfF mutant of X. fastidiosa that cannot produce DSF but which should respond to exogenous C14-cis. Twitching motility of the rpfF mutant was suppressed in the presence of as little as 1 uM exogenous C14 cis while cell-cell adhesiveness and cell-surface adhesiveness was enhanced (Figure 6). Preliminary results indicate that X. fastidiosa responds to C14-cis concentrations that are at least 10-fold less than that of the DSF produced by Xcc (Figure 7) suggesting that indicating that the responsiveness of different DSF-producing bacteria is likely species specific; eg. they respond best to the DSF
that they produce. The twitching assay tends to be highly variable from one assay to another, presumably due to small differences in the physiological state of the *X. fastidiosa* indicator bacteria or of the agar surface on which twitching is being assayed. More quantitative assays based on expression of the genes involved in twitching motility and in adhesion to surfaces are being developed using quantitative RT-PCR to assess expression of genes such as *hxfA*, *fimA*, and *pilA*. Since it is possible that a functional *rpfF* gene may be needed to properly respond to DSF, the responsiveness of these genes to exogenous DSF is being assessed in both a WT strain as well as an *rpfF* mutant of *X. fastidiosa*. Initial results suggest that the responsiveness of *X. fastidiosa* to C14-cis is dependent on the physiological state of cells; young, actively-growing cells appear to respond much less than older cells. We are continuing to optimize the assay methods for DSF in *X. fastidiosa* by varying the culture media on which the cells are exposed to DSF and the age of cells that are assayed. Since RT-PCR assays are time consuming and expensive, we are also exploring the use of cell “dot blots” to directly test for expression of EPS and afimbrial adhesins using antibodies obtained from the Kirkpatrick lab.
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**Figure 7.** Inhibition of twitching activity of an *rpfF* mutant of *X. fastidiosa* in the presence of different concentrations of DSF from *X. fastidiosa* and *Xcc*.

Further bioassays based on immunological detection of cell surface adhesins or EPS as well as by quantifying mRNA associated with these genes in *X. fastidiosa* are being developed to better assess the activity of DSF and synthetic analogs in future experiments. The current biodetector for DSF that we developed earlier is based on an *eng-gfp* fusion that is expressed in *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* (*Xcc*) (it was known that the endoglucanase gene of *Xcc* was induced in the presence of DSF). The *Xcc* DSF biosensor (8523/PKLN55) will detect DSF of *Xf* but we have now shown it to be much less responsive to C14-cis. This may be due to considerable differences in the components involved in DSF sensing like RpfC and RpfG which are hybrid two-component sensor and response regulators in *Xcc* and *Xf*. We thus have done considerable work on developing an improved DSF biosensor. Much of our work has focused on producing a chimeric *rpfC* that will recognize DSF from *X. fastidiosa* but will function in signal transduction in *Xcc*. Our analysis of RpfC from *X. fastidiosa* indicates that it has a similar cytoplasmic domain as that from RpfC from *Xcc* (Figure 8). In fact, models that predict the 3-dimensional structure of proteins predict that the cytoplasmic domain of these two proteins will have very similar structure (Figure 9).
Figure 8. Structure of RpfC from Xcc (top) and from X. fastidiosa Temecula (Bottom)
Figure 9 (Below) – Predicted structure of RpfC from Xcc (yellow) and from X. fastidiosa (red).
In contrast to the cytoplasmic domain which is predicted to function in signal transduction by phosphorelay, the transmembrane domain of the RpfC of *X. fastidiosa* is somewhat shorter than that of RpfC from *Xcc* (Figure 10). Given that the transmembrane domain is thought to serve as the DSF binding domain, we hypothesized that an improved DSF biosensor could be made in *Xcc* by replacing its native RpfC with a chimeric RpfC which had the N-terminal transmembrane domain of *X. fastidiosa* with the cytoplasmic phospho-transfer domain of *Xcc*. This has now been accomplished by forming the hybrid protein with the fusion point shown in Figure 11.

Figure 10. Hydrophobicity plot of RpfC from *Xcc* (left) and from *X. fastidiosa* (right) indicating a more extensive transmembrane region in *Xcc*. 
Figure 11 – Amino acid sequence of chimeric RpfC protein junction region

Figure 12. EPS production in various *Xcc* strain as estimated by total sugar content of extracted cells as measured by an anthrone assay.
The production of EPS in \textit{Xcc} normally increases in response to accumulation of DSF. We therefore assessed the regulation of EPS production wild type and \textit{rpfF} mutants of \textit{Xcc}. There is a large reduction of EPS production as measured by total sugar concentration in extracted cells of an \textit{rpfF} mutant compared to wild type, while EPS content of an \textit{rpfC} mutant is even lower (Figure 12). Introduction of a chimeric \textit{rpfC} into the \textit{rpfFC} double mutant \textit{in trans} restored production of EPS to levels similar to that in an \textit{rpfF} mutant (Figure 12), suggesting that it functioned in a manner similar to the native \textit{Xcc rpfC}. In contrast, the \textit{rpfC} from \textit{X. fastidiosa} conferred high levels of EPS production in this mutant background, suggesting that it was inappropriately de-repressed in \textit{Xcc}. Thus the chimeric RpfC appears to be functioning properly in \textit{Xcc}. We are currently determining the levels of EPS production in an \textit{rpfFC} double mutant of \textit{Xcc} harboring the chimeric \textit{rpfC} in the presence and absence of added DSF; we how to see elevated EPS levels with added DSF.

We have done extensive work to develop alternative reporter genes for use in \textit{X. fastidiosa}. In our past work we found that gfp and ice nucleation reporter genes were not efficiently expressed, and significant expression of these reporter genes could be detected only when transcription was driven by strong promoters such as the 16S rRNA promoter. We thus have explored the use of two other reporter genes. In one example, we have cloned the gene encoding alkaline phosphatase (\textit{phoA}) from \textit{X. fastidiosa} and introduced it into a stable plasmid vector suitable for introduction into \textit{X. fastidiosa} (Figure 13). We also have knocked out the expression of the indigenous alkaline phosphatase gene in \textit{X. fastidiosa} since such a background would be required to measure expression of this gene in response to an environmentally-responsive gene such as those encoding EPS production, adhesins or other genes that are regulated upon increases in DSF concentration. We are in the process of determining if alkaline phosphatase activity can be detected in strains harboring various fusions to this \textit{phoA} reporter gene. We also have obtained variants of a gfp reporter gene that confer much higher levels of expression in \textit{E. coli} than the native gfp reporter gene. We are determining whether fusions to these gfp variants yield sufficient green fluorescence for detection in \textit{X. fastidiosa}.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{expression_vector.png}
\caption{Expression vector harboring \textit{phoA} from \textit{X. fastidiosa} to be tested for expression in a \textit{phoA} mutant of \textit{X. fastidiosa}.}
\end{figure
Considerable work was done in this reporting period to develop both an improved Xcc-based as well as a X. fastidiosa DSF biosensor. In order to sense DSF produced by Xylella fastidiosa (Xf), we previously employed a Xanthomonas campestris (Xcc)-based biosensor; this sensor, an rpfF mutant (cannot produce DSF) harboring a gfp reporter gene driven by the promoter of engXCA (a DSF-dependent gene encoding a cellulase), that can detect as little as 10 nm of its own DSF but requires at least 1000-fold higher concentration of the DSF produced by Xf for detection (see Fig. 7). To improve our sensing abilities we recently employed two different approaches:

1. Improvement of the Xcc-based sensor –
   We replaced the Xcc Rpf components with those of Xf. To achieve this, the Xf genes rpfG, rpfGC, rpfGCF or a hybrid rpfC gene (encoding a DSF sensing domain of Xf RpfC and phosphotransmitter domain of Xcc RpfC) were introduced into an Xcc rpfCF mutant (which cannot either produce or sense DSF). Exposure of all of these Xcc strains except that harboring only Xf rpfG to C14-cis resulted in enhanced induction of the engXCA’:::gfp transcriptional fusion (Figure 14). Thus these strains appear useful for detection of DSF and are now being further characterized.

2. Development of a Xf-based immunoassay for the detection of DSF-dependent protein XadA -
   XadA is an RpfF-dependent protein that can be employed as a DSF-reporter. Western blot analysis using anti-XadA antibodies indicates that the rpfF mutant of X. fastidiosa produces less XadA than the wild type strain (Figure 15). We also found that XadA is present both as a cell-associated protein as well as in the extracellular environment. Quite importantly, the proportion of XadA that is retained by the cell is strongly influenced by the amount of DSF that the cell is exposed to. Addition of DSF from an extract of a DSF-producing strain of X. fastidiosa reduced its secreted portion in both the wild type and the rpfF mutant (Figure 2). The DSF-dependent retention of XadA is being exploited as a measure of DSF content of samples in further analysis of DSF analogs as well as further reexamination of related DSF molecules that are being made by X. fastidiosa and other bacteria.
Objective 2. **Design and synthesize DSF analogs.** We have made several synthetic analogs of C14-cis for testing for biological activity in *X. fastidiosa* (Figure 14). As these materials have only recently been synthesized the biological activity of most have not yet been assayed. We have been waiting to assay them in *X. fastidiosa* until we have produced a better bioassay for DSF in this pathogen. As noted above and as expected, the trans variant of the C14 enoic acid exhibited no activity in any of the biological assays performed today in *Xcc*. In addition to the DSF analogs noted in Figure 14, various halogenated variants will also be synthesized.

**Figure 16.** Analogs of the DSF produced by *X. fastidiosa* that have been synthesized to date.

Objective 3. **Synthesis of sufficient DSF analogs for *in planta* evaluations.** We have synthesized gram quantities of C14 cis as well as the Sodium salt of this fatty acid which is highly water
soluble. These quantities are sufficiently large for initial greenhouse studies. Initial studies were carried out in greenhouse studies in the summer of 2008. These materials were sprayed onto leaves as well as injected into stems and used as a soil drench in initial studies to determine their efficacy for disease control. The Sodium salt is quite water-soluble and has mild surfactant characteristics which make its topical application to leaves relatively easy. However, the solubility of this material in water is not sufficiently high that milligram quantities could be injected into plants in small (microliter) volumes of water. We thus have injected high concentrations of the acid form dissolved in methanol for such studies. After treatment plants were challenge inoculated with *X. fastidiosa*. Unfortunately, a malfunction in the greenhouse caused damage to all of the treated grapes such that it masked our ability to monitor disease symptoms on leaves. Thus the initial tests made in Fall, 2008 were inconclusive because of damage to plants that occurred during pest control activities in the greenhouse obscured Pierce’s disease symptoms. More extensive studies involving culturing of the pathogen have been initiated.

**Research Relevance Statement:**
Since we have shown that DSF accumulation within plants is a major signal used by *Xf* to change its gene expression patterns and since DFS-mediated changes all lead to a reduction in virulence in this pathogen we have shown proof of principle that disease control can be achieved by a process of “pathogen confusion”. This study addresses an obvious means of achieving pathogen confusion since direct introduction of DSF via topical application to plants should enable us to alter this signal molecule. While the principle of disease control by altering DSF levels has been demonstrated, this work addresses the feasibility of how achieve this goal using synthetic DSF. Our continuing work will address whether this is a practical means to achieve disease control by pathogen confusion.

**Lay Summary**
*X. fastidiosa* produces an unsaturated fatty acid signal molecule called DSF that changes its gene expression in cells as they reach high numbers in plants. Accumulation of DSF in *Xf* cells, which presumably normally occurs as cells become numerous within xylem vessels, causes a change in many genes in *Xf*, but the overall effect is to suppress the virulence of *Xf* in plants. The DSF produced by grape strains of *X. fastidiosa* has tentatively been characterized as a 14 carbon, unsaturated molecule we will refer to as C14-cis. Both its relatively higher biological activity as assessed in Xcc and *X. fastidiosa* than that of the DSF from Xcc and lesser activity in an Xcc bioassay is as expected, indicating that there is considerable specificity in the structure-function relationships between different bacterial DSF signal molecules. The production of sufficient *X. fastidiosa* for testing for pathogen confusion has been shown to be possible and we now conducting greenhouse tests in which the synthetic DSF is being applied as a topical spray to plants, as a soil drench, and by direct injection into the stems of plants before inoculation with *X. fastidiosa*. We will be measuring disease severity in treated plants to determine if topical applications of the material can lead to disease control via pathogen confusion.

**Summary and Status of Intellectual Property:**
No new intellectual property was developed in this reporting period.